

# Statesman

vol. 12 no. 47 state university at stony brook, n.y. friday, apr. 25, 1969

## Faculty Defeats University Senate; 15 Of 22 Majority Proposals Passed

By NED STEBLE  
News Editor

The faculty has rejected the proposed University Senate by voting down the governance proposal in this week's Faculty-Student Commission referendum.

Both non-academic and teaching faculty defeated the Senate proposal while undergraduates and graduates voted in its favor by a combined vote of 1330 for and 217 against.

Under election regulations, rejection of a proposal by any one of the four separate constituencies resulted in the overall defeat of that proposal. Thus the Senate was voted down, despite a campus-wide total vote in its favor. The total tally stood at 2,006 members of the University Community in favor of the Senate, and 416 opposed.

The Commission's governance proposal would have created a 100-man Senate with equal student-faculty representation. The Senate would have assisted the University president in formulating policy.

Commission members had often stated that the governance proposal was the single most important piece of work it had undertaken, and considered it essential to the University Community's well-being.

### Strong Reaction

Student reaction to the vote came quickly. Most undergradu-

ates criticized sharply the faculty for voting against the Senate proposal. Glenn Kissack, a former member of the Faculty-Student Commission, said, "The proposal was a compromise between students and faculty to begin with. The faculty had a chance to show its responsiveness to students' needs and they blew it."

Polity President Tom Drysdale also expressed bitterness and indicated that the referendum should not be the final word on University governance: "The distribution of power has to be re-evaluated. The faculty should strongly consider the possibility of giving up a little power in order to achieve a lot of stability on campus."

Another student leader observed that "The faculty has defeated itself." He said that next year, students would recognize the lack of responsiveness and resort more frequently to confrontation tactics. These confrontations, he said, would give the University a bad public image, inhibit talented potential faculty members from coming to Stony Brook, and thus lower the faculty's prestige.

### 15 Proposals Passed

Of the other 21 proposals, 15 were approved. These included establishment of a committee to determine the responsibilities of the Student Affairs Office, establishment of a Center for Urban Regional Affairs and Community Affairs Office, and ex-

Ed. Note	
The following is the action taken on the Commission proposal. The approved proposals are not printed.	
Proposal	Note
1. Governance - Defeated	Overwhelmingly passed by students-rejected by faculty
4. Job Security for Non-Teaching Professional Employees - Defeated	Defeated by teaching faculty
9. Community- Academic credit for Wider Horizons, and similar programs - Defeated	Defeated by teaching faculty
10. Promotion and Tenure - Defeated	Defeated by faculty
18. Faculty By-Laws - Defeated	Overwhelmingly approved by students—defeated by one vote in teaching faculty
19. Student Housing - Defeated	Overwhelmingly approved by students—defeated by teaching faculty
M1. Student Affairs - Defeated	Defeated by graduate students and faculty
M3A. Placement Service - Defeated	Defeated by graduate students and faculty
M3B. Recruitment - Defeated	Defeated by all
M4. Admissions - Defeated	Defeated by faculty
	Defeated by all

pansion of the Wider Horizons Program. Defeated were proposals to change the Faculty By-laws, establish new methods for granting faculty tenure and evaluating the jobs of non-academic professional staff, and all the minority proposals. Minority proposals included moves to change on-campus recruitment policies.

Much student outrage was centered around the rejection of a proposal to establish a long-range planning group. This proposal was approved by the undergraduates, graduates, and non-academic faculty. The proposal was defeated when the teaching faculty voted against it 139-138.

## BULLETIN

The Faculty-Student Commission announced yesterday afternoon that it intends to rewrite its defeated University Senate proposal in the hope of making it acceptable to the faculty. The new proposal would then be submitted to another referendum, hopefully within two weeks. Other defeated proposals will also be rewritten, it was revealed. The Commission has agreed to meet Monday night to begin work on the revised proposal.

## SDS and Anti Group Rally; University Rejects Demands

Three SDS demands were rejected by the University yesterday. Executive Vice-President T. A. Pond announced the Administration's stand at a 4:00 SDS rally yesterday.

At the same time, an anti-SDS group calling itself Students for Stony Brook was holding a rally to protest disruptions and destruction on campus.

About 200 persons attended the two rallies, which were both held on the library mall. Sympathizers of both groups appeared to have been present in equal numbers. Speakers for both groups had positioned themselves opposite one another approximately fifth feet apart.

The three demands called for an end to war research and recruiting and to the dormitory rent increase. Pond, speaking for the University, said, "the University cannot terminate contractual agreements," answering the research demand. In response to the recruiting demand, Pond said, "The University policy has been borne out by the undergraduates," pointing to the results of this week's referendum in which the constituencies voted down two

proposed changes in the recruiting policy.

Pond sympathized with the students concerning the increase in dormitory fees, but said it was an Albany decision, forced by severe fiscal problems.

Spencer Black, spokesman for SDS, said "I think we can say that Students for a Democratic Society are not satisfied." Black then urged the students to attend that night's Polity Judiciary hearings for the students who participated in the library sit-in, and the two groups disbanded.

The anti-SDS group carried signs saying, "SDS Sells Sour Grapes" and "SDS is Revolting." They criticized SDS for holding the library occupation last month. To this charge, Black said, "Who's disrupting—us or the people disrupting Vietnam by killing the Vietnamese?" He said SDS and sympathizers would show cause at the trial why it was necessary to take their action.

The students for Stony Brook group charged SDS was responsible for painting anti-war phrases on several academic buildings. This charge was denied.



Roth residents wait on line to vote in their cafeteria.

# University Condemns Rooms; Called Unfit For Residence

# Calendar

By ISOBEL ADAM and JEFF HABERMAN

a boiler room, averaged between 80 and 90, and sometimes as high as 122 degrees.

For the previous three years, former G Quad Director Robert Brandt had been working to secure some relief for former

University officials have declared three rooms in O'Neill College unfit for residence. The heat in the rooms, directly over

occupants of these rooms; and Larry Parker, the present quad director, has been working since October 1 on insulating the rooms.

This month, Dr. Siegel and Dr. Dawson condemned the rooms, and the University instituted an improvement program, including air conditioners in each room, insulating the floors and installing larger exhaust fans in the boiler room.

While these measures were being taken, the University provided off-campus housing for one week at the Port Jefferson Motel. Nancy Young and Mae Lee decided that it would be too much trouble to move for such a short time; but Sue Manley, Randy Lilker and Edele Feldman accepted the University offer when the temperature in their room reached 122 degrees, even with the air conditioner working for 24 hours. Living in the motel was difficult though, since the girls had to provide their own transportation. They were forced to miss a lot of classes because of this.

Despite daily complaints from the girls and their parents, it took an excessively long time for anything to be done. The unusual conditions resulted in sore throats, colds, infections, and one girl had been to the infirmary four times.

All the girls involved are demanding a refund of three-quarters board, so that they will pay only for September and May, when the rooms were livable.

Though the rooms are back in use now, whether the improvements are adequate to allow use for next year will be decided in the near future.

## WANT TO TAKE A TRIP?

Summer In Europe — Second Successful Year — London Only

**\$225\***

**Guaranteed Departure**

Depart: June 4, 1969

Return: Sept. 2, 1969

Additional flights also available—no waiting list!

Open only to Stony Brook faculty, staff, & students, and their immediate families

price includes round trip jet from Kennedy airport to Gatwick airport (in London) transfer to Victoria station.

For information call Richie—(212) RE 9-1370  
82-12 166 St., Jamaica 11432

\*Plus \$10.00 Registration Fee (non-refundable)

### FRIDAY, APRIL 25

Undergraduate Psychological Society Lecture — Stanley Schacter, leading social psychologist, on "The Psychology of Obesity and Eating," 3:00 p.m. in SSA 135.

Varsity Baseball  
Stony Brook vs. Hunter College 3:00 p.m., at home

Chemistry Colloquium  
Dr. Theodore Brown, University of Illinois; title to be announced

Cinematographic Arts  
New Cinema—Part I, 7, 9, 11 p.m., Physics lecture hall

O'Neill College Theater Production — Afro-American Folkloric Troupe, 8:00 p.m., O'Neill lounge

Hand College Film Festival  
Scorpio Rising, Relativity, Hold Me While I'm Naked, 8:00 p.m., Hand lounge

Stravinsky Festival, Panel Discussion — Aaron Copland, Charles Wuorinen, Arthur Berger, Elliot Carter; Moderator: Billy Jim Layton, Stony Brook. "Stravinsky: His IMPACT, His Achievement;" 8:30 p.m., Engineering lecture hall

Long Island Jaycees Basketball 8:30 p.m., Men's gym

### SATURDAY, APRIL 26

Jazz concert, benefit for Scholarship Fund, in G cafeteria, 10:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m., cover charge \$2.00, food free, provided by G cafeteria. The Quartet Plus One will improvise, but professionally.

Toscanini College Art Show and Sale through May 3, Toscanini lounge

International Club — International Day Food Sale, 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., gym

Varsity Tennis  
Stony Brook vs. New Paltz, 1:00 p.m., Home

Varsity Track  
Stony Brook vs. Brooklyn College, Brooklyn Polytechnic Institute, 1:00 p.m., Home

Cinematographic Arts  
New Cinema—Part I, 7, 9, 11, Physics lecture hall

### SUNDAY, APRIL 27

Stravinsky Festival Concert "Homage to Stravinsky," 8:00 p.m., gym

Cinematographic Arts  
Gunga Din, 8:00 p.m., Physics lecture hall

## Notices

Benedict College is sponsoring a theater party to see *You're A Good Man Charlie Brown*, on Thursday evening, May 8. Transportation will be provided and tickets are \$4.95 or \$5.95. Call Charlie at 6886.

