Gilbert Hanson/CAS

02/18/2008 01:53 PM

To aprovenz@notes.cc.sunysb.edu, atopolovec@notes.cc.sunysb.edu, aziegner@gmail.com, bchernow@notes.cc.sunysb.edu, cc

bcc

Subject Campus Environment Committee

There will be a special presentation on the Environment Master Plan for Stony Brook Southampton by Martin Schoonen

Draft Agenda for Tuesday February 19 Meeting 1:00 p.m. Room 221 Administration

Introductions - all

Review of the Minutes - Nick Koridis

Subcommittee Reports -- Please see e-mail from Norm Goodwin after the agenda Smoking -- L. Esparza

Status Report

Environmental Master Plan for Stony Brook Southampton – Martin Schoonen Plans for Campus Hotel -- Barbara Chernow Developments at the Research and Development Park – Barbara Chernow Campus Sustainability Task Force - Amy Provenzano Recycling on Campus - Amy Provenzano University Safety Committee – M. Hotaling Parking and Transportation Subcommittee -- R. Walls, P. Siegel, B. Dethlefs and M. Hotaling Stony Brook University should be a leader in developing a regional master plan. - G. Hanson

Friends of the Ashley Schiff Park Preserve -

Other - All

Next Meeting - All Adjourn

Gilbert N. Hanson Professor Department of Geosciences SUNY Stony Brook, NY 11794-21000

Tel 631-632-8210 FAX 631-632-8240 e-mail gilbert.hanson@sunysb.edu

http://www.geosciences.stonybrook.edu/people/faculty/hanson/hanson.html

 ----- Forwarded by Gilbert Hanson/CAS on 02/18/2008 01:41 PM ----

 Norman Goodman/CAS
 To Gilbert Hanson/CAS@SUNYSB

 02/18/2008 01:34 PM
 CC Bernard Lane/UHMC@SUNYSB

 Subject Report of the Smoking Policy Subcommittee of the Campus Environment Committee

Hi Gil,

I read the report of the Smoking Policy Subcommittee of the Campus Environment Committee (CEC), and specifically the proposal that it wishes to present to the University Senate after, I assume, it is vetted and approved by the CEC. In connection with that proposal, I have a few suggestions for the CEC to consider when considering the subcommittee's proposal: (1) The change from the 15-foot prohibition of all building entrances to a 20-foot prohibition is essentially no change at all. That distance will still leave a residue of dangerous and unhealthy second-hand smoke through which non-smokers have to walk to get in or out of a building. On the East Campus, the required distance is 50 feet, which makes much more sense. (2) Allowing a new policy to stay in place without reviewing it for five years is tantamount to nothing more than a delay in considering any necessary changes. A trial period of one year would be a much more appropriate and responsible approach. (3) While it need not be part of a proposal, the CEC should be clear how the "impact and effectiveness" of the new policy would be gauged and include that in any discussion of the proposal if it is presented to the University Senate. (4) In the prior discussion of this issue in the University Senate, it was pointed out that there needs to be better signage regarding the appropriate distance smoking can occur with respect to building entrances, placement of appropriate receptacles at the required distance, and increased enforcement of existing and any proposed new policy. I don't see any reference to any of these in the proposal.

I would appreciate the CEC considering these issues as it discusses the report of its subcommittee.

Cheers,

Norm

P.S. I find it interesting that there were no faculty on the subcommittee.

Norman Goodman, Ph.D. Distinguished Teaching Professor and Distinguished Service Professor Department of Sociology Stony Brook University Stony Brook, NY 11794-4356 Phone: (631) 632-7750 FAX: (631) 632-8203 norman.goodman@stonybrook.edu

This e- mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by e-mail and destroy all copies of the original.