Anyone interested in working in a booth at the Carnival call 5773.

There will be a panel discussion of the topic, "Does Defense-Related Research have a Place at Stony Brook?" in Langmuir lounge on Monday, April 28, 1969. Panel members will be Donald Ackerman, Glenn Kissack, Robb Thompson, and Robert Weinberg and the discussion will be moderated by David Smith.

The Undergraduate Psychology Society will sponsor an experimental patient speaking on, "My Experiences in a Mental Institution," at 7:30 in Roth cafeteria lounge, Monday, April 28.

The Undergraduate Psychology Society is sponsoring a tour of the laboratories of various psychology professors on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday, April 28 through May 1. For times call Lois at 7395.

This is to inform readers of Statesman that the two notices referring to the Committee on Academic Standing in the issue of April 22 were not authorized by the Committee, nor were they given to Statesman by any member of the Committee or by the Committee secretary. This is to further inform the readers of Statesman that the Committee on Academic Standing has not changed any of its procedures, nor has it issued any blanket statements. The Committee's procedure has been and remains as follows: "Any undergraduate student who wishes any waiver or exception to any University requirement or academic regulation must petition the Committee on Academic Standing in writing."

## Dedication of Ruth Benedict College

### Dr. Margaret Mead

will present a lecture on

### "Humanity's Untapped Potential"

Saturday

8:00 p.m.

April 26

Women's Gym

Also: On Saturday—Mood with 2 bands—



Dr. Margaret Mead

## Formal Dedication Ceremonies

Sunday 1:00 p.m. April 27

Benedict Amphitheater

### Dedicatory Speech by Dr. Margaret Mead

and performances by "The Thunderbirds" & "The Ishangi Dancers"

"The Gnomes" & "Death's Puppy"

## Stravinsky Will Visit Stony Brook

By STEVE LUKACZER and ANDREW FEIRING

A concert planned as a tribute to Igor Stravinsky will bring the eminent composer to Stony Brook on Sunday, April 27, for one of his rare public appearances, according to Robert Craft, Stravinsky's long-time associate, who will conduct the concert.

The five-day festival will be highlighted by a panel discussion on April 25, featuring composer: Aaron Copeland, Arthur Berger, and Charles Wuorinen in a panel discussion on "Stravinsky: His Impact, His Achievement."

# Student Referendum At Buffalo Upholds Project Themis, ROTC

By **RONNY HARTMAN**  
Assistant News Editor

SUNY at Buffalo students have voted against abolishing Project THEMIS and all Department of Defense contracts on their campus in a student government referendum.

Students voting in the referendum held last Thursday and Friday also defeated a proposal calling for abolition of the ROTC on that western New York campus. Other items on the ballot included proposals offering support for students who destroyed construction shacks at a Project THEMIS construction site on March 19 and another calling for support of the takeover of Hayes Hall by demonstrators demanding an end to THEMIS and DOD research, an end to the ROTC, and the establishment of a fully integrated work force. Both were voted down by large margins.

On the approved side of the results were propositions calling for an open admissions policy and a fully integrated work force on campus construction. However, a proposal to begin a worker's college to train new construction personnel was defeated.

The referendum, sponsored by Buffalo's Student Polity, drew votes from 20 to 25 percent of the students who were eligible to vote. The vote was held to determine support for demands submitted by the Committee to Transform Buffalo, an activist student group. The final tally on the abolishing of THEMIS and DOD research went down, 1119 to 907. The abolishing of ROTC was defeated 1119 to 821. 1373 to 635 and 1245 to 783 were the statistics, respectively, that denied support for the destruction of THEMIS construction shacks and the Hayes Hall occupation.

An editorial concerning the referendum in Monday's issue of the Buffalo newspaper, the Spectrum, said that "Referendums on 'positions' are pure propaganda and are nearly always subject to manipulation by someone else having no power

to effect change." It further went on to condemn the student government by saying, "The Polity should get out of the business of making policy and direct their action toward concrete change."

# Adams Submits Report Of Committee On Univ. Operations

This article is the beginning of an attempt to document the position that Student Government has taken, that "proper channels" at Stony Brook University are totally inadequate. I hope to have compiled enough documents to prove this contention by the end of April.

At this time, I would merely like to set the record straight with regard to the recent changes in the undergraduate curriculum which President Toll has cited as an example of the effectiveness of "proper channels." Below is the report and recommendations of the special committee on University Operations. Please note that this committee was a very prestigious one (Dr. Dresden, Dr. Lekachman, Dr. Levin, Dr. Marsocci, Marty Dorio, etc.). Also note that this committee was established to bypass all established channels and to report directly to the president with regard to a list of thirty criticisms that had been ratified by a meeting of the student body in G cafeteria.

Note that this report is two years old and that it took a moratorium to force these issues to the surface.

—Peter Adams

In the three weeks since April 19, the Special Committee on University Operations has met six times. The committee was formed as follows: undergraduates appointed by the moderator and approved by the Executive Committee of Polity—Ira Kalinsky, Michael Leahy, David Sussman, Joseph Van Denburg and Martin Dorio, ex officio; graduate students appointed by the chairman of the Graduate Student Council and approved by the Council—Richard Lovell and Michael Sherman, ex

officio; faculty members appointed by the President and approved by the Executive Committee of the Faculty—Sheldon Ackley, Max Dresden, Robert Lekachman, Richard Levin, and Velio Marsocci.

The committee wishes to make clear that its findings are not by any means comprehensive, and that it failed to consider many matters with which under its mandate it might properly have dealt. Taking seriously the wish you and Mr. Dorio expressed, that it complete its deliberations by this date, it gave its attention to those issues about which the student body seems to have the greatest concern. The recommendations which follow may therefore be considered minimum measures to be taken under present circumstances or matters of high priority.

As you will note, the report concentrates upon recommendations that are supported by all members of the committee. Some of these recommendations suggest specific steps that may be taken to overcome difficulties affecting large numbers of persons in the University Community. Others are more general and are directed to attitudes that affect the campus as a whole.

It seems clear to the committee that students do not consider themselves meaningfully involved in the operations of the University. It is of the utmost importance that they be so involved and that they feel that they are. They should be members of committees dealing with matters having to do with their own welfare or affairs, or should be consulted by such committees; they should know to whom to go to get answers to questions they have, and their

# State Denies Legal Aid To De Francesco

By **SID MIKELBANK**

Assistant Dean of Students John De Francesco will not be given any legal assistance by the offices of State Attorney General Louis Leftowitz in defending himself from further harassment by the Suffolk Coun-

ty Grand Jury and Suffolk County District Attorney George Aspland.

Statesman erroneously reported April 15 that De Francesco and President Toll were being represented by the state attorney general's offices in the latest dispute. Only President Toll will have the attorney general's services. The question of whether or not to grant the assistance of the attorney general's office is decided by the head of the State Department involved; in this case, Chancellor Gould of the State University system.

President Toll has previously cautioned Mr. De Francesco that he is responsible as a private citizen rather than as a University official in his proceedings with the Suffolk County Grand Jury.

views should be taken into account in discussions of important issues being considered on campus.

The Committee found that it was not clear to students who,

(Continued on page 8)

# Racist Theory; Sheep In Wolf's Clothing

By **SUSIE SCHMIDT**  
College Press Service

The issue of who education in America is for is the biggest rated so far by the student revolt. It lies at the root of the questions, militant and especially black students have been asking with such force: why do your classes in world and intellectual history ignore the contributions and civilization of minority races and sub cultures? Why are there only a handful of black students at a university in a city with one-third of its population black?

As they have tried to answer accusations that schools create and perpetuate an education for the white elite, the men we run colleges and universities usually toss blame back into the lap of lower level schools—the ones who send students to college. We can't take people who don't pass the tests they say, people who don't have the ability to succeed in college.

And black students don't pass the tests. That's implied and understood. But it is never explained. Is their failure the fault of the tests, of their schools, their teachers, or of their books? Is there something others can do to overcome the tremendous

disadvantage a black person in America faces when he must compete with middle class whites for an education?

Intense discussion has opened on this question recently as an article in the current Harvard educational review is read and circulated in educational circles. In it Berkeley Psychologist Arthur Jensen attacks recent government and educator sponsored programs whose aim is to raise the intelligence and scholarship of "disadvantaged" students to the level of "normal" school children.

Jensen attacks the theorists who claim that children's IQ level is more a function of their environment than of their genetic endowment, and that compensatory educational work can raise their IQs significantly.

Jensen claims and cites countless experiments to back him up, that intelligence is relatively unchanging, regardless of educational tinkering and that its source as a basic human attribute is genetic rather than environmental.

In the course of presenting his argument, Jensen makes a case for the claim that Negro children have lower IQ test scores than white children, on the average, because their genes as a race or genetic pool give them a lower ability to do what IQ tests measure—reason and problem solving.

## Chess Club

### Meeting

Wed., April 30

7:30 p.m.

Bring Chess Sets

Pictures will be taken

## SAB Presents

# Leroi Jones

Tuesday, May 6th, Gym

Tickets Needed

Students Free

## Denton's Photo Studio



丹頓 照相館

Main St. Shopping Center  
East Seton, N. Y.

# Opinion: College Plans Must Expand

By DEANE RYNERSON

Dehumanization and an impersonal education have emerged as major problems in American universities since the post-war boom in college building. Stony Brook has attempted to lessen these factors through its "college plan." However, there are several failings in this system.


What is basically lacking in the college plan is an intellectually stimulating environment. Even universities without a system similar to the college plan have more stimulating dorm lives than Stony Brook. It seems that present living at S.B. is aimed at an escape from studios, whereas the integration of education with dorm life would vastly improve the capability of the University.

An efficient way to combine the intellectual and dormitory life would be to create a sense of community in each college

and to offer learning stimuli within the college. Such things as residential college courses, reading rooms, and academic advisors in residence have all been suggested. The main object would be both to increase the chances of learning and to use interpersonal communication as a learning device. However, a prerequisite to this object would be an end to sexual segregation in the colleges. Since learning cannot be segregated and since learning should be a vital aspect of dorm life, there should be an immediate move to end dorm segregation.

A group of students have initiated a proposal to create a co-educational college in the new Kelly-Gruzen quad next year. This would only be the first step in making dorm life more meaningful and personal, but it must be taken. Any student who is interested in becoming involved or who desires more information on the plan should call Richy at 5384.

# Moyssi's Freak Show Featured



"Family of Alfreds" by Moyssi

By ALFRED WALKER

Moyssi's jumbled right-hand-cornered freak show is a collection of some of the most haunted drawings that can be found around. Moyssi, the gothic, Moyssi, the medieval imp, and Moyssi, the underground Greek Orthodox Da Vinci, are all represented in Toscanini College's present art show.

To the unfamiliar, Moyssi is James Moyssiadis, a junior sociology major who is sharing an exhibit of his work this week with full-time sculptor, Larry White. Both work with rapidograph drawings, and White welds "Alfreds" by putting feet on Volkswagen motor hoods.

White will soon have an exhibit of his work in Ammann College, and Moyssi will show his work at an undesignated college in May.

The reason for calling Moyssi's exhibit in Toscanini a jumbled right-hand-cornered freak show is that his drawings defy conventional labels. They conform to no set period and can hardly be called modern. Furthermore, the psychological and ontological effects of the drawings dominate the renderings. It matters little that from a distance they become undifferentiated, because they aren't meant to be viewed from a distance. Up close, the drawings reveal a

cynical and yet somewhat charismatic attitude. "Madonna and Child," from a distance, shows a soft array of two figures, but it is only up close that one notices that the comforting parent is wielding a dagger into the child.

Other drawings are not so subtle. "The Holy Trinity Gobbles Us Up" is a highly detailed drawing that shows people being herded into a church, eaten up by the clergy, excreted into Hell and returned to the church. In spite of its anti-clerical atmosphere, the painting has a religious quality. In style, it represents medieval gothic art or woodcuts by Durer but thematically, it seems to honestly bare the whole person-church relationship, defying all the super-traditionalist hypocrites who make life easy for themselves by pigeon-holing God in literalisms, like salvation.

On the light side, Moyssi has a drawing that bears no direct relation to the Beatles' movie, but was done a few hours after seeing Yellow Submarine. Blubbery flowers and leprecaunish expressions dominate the composition.

The best thing about the jumbled right-hand-cornered freak show is that it allows for individual interpretation. There is no philosophy or cultural standard that automatically pervades the drawings. They are like the Carmina Burana, the medieval preliterary poems that belong to everybody, but nobody special.

SALE PARTS SERVICE  
On All Imported Cars - By Factory Trained Mechanic

**GO MG**  
By George INC.

2756 Jericho Turnpike  
Cortroock, N.Y. 11720  
588-0200

# SENIOR-FACULTY DINNER DANCE

## MAY 15 8:30 P.M.

### Hot & Cold Buffet Dancing Free Liquor

#### HARBOR HILLS COUNTRY CLUB


\$10 per couple

\$5 per person

SEMI-FORMAL

Tickets Are Now On Sale In The GYM

SPECIAL ATTENTION: Debut Of Class '69 Song





# Stony Brook Adopts The Dating Game

By ROBERTA MITZELMAN

Stony Brook now has a misunderstood dating service, a dating service that is trying to improve life at Stony Brook, but is not getting an adequate response from you — you who complain of boredom and loneliness. The boys who are running the James College Dating Service realize the problems on this campus. They know how difficult it is to meet people. So they're offering you an alternative to being alone; they're offering you an opportunity to meet someone new, someone to talk to, to be with.

The dating service questionnaires have been distributed to everyone in G and H, and to the girls in Roth and Tabler. Responses, especially from the girls, have not been encouraging. Roth and Tabler questionnaires, as of this writing, have probably been delayed by intercampus

mail, but so far only about 30 girls, as opposed to 200 boys (including R.A.'s), have sent in replies.

Why so meager a return? There are probably many rationales for not filling out the survey, but none of them are very valid if you examine the purpose of the dating service. No one is guaranteeing a perfect match, or that you'll meet your ideal. No one is guaranteeing that you'll even like the person. But at least you won't be sitting in your room, or wandering aimlessly around campus. And it just might work out.

When you first read the questionnaire, it is easy to condemn it for its superficiality. But how many of us would honestly be willing to fill out a very personal and in-depth analysis of ourselves, in order that we be more perfectly matched? And

how could such sensitive and meaningful areas be broached in objective questions? We can't define ourselves in one-word answers. But we can write down our general likes and dislikes, and our preferences as to race, religion, and political attitude. This can eliminate major sources of conflict.

Granted, this is a contrived situation, filling out a form to meet people. But are the "natural" means working? Moods, hall parties—how successful are they? If we could do it on our own, if we could just go up to people and begin a mutually enjoyable conversation, a dating service would not be necessary. But most of us don't; most of us feel that we can't.

There is a myth about dating services that seems to be a prevalent attitude at Stony Brook. It works in two ways; the first part is the feeling that

the only people who would fill out the questionnaire are "undesirables" who never go out and are desperate. The second part is the fear that you'll be branded with the "undesirable" stigma if you do respond. This reads like something out of a Mad Magazine satire on how blind dates are always ugly and stupid. Someone else's bad experience which became the major topic of conversation at dinner is no excuse for not trying yourself. How can we profess to know who will reply? How can we judge them without seeing them or talking to them?

Where is our spirit of adventure? Has it withered in our rooms? Sending in the questionnaire is not an entirely serious undertaking; no great commitment is involved. It should be viewed as fun, a chance, a way to meet someone new. Someone who shares your interests.

One factor of the dating service that is misunderstood is that it is not a joke. The boys who are putting in long hours setting up the project, matching people, and contacting the applicants, are serious. If you send in a form, you will be matched. Under ideal conditions, each girl's name will be given to three boys, and each boy will receive three names. But due to the shortage of girls, each boy is now receiving only one match.

This is a trial run for the dating service; full-scale operation is set for September, pending the students' reaction to it now. It is hoped that the service can be represented at summer orientation, with questionnaires being given out to the incoming freshmen.

The next time you're bored or lonely, call 6415 and ask for a James College Dating Service Questionnaire. What have you got to lose?

## More News About Mankind

Last October, Statesman reprinted a letter from Mankind, a group that was planning to sail around the world this summer "to express the attitude that we are all one, a seemingly reluctant brotherhood, with only one world in which to live." These advocates of non-violence attempted to acquire a moth-balled Liberty ship and to change the ship of war to a ship of peace. Since then, their plans have been altered.

A marine lawyer was employed to find a ship suited to the group's purpose. A pilgrim-type vessel, usually employed in transporting Moslems to Mecca, came within the group's ideas of reasonable expenditures, and an attempt was made to lease it. (In order to leave this summer, they gave up the hope of purchasing a ship from the military.)

Two problems arose to thwart the new plan. The owner would not allow the ship to enter any Communist port without permission from the Nixon Administration. The group felt that refraining from visiting them would be a basic compromise of their intent. Additionally, the ship did not meet U. S. safety standards and would not be permitted to leave a U. S. port with passengers aboard. Modification of the vessel was beyond the group's means.

As a result, Mankind decided that the trip could not be made this summer. The present plan is to purchase a ship for the summer after next while building a Mankind Village in the hills of Tennessee this summer. The village will be a permanent center for people who seek to

promote non-violence. By communicating with and educating people of the need for brotherhood and unity, they believe they can overcome the prevailing lack of brotherhood and thus eliminate the barriers separating mankind.

If you wish to join the group this summer, come with the intention of working, learning and giving love. The project is starting from scratch, a sleeping bag will be a necessity; and camping equipment, tools, and a four-wheeled vehicle would be helpful. You can help the group with a contribution even if you cannot participate in the village or voyage. If you do plan to join them, let them know as soon as possible. The address is: Mankind Incorporated, Big Sur, California.

### CLASSIFIED

#### FOR SALE:

Honda CA 160, 7 months old.  
Call Rich, 5191.

Refrigerators, \$15 and up. Will deliver. 537-9823.

Let your senses come alive! Wake them up with the exciting products by Avon. Avon carries fragrances, toiletries, make-up and gifts for all occasions. We even have products for men and children. Each and every product guaranteed to please or your money back. Your representative, Lois Bennett, 7395.

Auto insurance for young male drivers, newly licensed or with cars at college or experienced drivers with good driving records. Reputable company offers high limits, collision, immediate FS-1 budget payments. Sorry, no sport cars. Brookhaven Agency, 149 Main Street, Setauket, phone 941-4113.

'62 Falcon, needs little work (\$60-\$70), \$225, new tires, new battery, good body. For information, call 584-5218 (Wed.-Sun. after 5 p.m.)

#### FOR RENT:

Summer rentals—2 lovely furnished houses available 6/15-9/15. Private beach rights. 473-0781.

#### PERSONAL:

Has ASP gotten EN?  
Is Howie on the BM?  
Is JB making WP?

Crumb: Just because the pigeons pecked at you, don't think I will.

#### WANTED:

Anyone with car to enter car rallye Sat. afternoon, May 3. Call Jeanne at 6640 or 6653.

## SAB presents a Graduation Dance

featuring

# The Byrds

Wednesday, May 7th

9:00 p.m. In The Gym

Students Free

Univ. Comm. — \$1.00

Public — \$2.00

TICKETS

ON SALE

WEDNESDAY



Contemporary & Revolutionary Clothing

114 W. Main St. Smithtown, N.Y. 724-077C  
Open daily 11 a.m. - 6 p.m. Thurs. & Fri. to 9 p.m.

10% Discount With This Ad

# statesman

RICHARD PUZ  
business manager

STU EBER  
editor-in-chief

ALFRED WALKER  
associate editor

LEN LEBOWITZ  
FLORENCE STEINBERGER  
managing editors

HAROLD RUBENSTEIN  
ELAINE SILVERSTEIN  
MARCIA MILSTEIN  
MARC DIZENGOFF, NED STEELE  
MIKE LEIMAN

ALICIA SUZKO  
JERRY REITMAN  
ROBIN HUGHES  
JUDY HORENSTEIN  
RONNIE HARTMAN, ALAN J. WAX  
ROBERT COHEN, BOB WEISENFELD  
BILL LYNN  
TOM RYAN  
JEANNE BEHRMAN

arts editor  
copy editor  
feature editor  
news editors  
sports editor

assistant arts editor  
assistant sports editor  
assistant copy editor  
assistant feature editor  
assistant news editors  
photography managers  
graphics editor  
advertising manager  
editorial assistant

Distributed by Sigma Beta Phi  
Member of College Press Service

and  
United States Student Press Association

Statesman is published twice weekly during the regular academic year on Tuesdays and Fridays. All correspondence should be sent to Box 200, Gray College, S.U.N.Y. at Stony Brook. For information, call 236-6187.

## Selecting The Candidates

Polity elections for 1969-70 are almost upon us. Students are beginning to take out petitions for the various offices. We hope many different student groups will become involved in this initial selection process. We hope to see as many students as possible seeking an elected office.

We hope to see people representing a balance of views and a combination of imagination and experience. Our formal endorsement of candidates for each particular office will appear next week, after we have interviewed the candidates.

There are many types of people who should be involved in student government. Government should not be limited to a select few or to any particular political or social leaning. The decision-making process hinges on participation by the widest portion of students possible.

Some people who have been involved in student government should stay involved because of their experience. The people in Polity are pragmatic, and many are content to work behind the scenes without fanfare and publicity in order to secure the best interests of the entire student body. Some individuals have been willing to do the detail work that makes a monument function. The budget has been resurrected this past month due to the efforts of people in charge of fiscal policy in Polity. It is important for the people who vote in the best interests of the silent majority at SUSB to run.

Speaking of the silent majority, there are many people who are not involved in Polity especially, but who have done a lot to move this university in a progressive manner. The student members of the Commission have done a momentous task in proposing the restructuring of the University. Those people who are interested in the culture of Stony Brook are valid candidates for office.

Many conservatives have begun to organize responsibly. A significant portion of students fall into the moderate to conservative range, and they deserve to be represented.

For leftists, confrontation politics has become the main instrument of change. But some articulate spokesman for the New Left campus are able to integrate the student government with progressive tactics. Many students peacefully organized the De Francesco petitions and rallies, and they deserve to run for office. The humanitation students who spent weeks on

the grape boycott have the qualities to participate in the decision-making process.

Last fall's presidential campaign provided Stony Brook with responsible yet progressive leaders. Many students ardently organized and helped elect Lowenstein to Congress. They have the characteristics necessary and should run. BSU has demonstrated that they know how to effect change. They set an example for many political groups when they moved forward. Some students have set up student-run businesses and have done work voluntarily on campus, and their resourcefulness helps them to be involved in decisions.

In general, many types of students are involved in many facets of student life. No one should be afraid to run. Some people have been more involved than others, but every one has a chance to demonstrate that he can run for office.

## Judiciary

The Polity Judiciary is attempting to clarify the relationship between the University and society. It is telling the Administration to take the University Community out of the law enforcement business and handle all such matters in civil and criminal courts. The Judiciary is saying that academic sanctions (disciplinary suspension is really the same as academic suspension — you must leave the University Community) should not be imposed for violations of rules and regulations.

While we agree in general with this approach, we also wonder what sanctions can be imposed for minor violations of rules and regulations that are necessary for large numbers of people in small areas. We would like to see a situation where each living area will make its own rules and regulations. However, we see a problem when we consider the idea of enforcement. If the RA is not a policeman, judge or jury, and if the people on the hall are making the rules, who is going to judge who the offenders are? Legislatures cannot serve as judiciaries.

The problem is, who shall be the lower courts that will handle the smaller violations? When the Polity Judiciary can handle this question, then they can dissolve themselves. Until then, they would be doing the students a disservice by refusing to hear cases.

## Time Has Come



By DANNY LAZAROFF

Until recently, education has remained high on the priority list of the federal, state and local governments in this country. Unfortunately, government officials have seemingly decided that education is no longer as important as some of the other money-consuming problems with which they are faced.

The cutback in education expenditure for state-supported schools in New York is a disgrace. The lottery was created in order to support and improve the state's educational facilities, but where is the money? Before heaping what might seem like unfair criticism on our state legislators, let's look at the facts. CCNY was almost forced to refuse all applications for a freshman class in September, 1969. Their president and all but two department heads submitted resignations. Who can blame them? You can't run a university on a budget that leaves room for almost nothing. Queens College, according to a rumor that has found its way to our campus, may be forced to dispense with all summer session courses except for students who are making up credits.

Concerning economic problems that strike far closer to home, the cost of attending Stony Brook is on the rise. Room costs may go up a great deal, and there is talk of an increase in the student activities fee. Other conversations have revealed a possible rise in the cost of our educations in other areas as well.

By this point, it should be obvious that this is more than just an unjust swipe at the people in Albany. Education, despite gimmicks like the lot-

tery to raise funds, has fallen to a rather dubious position on our state's priority list. We have the obligation and right to find out why. Many of us find it difficult to finance our education at present costs. Marked increases in costs for necessities like shelter and food will undoubtedly jeopardize the chance of many students to continue their educations. A bill was defeated in Albany that proposed a raise in tuition here to \$1000 a year. Why has all this happened?

Perhaps many legislators have grown weary of student protests and activism and are indirectly trying to punish us for this behavior. If so, all that can be said is that this is a pretty poor way to react. First of all, this action hurts the poor student most, and many of the people involved in protest are not in dire need and can pay the higher costs. Many, both active and not, cannot afford the hike, regardless of their politics.

To give the benefit of the doubt to our state legislators, let's assume that the money just isn't there. Could it be that the increased cost of the war and defense spending (i.e. ABM systems) has prevented the federal government from helping out the state? When things reach the point where missiles and more sophisticated delivery systems replace the need for quality education, we're in big trouble. As a student who wants to continue his education, and as a student who wants to see every fellow student do the same, I urge that pressure be put on state and federal officials to restore the high priority on education that has quickly disappeared.

## What You Love To Hear How Does U.S. Imperialism Work?

A Column by SDS

In order to understand the wealth of our country, it is necessary to have an understanding of the way our country operates its overseas empire.

The U. S. enterprises abroad reap a tremendous profit. Cheap labor and cheap raw materials make any capital investment pay itself off in a few years. The goods that are produced overseas are transported back to the U. S. where they are sold at American prices (although they were bought at the coolie salaries paid to workers in these enterprises). It is often alleged that workers who work in American companies overseas are paid higher salaries than the workers that work in the enterprises of native capitalists. This is quite true. But does this mean that the workers overseas are not exploited? No. What it means is that the U. S. builds up a father image of a good guy while in the meantime, the profits that could remain in the country to help accelerate its industrialization are taken out and brought back to the U. S. This is the image of the friendly capitalist.

The situation in these countries is further complicated by the fact that the reactionary elite that rules the country is not interested in the plight of the masses, but in preserving its own interests—through the mass media, it molds public opinion to make people view the U. S. as their friend. Whenever a rebellion arises, the tactic is then to suppress it. For this, the co-

operation of the U. S. is indispensable. For instance, in Guatemala at this moment, there is a revolt. (Funny thing, our press does not report it too often.) The U. S. government trains the government troops, provides the weapons and furnishes the planes with napalm (our old friend) that bomb out the rebels.

What do the rebels want? They want a national government that is responsive to the needs of the people the way the present government is not. But because the needs of the Guatemalan people conflict with the interests of the U. S., the U. S. tries to crush the rebels through its native agent, the Guatemalan government. The fact that all these weapons are bought from American corporate firms by the U. S. government using taxpayers' money has never entered into any presidential issue. How long do the politicians think we are going to keep our eyes closed?

The fact is that most of our bases around the world are not for defense purposes, but for repressive purposes. The U. S. has the capacity to blow up this world in which we live 20 times if necessary, and we only need to blow it up once. A national organization of the economy at home does not entail the need for exploitation of other countries. It's about time for the military chauvinists and the corporate rulers to step down and let the people of the U. S. and the Third World reap the profits of their labor.



# Robert Callender Writes

It is said that one picture is worth a thousand words. And even though it is virtually impossible at times to vocally articulate these thousand words, with time, the right experiences, and the necessary emotional insight, words come flowing like water from a broken dam. The picture is the one which was featured in *The New York Times* on Monday, April 20th, depicting black students triumphantly walking away from a building they didn't want anymore. On the face of the token cop standing by, there was utter bewilderment. In the words of the university administration, a yielding to that which should have been in the first place. The scene: Cornell University.

Club-wielding, tear-gas-throwing, and all. The indiscriminate instinct of true warriors prevented such an experience by giving reassurance of their will to fight. This of course was a reassurance to their comrades that they would not have to yield to martyrdom in order to learn to act like "animals instead of people."

What could possibly provoke students to arm themselves: The underlying factors are many, the provocation to an astronomical degree. Consider one degree of provocation. *The New York Times* said that Cornell University had an Afro-American studies program when in fact no such animal existed. And during the time, the farce was being propagated. Nay, went the answer from the Administration, and so negotiations continued on their way with no encouraging solution to the problem. But has it ever been said that there is more than one way to decapitate an executioner? Sure 'nuff is, and the students at Cornell University found the right way, the right caliber, and the right people to relate the message in the most unobtrusive, articulate, yet demanding way. It is indeed fortunate that the "system's nigger" knew what to stick his finger into, and what he should keep his fingers out of.

Does this mean that the age of martyrdom has come to a close? Yes, we can speculate that possibility. On the same token, there is one factor whose importance supersedes any action of any comprehensible or incomprehensible degree. The current philosophy of black studies, the quest for identification and the justifiable day of the plebiscite are all a part of the last desperate move to make an effort to live together and gain independence. History records the waste of time and the assimilation of men and women to be one of the prime factors delaying the move ahead. The step out of the mire of decrepitude should have taken place decades ago, and the present should only reflect the determination being displayed at this time in order to keep up with progress and maintain contact with evolution.

Had the police gone onto the Cornell University campus, it is beyond speculation what would have taken place. And just what is the philosophy underlying the determination to defend one's self? Simply check the current attitude dominating the mad machine in police cloth-

Consider the impairment of human progress: an unspectacular commitment as to what the outcome would be. On the same token, examine the possibility of achieving a system with a claim to freedom and justice for all; an axiom, irrefutable in all respects, working in the most indiscriminate manner that is humanly possible. In preparation for such an idealistic condition in this world, where survival is the lowest common denominator in the entire insoluble problem of life, we must also explore the possibility of exercising the methods typically used.

Black Students United salutes true heroes. Remember April 17?

## Voice of the People

Ex-RA

To the Editor:

This letter may seem like sour grapes, and perhaps to a small extent it is. However, I believe that I am writing in the interest of the students at large, and particularly in the interest of the residents of Roth quad.

I am a junior resident assistant in Gershwin College, and I have recently been informed by the quad's counseling staff that I will not be rehired for next year. Astounded at hearing this, I spoke with one of the counselors, asking him why I had not been informed that I was doing an unsatisfactory job, in which case I would have resigned. Much to my surprise, I was told that, on the contrary, I was doing a good job, and that I had not been rehired because the staff felt that the RA's they chose might be able to do a better job. I found this to be irrational, as I found most of what followed. For instance, a quota of positions open to juniors and seniors was determined before the selection. It would seem to me that the staff would want to find the best choices for RA despite their class. What do you suppose their "rationale" was? It seems that they want a pool of experienced RA's for the training of future RA's! I find it noteworthy to add that four of the five male re-applicants were not rehired.

I use this example to point up the basic problem. When one peruses the accomplishments of the Roth quad counselors, he feels quite myopic in the sense that they are virtually impossible to find. (It seems that the staff's major project was RA selection, a partial account of which can be found above.) The particular counselor with whom I talked seems to rationalize the staff's existence with the contention that if but one student's personal problems are somehow ironed out during the course of the year, then they are worth their keep. Considering the numerous other sources of help on campus, I find this line of reasoning to be inadequate and impractical.

What the staff has done comes down basically to nothing

more than creating a number of annoying surveys, none of which have been used for any visible purpose (except, it seems, to help them pass their time), and none of which, evidently, were taken seriously by anyone.

My contention then is that the staff is insensitive to the needs and desires (they as much as told the members of my hall to go to hell when they approached the staff to complain about my situation), and that they therefore should make a serious self-evaluation. They clearly admit that they based the rehiring of re-applying RA's on their self-evaluations, and they clearly implied that a mature and frank appraisal of one's work could result only in the rejection of the re-applicant. If they look at themselves truthfully and judge themselves by the same standards they set for others, they cannot possibly find themselves fit to continue working at Stony Brook, and will have no possible recourse but to resign their positions for the good of both Roth quad and the Residential College Program.

Harvey Schenkein

Missing "P"

To the Editor:

On Monday morning as I sauntered across the library lawn on the way to morning classes, I was horrified by the blatant misspelling of the word "oppression," inscribed on the library wall. While I have always sympathized with Third World liberation movements, I cannot tolerate spelling blunders. Although spelling is admittedly part of this bourgeois imperialistic University, we must recognize it as an essential tool in the fight to destroy American imperialism throughout the world. So, beware, you poor spellers, of undermining your attempts to further destroy property, of eliminating the rights of others, and of insisting upon imposing your brand of morality upon others. This revolutionary will not tolerate missing "p's" on your desecrated property.

From a long-haired anarchist, Larry Emert

"Thanks"

To the Editor:

Just a note to thank those members of our University Community who re-decorated the science and engineering buildings. The formerly drab brick and marble walls now display a pleasing pattern of well-phrased witticisms written in a brilliant shade of red spray-paint. The artists who devoted their precious time and effort to this community-improvement project should be commended for their sense of responsibility and maturity. Whenever you see a paint-streaked wall, or even a broken window, remember—there are people who care.

Thomas Renna

Gadfly

To the Editor:

Being a student at Old Westbury, I am tired of seeing the college and students being misrepresented by such blatant contradictions and childish drivel as the article by Pat Sweeney, Frank Miata, and Gadfly in general.

In brief: (since a letter doesn't permit much elaboration)—first contradiction — paragraph four states that all the power resided in the hands of Harris Wofford. Not true. Paragraph ten says the faculty, familiar in campus power politics, have influence at the expense of the students. The two, which are both fallacies, also cancel each other out. Similar misuse of English characterizes the whole article.

Second — the 50-50 proposal. This was voted on by students after being vetoed by the faculty 10-8. The real power politics of maneuvering went on (and still prevails) in student meetings. The vote held was an open show of hands, guaranteed to intimidate those who remained undecided, or easily manipulated. In the meeting preceding the vote, parliamentary procedure was effected sporadically, depending on whether it suited the purpose of those running the meeting, who were also those for the proposal.

The serious "power politics" and all "maneuvering," et cet-

era, is either exaggerated, misinterpreted, or invented by those pseudo-politicos (who unfortunately abound at O.W.) who are violently anti-intellectual, and anti-traditionalist only because of their well founded fears of not being able to "make it" in such an environment. One wonders why these (loud) mothers of social change are not either devoting themselves to it full time, not wasting their time with an institution they obviously despise; or why they are not trying to "disrupt" a university which is truly rigid and totalitarian,

instead of one that is so flexible, no, namby-pamby, as to yield to most of their whinings.

Finally, the myth of disruption. I have never known chaos to be illuminating, experimental, influential or mind-improving. What it seems that Pat and Frank are calling for is a revolt of the masses of the variety Ortega speaks of: one that builds nothing, accomplishes nothing but destruction, and merely infects those not involved with its poison.

Iris Brossard





### STATESMAN STAFF

- Arts: Norman Bauman, Bernard Bushkin, Steve Levine, Steve Meyerwitz, Fred Steinhilber.
- Business: Fred Baer, Richard Hartman, Margie Kavanau, Ken Weitz.
- Columnists: Robert Callender, Pat Garahan, Danny Lazaroff
- Copy: Jeff Haberman, Carla Weiss.
- Exchange: Carol Dahir.
- Feature: Allan Burns, Robert Thomson, Jim Frenkel, Marilyn Spiege, Arnold Yagoda, Nat Board, Robin London, Cathy Minuse, Peter Remch, Jonathan Steele, Everett Ehrlick, Michael Covino, Neil Welles.
- Graphics: Spider Robinson.
- Layout: Andy Feiring, Steven Lukcazer, Estelle Russick.
- News: Stacy Roberts, Allen Gilbert, Vincent Montalbano, Pat Reed, Phil Springer, Mike Belotti, Joe Vasquez, Isobel Adam, Sid Mikelbank, John Amoroso, Herman Zickerman.
- Photography: Paul Befanis, Larry Bloom, James Dan, Joel Gelb, Harris Kagan, Ron Kagan, Sheila Kassoy, Bill Stoller, Harry Brett, Estelle Scheiner, Tony Menendez.
- Sports: Joel Brockner, Vinnic Moraventano, Barry Shapiro, Bob Grauman, George Handshaw, Al Shapiro, Tom Hempstead.



# GLEEP

by Spider



(Continued from Page 3)  
on campus, is responsible for various programs or functions. It therefore

**Recommend:** that special efforts be made to publish up-to-date information about the organization of the University's administration, the duties of major officers, and changes in policy. A newsletter, calendar, and a course schedule expanded by some of this information are suggested as media.

**Academic Affairs and Campus Spirit.** Throughout its deliberations, the committee voiced the opinion that more important than any series of specific improvements, is the cultivation of a spirit on campus that supports the University's primary purposes, which are academic. It must be communicated regularly, and in as many ways as possible, that students are an essential part of the University community.

The committee recognized the three commonly stated goals of a university—namely, learning, research, and public service. It agreed that quality teaching must be sought continuously. The student members of the committee questioned whether present courses were adequate in number and purpose to the needs and desires of the student body; they feared that programs are being shaped to too great an extent by professional and graduate school requirements. The committee

**Recommend:** that additional curricular options—innovative and perhaps interdepartmental or related to the Residential College Plan—be considered by appropriate University officers and committees in consultation with interested students. Students should be encouraged at all times to bring suggestions, with respect to curriculum improvements, to department chairmen and others.

**Recommend:** that a system whereby faculty members are rated regularly by students be sponsored by the University, and that anonymous student ratings be made available to the instructor being rated and to the head of his department.

**Student Life, Services, and Activities.** As might be expected, the committee discussed at some length the various functions of the Office of the Dean of Students. It was agreed that the Dean of Students and his staff members should serve as a means of two-way communication between the student body and the rest of the campus. Examples were given of inadequacies of communication in each direction. Since it is a widespread view that there is a serious and crippling lack of confidence in the effectiveness of the Office of the Dean of Students, impairing its utility, the committee

**Recommend:** that the President take early action in investigating the role and functioning of this office, and take whatever action the situation warrants.

The committee considers this to be its single most important recommendation. Without clear and open lines of communication between the Dean of Students and the student body and without effective understanding between the Dean of Students and the student body, implementation of many of the other recommendations in this report would be relatively worthless. It is urged, therefore, that full attention be brought to bear at this point.

The committee discussed the Student Health Service. References were made to specific instances in which medical service appears to have been inadequate. Committee members mentioned the desirability of having a full-time physician to head the staff. The committee **Recommend:** that leadership and administrative arrangements of the Student Health Service be reviewed to the end that it may be improved; that non-State funds be considered to supplement the director's salary.

The committee discussed the operation of the Campus Center—the present and planned staffing of the Center, means of communicating student concerns with respect to it, and the way in which the name and the facilities program of the building have been developed. It found merit in the formation of the Monitoring Committee, which advises with respect to policy matters related to the Campus Center, but it felt the situation might be improved if the charge to the committee was made clearer. The committee

**Recommend:** that the Monitoring Committee be consulted with respect to the criteria to be used in the selection of the Director of the Campus Center, and that it be given the opportunity to review the credentials and to interview any candidate being considered seriously for this post.

**Recommend:** that students be involved in the planning of buildings—such as the Campus Center, library, and residence halls—in which they have a direct interest.

The committee discussed University policy with respect to athletics, and the administrative arrangements with respect to it that now hold. The committee

**Recommend:** that a comprehensive University policy on athletics be formulated and published.

**Recommend:** that students be invited to participate in the formulation of this University policy on athletics.

**Recommend:** that the appropriate University officials study the present arrange-

ments by which the Director of Physical Education is in charge of recreation and athletics on campus.

The committee heard reports from the students on conditions in residence halls, where living conditions are apparently far from ideal. The committee feels that steps should be taken as quickly as possible to improve the situation and

**Recommend:** that work assignments and performance records of the janitors in the residence hall staff be reviewed to make sure reasonable standards are being maintained.

**Recommend:** that the role and function of Residence Assistants be further defined and that information with respect to them be circulated among resident students

**Recommend:** that the process by which Residence Assistants are selected be reviewed in the hope that this will make more likely the selection of natural leaders who will interpret student needs effectively to others on the staff of the Dean of Students. Regular evaluations of the RA's by the students serve, particularly before the appointment, is suggested.

**Recommend:** that the Dean of Students and his staff consider the status of graduate students in the residence halls (for example, as possible RA's) and more generally in student life on campus.

The committee considered the University's policy concerning the use, possession, and distribution of drugs on campus and discussed its rationale. There have been questions about the University's policy on this matter. The committee

**Recommend:** that the University's policy relative to the use, possession, and distribution of drugs be given full circulation, including insertion in the Preserver and other summaries of student regulations.

The University recognizes the duality of its responsibility to the students and to the law—to guide and counsel students within the boundaries of the law.

The committee discussed the Office of Psychological Services and heard a report that the staff of psychologists is not to be increased in the fall of 1967. The committee

**Recommend:** that professional psychologists be added in number commensurate to the increase in the size of the student body.

**Other Matters.** The committee considered problems existing in the library. Members of the Committee mentioned several types of incidents which seem to indicate inefficient operations in the library. The committee recognized that a new Director of Libraries is being sought and expressed the hope

that he might, upon his arrival, have these matters brought to his attention so that he can take steps to correct the general situation. The committee

**Recommend:** that loan and fine policies be applied uniformly to faculty, graduate students, and undergraduates.

**Recommend:** that the library consider a change in its policy so that when a person who wishes a book that has been charged out asks who has it, he be given the name of the person who has the book checked out.

**Recommend:** that the library consider granting a one-day moratorium on overdue books before the end of this semester so that their number can be materially reduced. It should be made very clear that such a moratorium will not be repeated in the future.

**Recommend:** that a system of xeroxing be established which will permit easy and inexpensive duplication (perhaps at departmental expense for certain kinds of xeroxing by staff members) so as to reduce the circulation of rare or special materials and periodicals.

**Recommend:** that the library consider using a system of machine check-out related to student ID cards instead of the present hand-written call slips.

The committee briefly discussed the situation with respect to the Plant Office, which includes safety and security, maintenance, grounds, custo-

dial services, and the power plant. While detailed complaints have been registered by others in this general area, the committee wishes to go on record as

**Holding:** that the general situation with respect to the maintenance of the campus and the functions responsible to the Plant Superintendent is such as to require immediate attention and drastic measures.

The committee is appreciate of the opportunity it has had to review operations of the University. Members of the committee have found its discussions helpful and hope in turn that this summary of its findings and these recommendations can be of assistance in improving campus life and making it easier to achieve the goals of the University.

The committee would appreciate it if steps taken by you and other members of the administration to implement these recommendations, or to solve in other ways the problems to which they are addressed, would be given wide publicity within the University Community. The committee does not suggest, of course, that these steps necessarily be publicized as the product of its deliberations; it is well aware that others in the University are addressing themselves to the same problems and perhaps arriving at the same conclusions. It is important, however, to communicate to all persons on campus the Administration's concern for the welfare of its residents.

May 10, 1967

# Stony Brook

## HOMAGE TO STRAVINSKY

### CONCERT

Sunday, April 27th 8:00 p.m. Gym  
ROBERT CRAFT — conductor  
GREGG SMITH — choral director  
Soloists, Orchestra & Chorus

Tickets — \$5.00, \$3.50, \$2.50

Featuring 2 N. Y. Premieres:  
Final version of Les Noces  
Wolf - Stravinsky - Two Sacred Songs

THE COMPOSER  
WILL BE IN  
ATTENDANCE



# **SPRING FORMAL**

***FRI., MAY 2nd***

**DINNER**

**DANCING**

**LIQUOR**

**TUX AT PETE'S**

**DOOR PRIZE**

**FLOWERS**

**FREE TRANSPORTATION**

**•SEATING**

**TICKETS IN THE GYM**

**OR CONTACT TONY 4239**

# On The Screen This Weekend

By HAROLD RUBENSTEIN  
Statesman Arts Editor

### PHYSICS BUILDING THEATRE

**Alfie** - Michael Caine, Vivien Merchant, Shelley Winters, Jane Asher

Alfie is the visual portrait of a charming, sexy, young Casanova whose callowness is a mask as strong as papier-mache. Alfie is the type of guy most girls flip over only to end up calling him a bastard. But Alfie is not really a bastard, only a weak and immature child who makes a pathetic attempt to prove his manhood by flaunting his sexual conquests. It is a sharp and bitterly funny etching with a callously refreshing performance by Caine, whose blue eyes seek a splendid female supporting cast while hiding under a steely twinkle. Among the women, Vivien Merchant is outstanding as the woman who must have an abortion and hits Alfie with the realization that just as the child's life is aborted, Alfie himself has created nothing of beauty and made his life sterile.

Fri. and Sat.: 7:00, 9:00, 11:00  
**THREE VILLAGE THEATRE**

**Mayerling** - starring Omar Sharif, Catherine Deneuve, James Mason, Ava Gardner; directed by Terence Young

Nothing is more spontaneous than a remake of a remake of a remake. Director Terence Young has tried to rekindle the old flame that rocked the Austro-Hungarian empire, the love affair that ended with a double suicide at a retreat called Mayerling. Young wanted to set it in a more political back-

ground, but because of his desire to keep up the passion, facts had to be juggled around to fit the liaisons, and what comes out is a half-hearted, historically inaccurate affair. Adding to the already overlong length of the film is Omar Sharif's limp-eyed and ice-cold portrayal of the Prince, and the use of Catherine Deneuve for no more than her dazzling beauty. Their love affair prompts only yawns. The most significant aspect of the film is that it brings back a radiant Ava Gardner. No offense to Miss Gardner, but that is not enough to sustain this film.

Fri. and Sat.: 7:00, 9:30  
**SMITHTOWN THEATRE**

**Joanna** - starring Genevieve Waite, Christian Doerner, Calvin Lockhart, Glenn Foster-Jones, Donald Sutherland

Joanna is not to be believed, literally. Believe in her, and the film is a garish pastiche of every pseudo-message and "in" gimmick of the current cinema. Joanna is too much. She's Shirley Temple with a bod, pouting like Baby Snooks as she jumps from bed to bed seeking innocence. Joanna is caught up in swinging London, a world which director Michael Sarne exposes as a fake. For Joanna is a film which spews forth message after message only to dismiss them and come to its own conclusion. Everything Joanna does is blown out of proportion, not to show how exciting her life is, but to show how ordinary it is. Joanna falls in love, is naked, sees death, and gets pregnant. None of these things are tomorrow's headline. Nothing about them is new, except to Joanna. Writer

Michael Sarne has made a film about the uniqueness of life for the individual, that although there is nothing new under the sun, if every person experiences all as if for the first time, life becomes a continuous joyous celebration of sensations. Director Michael Sarne has made a dazzling movie debut, using an intelligent and satirical spoof that pokes at other movies, and a unique combination of actors, notably Genevieve Waite as Joanna. Even Rod McKuen's sloppy score is a syrupy parody of what it is supposed to be, unless, of course, Sarne secretly wanted it that way.

Fri., 7:00, 9:00 Sat., 8:35, 10:15  
**FOX THEATRE**

**The Sweet Body of Deborah** - starring Carroll Baker; directed by Romona Guerrieri

**The Big Bounce** - starring Ryan O'Neal, Leigh Taylor-Young, Lee Grant, James Daly; directed by Alex March

The first film had not opened by press deadline, so it could not be reviewed. However, here are a few hints. Note the title and the star. Not meaning to be prejudiced, it hardly denotes a winning combination. Add to this the fact that the film is only staying at the Fox for four days. They don't seem to be expecting an outstanding show at the box office. Final note, the box office lady laughed when I asked her if that's what they were really showing.

**The Big Bounce**, though it opened, has not been reviewed either, but if it is on the bottom of the double bill, and Carroll Baker is on top, little explanation is needed.

### PORT JEFFERSON ART CINEMA

**Belle de Jour** - starring Catherine Deneuve, Genevieve Page; written and directed by Luis Bunuel.

Bunuel's "masterpiece of erotica" has finally made the rounds, but those whose conceptions of the erotic is a detailed slide show of all the positions in the Kama Surra should stay away. *Belle de Jour* does establish Catherine Deneuve as one of the most beautiful women in the world. But her exquisite beauty is exactly what Bunuel has decided to destroy. *Belle de Jour* means "beauty of the day," and Miss Deneuve is a bored young Parisian housewife who has everything, including a sterile marriage, so she decides to become a prostitute. It is not that her husband is impotent, or that she doesn't love him. He is healthy and she adores him, but she can only make love to fat, sexually perverted men while perverting her own sexuality. Bunuel has made a merciless attack on the modern conception of love, the fallacy of sex as its highest form, and the guilt of the modern woman in a society she fears and is captured in. But Bunuel tends to be too subtle and relentless for his own good, and much of *Belle de Jour* is slow and as sluggish as Belle's customers. It is as unclimactic as Belle's life and as unsatisfying. The thrusts are only kept alive through Deneuve's strong, innocent beauty, and a wicked Genevieve Page in the guise of a sarcastic madam.

Fri. and Sat.: 7:00, 9:00

### SMITHTOWN THEATRE

**Star!** - starring Julie Andrews, Richard Crenna, Daniel Massey; directed by Robert Wise.

An enormous, splendidous, noisy, expensive blockbuster movie-biography that falls apart at the seams because it has no plot to hold on to. The film is a showcase for Miss Andrews, and in her musical numbers, all 17 of them, she is marvelous, an impeccable singer, sprightly dancer, and a lively clown. But that is where the fun ends. Besides the personal prejudice that it is not fun to watch Julie Andrews play a conceited bitch, it is even worse to have to see her flounder in a part that has no depth, inside a film whose episodic structure defies any chance for continuity. Director Wise must have found himself with heaps of glossy movie and nothing to do with it, so he tried to package it as entertainingly as he could, but he placed too much weight on Miss Andrews' shoulders. He, not Julie, has let the audience down because she had to play a character which, besides not being like Gertrude Lawrence, doesn't fit her at all. Miss Lawrence was not a singer or dancer, but had star-magic that the movie misses because of Miss Andrews' perfection at everything she does. She is always Julie Andrews, the performer, and is given a demeanor that is neither truthful nor attractive.

Fri. and Sat. 7:00, 9:30

# Homage To Stravinsky This Weekend

By MATTHEW GUREWITSCH

On Sunday night, Stony Brook, assisted by the N. Y. Council on the Arts, will present what will

probably be the most significant cultural event of the academic year, an all-Stravinsky concert entitled *Homage to Stravinsky*. One of the most productive of



composers of this (or any other) century, Igor Stravinsky (born in 1882) has continually contributed significantly to musical innovation and progress.

Stravinsky's career, like Picasso's (his almost exact contemporary, born in 1881), follows no neat patten and shows no simple lines of development from one phase of his work to the next. Both Stravinsky and

Picasso have experimented widely, borrowing liberally from the legacies of the past, fusing common property with their highly personal techniques of expression.

Stravinsky has contributed notably to virtually every area of music, from chamber music to symphonies to ballet and opera scores. The New York City Ballet performs a multitude of Stravinsky pieces; virtually every program features one of his ballets, among them such varied works as *Firebird* (inspired by Russian folk music), *Agon* (derived from court dances of the baroque), *Momentum pro Gesualdo* (a luminous orchestration of three madrigals of the Renaissance prince of Naples, Don Carlo Gesualdo), and *Elegy* (a viola solo choreographed especially for Suzanne Farrell, a brilliant prima ballerina of the NYCB). Other scores, particularly the well known *Petrouchka* and *Le Sacre du Printemps*, are frequently given both in the theater and in concert halls.

The program of SUSB's *Homage to Stravinsky* comprises both vocal and orchestral music. Robert Craft will conduct the large cast of musicians imported for the occasion. Two New York premieres are scheduled. Principal attention will be paid to *Les Noces* ("The Wedding")



### APOLOGIA

The concert review of *Ten Years After* was written by Hank Teich, who is also music director of WUSB.

The preview of the Open Theatre was written by Jean Zemsky.

The name of Sheila Kassoy was inadvertently omitted as one of the photographers for "Stony Brook and the Community" supplement.

FOX THEATRE SUFFOLK PLAZA SHOPPING CENTER  
ON ROUTE 347 - NESCONSET FREE PARKING  
-PORT JEFFERSON RD. PHONE 473-3000  
(OPPOSITE HOLIDAY INN - AND BILLY BLAKE)  
STARTS FRIDAY

### The Sweet Body Of Deborah

Starring Carol Baker

### The Big Bounce

Starring Ryan O'Neill

4 Days Only



# FOOD

—BREAKFAST—  
—LUNCH—  
—DINNER—  
—LATE SNACKS—  
at  
**Setauket Char-Broil**  
(next to Bette Vogel in Three Village Shopping Center)

Port Jefferson  
**ART CINEMA**  
Presents  
**Support Your Local Sheriff**  
With:  
**James Garner, Walter Brennan, & Joan Hecht**  
Directed by **Burt Kennedy**

# Tennis Team Wins Big, Shows Depth

It was windy, and it was cold, but the sun was shining brightly as the Stony Brook tennis team routed Southampton 7-2 Wednesday afternoon.

The outcome was never in doubt as twelve different players competed for the Patriots, four for the first time ever in inter-collegiate competition. The onslaught in singles play was withering, as five of six Patriot netmen triumphed, all in straight sets, and with three players winning twelve consecutive games.

Once the match was sewed up in the singles, Coach Ken Lee unveiled three new doubles teams. This points up Stony Brook's depth, as few colleges can field so many players of such high caliber. What was even more encouraging was the poise the new players showed. This mainly sophomore crew can look forward to a bright future.

The match was barely forty-five minutes old when the Patriots notched their first point

of the afternoon. Pete Civardi, playing number six, posted his third straight lopsided victory in defeating Southampton's Robert Hecht 6-0, 6-0.

There wasn't much of a wait for Ron Dutcher to wrap up his match, in the fourth singles slot. Ron had no trouble at all in disposing of his opponent, John Sobek, 6-0, 6-0. For Ron, who now seems to be back in a good groove, it was his second consecutive singles victory.

Paul Epstein broke into the winning column in his second match of the year by defeating Ted DeRoche 6-1, 6-1. Paul, who plays fifth singles, seems strong now that he has almost completely recovered from a debilitating ankle injury.

Before you could turn around, Ken Glassberg, playing number three, had chalked up the Patriots' fourth straight singles victory. Ken, who seems to have rounded into top form, overpowered Southampton's Pete Lonergan 6-0, 6-0.

Bob Epstein clinched the match for the Red and Gray with his first singles victory of the year. Our number two player, Bob scored with a fine 6-2, 6-3 straight set victory over Robert Duffey. Bob played well all around, but it was a strong backhand that netted him most of his wins.

Gerry Glassberg suffered Stony Brook's only singles loss in bowing to Mark Geller 6-6, 3-6.

Playing first doubles for the Patriots were Jack Simon and Lance Malkind, two players who have seen playing time earlier this year. They were given the unenviable honor of meeting Southampton's one-two players, Geller and Duffey. In a surprisingly tough battle, Stony Brook suffered a 1-6, 4-6 defeat.

Steve Klapisch and Ron Mayer, both in their first match, were paired together in the third doubles slot for Stony Brook. Their styles meshed well as they routed Sobek and Austern

6-0, 6-2. Steve's forehand and Ron's serve and net play did most of the damage.

Larry Malakoff and Eric Lubow combined in the second doubles position for the most exciting match of the year. They defeated Lonergan and De Roche in the first set 6-4. The second set saw the Patriot duo come out on the short end of a seesaw 8-10 score. In the gathering darkness, Southampton took a 5-0 lead in the third and deciding set. But Malakoff and Lubow made a stirring comeback to gain an 8-6, three-set victory. Eric's put-aways from the net and Larry's baseline consistency were finally decisive.

The victory evens the team's season record at 3-3.

The next match will be an important home encounter with a tough foe, New Paltz State, at 1:00 p.m. Saturday afternoon. It will be followed by a 4:00 p.m. home match with NYIT Tuesday, April 29.

## Directions To Saturday's Crew Meet

Special to Statesman

For those who wish to follow the Stony Brook crews to their meet, the state cars will leave from the front of the gym at 1:00 p.m. on Saturday. Those who wish to go on their own can reach Hempstead Harbor as follows:

Long Island Expressway to Exit 39N, Guinea Woods Road, North. Proceed on Guinea Woods Road to Northern Blvd., (Rte 25A). Make a left on Northern Blvd., then a half-right to Old Northern Blvd. Make a left, under Northern Blvd., then make the first right. Follow this road to Bar Beach, Town of North Hempstead.

# Polity Comes To The Aid Of British Soccer Players

Easter Sunday. Seders. Soccer. Soccer at Stony Brook during vacation? While SUSB students feasted miles away, their money aided some "nice young chaps" on their first — and probably last — tour of America, thanks to an amazing blonde lady who literally runs the Polity office in the basement of Gray College.

Under the auspices of the Anglo-American Inter-Cultural Society, 187 athletes from King Alfred's College of Education in Winchester, England, toured the East Coast during the holiday season. They were hosted by colleges in the area since they had little money beyond the plane fare. Once in the U. S., they split up into various teams, planning to meet in New York

April 22 to return to England.

Eighteen members of the soccer team, aged 19-22, planned to visit Adelphi and schools in New Jersey, Philadelphia and Washington, playing the soccer teams of the various host colleges. Once here, they learned that a severe car accident to one of the Philadelphia athletic directors left them with a void for April 5-8. Their New York director called Pat Ryder, President of the Long Island Soccer League, who suggested Stony Brook. Coach John Ramsey agreed to try to help out.

While at Adelphi, they visited New York City—Radio City, the UN, the British Consulate, etc. They stayed in homes of Adelphi professors, indulging in such unfamiliar things as barbecues

with corn on the cob.

Mrs. H. to the Rescue

Since they came to Stony Brook on such short notice, there was unfortunately no time to make similar outside arrangements. However, Mrs. Ann Hussey, Polity secretary, advisor, and mother hen to all who know her, convinced the Student Government to supply the necessary and relatively minimal \$300 from unallocated, for food and other such things. Said Peter Adams, Polity vice-president, "It was good to try to change our image; that was our justification for spending the money. Mrs. H. is a wonderful lady."

The team was housed in the gym during their stay. And

Mrs. Hussey, never far from a phone, exerted much effort in arranging the weekend. Polity funds also paid for charter buses and circus tickets, as well as a farewell dinner at the Three Village Inn. Coach Ramsey arranged their itinerary while here, including Monday at Grumman's in Bethpage, with a plant tour and lecture on the Lunar Module, a steak luncheon in the executive dining room, and a noon exhibition soccer game against the Grumman team before about 1500 onlookers.

While at Stony Brook, the team was treated to a tour of Suffolk County beaches, an Easter Sunday church service, the circus at the Commack Arena, Grumman's, and a beer party in Gray College lounge, to which all SUSBers around were invited.

Mrs. Hussey was emphatic in declaring that "students should get credit for the nice things they do. The local papers are quick enough to publicize it when they have our students to dinner. (Last week.) The British youngsters were so nice, and they had a real ball here. One boy saved all year to get the plane fare to come. He said it had always been his dream to see America."

Adams Talks to Them

Monday night at the Three Village Inn climaxed their stay. Adams had a chance to talk to several of the players. What were they like? "They talked about the draft (there is none in England), girls and beer. And naturally, their soccer games here. They seemed to think it was impolite to put me on the spot or something, and steered clear of all talk about campus politics, demonstrations, and the like. It was amazing, but they really grooved on the turkey dinner—the plain, ordinary American turkey and potatoes and vegetables we take for granted. They seemed a bit younger, but these are typical English kids at a teachers' college. They're not upper class; a great bunch of guys, very friendly and enthusiastic."

Coach Ramsey, who spent the entire weekend with them, helped by Danny Kaye, co-captain of the varsity soccer team, summed up how they reacted to Stony Brook, and how Stony Brook reacted to them: "Our soccer team wasn't here, but they were able to meet students at the beer party in Gray College, and also late Monday as kids returned from vacation. Mrs. Hussey did a tremendous job in helping with the arrangements.

"They were tremendously im-

pressed with the size of our campus and the number of buildings. They come from a small school, so they really liked the way Stony Brook is laid out—so spread out and all. They were also extremely impressed with the overall hospitality, and a little surprised that 'cold Americans' could be so kind.

"They were amazingly cheerful. They could make do with so little. They were extremely grateful for every small thing. One thing I noticed, which may sound corny: every time we went anywhere in the bus, they sang. They were a very nice bunch of boys; I felt like I was with my own team."

Do It Again?

Coach Ramsey said he'd love to have them back again, if there was more time to make better arrangements. He took slides of the weekend, which Mrs. Hussey said he'd like to show to local groups such as the Rotary. "It's about time Stony Brook students got credit for their worthwhile activities."

Leslie Thompson, SUSB's athletic director, sent a letter to Dr. Gelber, informing him that "I know from my discussions with Mr. Frank Blackburn, the advisor to the group, that Stony Brook hospitality is thoroughly appreciated. I am also advised that if we want to take a similar group to England at some later date, we will have reciprocal arrangements by Winchester College.


## Frosh Open Season

(Continued from Page 12) second, third or short, so Coach Borwn has been juggling them at the three positions in order to find the best combination. The backup infielders are Vinny Mraventano and Alan Stone.

Sharing the catching duties are Ken Seroka and Steve Swidler. Ken Sanders and Budd Kopman lead the mound staff for the frosh Pats, while Bill Schrieber, Mitch Lipton, Ray Russel, Marty Dishowitz and Stu Erner figure to do some pitching.

Coach Brown is still setting up his outfield and plans to use pitchers Kopman and Sanders out there when they are not throwing. Dave Streisfeld, Jerry Schecter, Larry Novik, Steve Swidler and Randy Steinhagen are other outfield hopefuls.

Coach Brown admires the team's hard work and good spirit and is working them hard in anticipation of their upcoming home game this Saturday against Adelphi at 11 a.m.



## PORT JEFF BOWL Is The Place

(Now Air-Conditioned)



50¢ Student Rates On  
Monday Thursday  
Tuesday  
Friday Afternoons  
Sunday Mornings

Right off Main Street Pt. Jeff Sta. HR 3-3300

**BASEBALL HOME  
OPENER TODAY  
AT 3 PM VERSUS  
HUNTER TEAM  
ALSO HERE  
AGAINST PRATT  
MONDAY 3 PM**

# patriot sports

statesman

**DIRECTIONS TO  
SATURDAY'S  
CREW MEET  
ON PAGE 11**

Page 12

Friday, April 25, 1969

## Burrows Goes All The Way In Eleven Inning Triumph

## Fun and Games Coach Brown



By JERRY REITMAN  
Assistant Sports Editor

Carl Burrows retired 23 batters in a row without giving up a hit and pitched the Stony Brook Patriots to a 6-4 victory in eleven innings over the Pace College Setters. Stony Brook sent the game into extra innings when Mike Leiman drove in two runs with a one-out, ninth-inning single.

The dramatic comeback has given the Patriot ball club a needed lift. They're hopped up now and looking forward to the string of Conference games they play this week. Also, the club took satisfaction in beating Pace, the school which was given the Knickerbocker Conference Basketball Championship after losing to Stony Brook both during the season and in the playoffs.

In the contest played Monday, the Pats were on the road, and the game was played at the Parade Grounds in Brooklyn. The team quickly scored off Pace starter Sam Smith, who was bothered both by wildness and by his catcher's fielding.

Frank Grimaldi opened with a walk and moved to third on passed balls by catcher Tom Scelzo. Steve Kreiner went to first with another walk. Scelzo tried to pick Kreiner off and fired the ball into rightfield. Grimaldi scored and Kreiner stopped at third. Matt Low followed by drilling a line shot to deep center. But the strong wind blowing in held the ball back enough so that Joe Gingeleskie could make the catch. Kreiner scored easily.

The Setters came back in their half of the frame. Carlos Rodriguez walked and took third as Brian Finnerty pasted a double to left center. Warren Fischer hit a grounder to short-stop Matt Low, and one run scored on his bad throw to first. Patriot starter Carl Burrows ended the damage, getting Art Claudio and Scelzo on soft pop flies to Frank Grimaldi.

Pace encored with three runs in the second to take a 4-2 lead. Ward Carney doubled, and after two groundouts, Joe Gingeleskie ripped a vicious shot down the line towards third. Paul Mascia made a phenomenal play on the ball and speared it with a backhand stab. The ball was too hot to handle, and rolled away, so Carney scored. A brief argument broke out whether or not the ball was fair.

The umps decided that the ball was fair. This caused Stony Brook Coach Frank Tirico to mutter, "He (Mascia) makes a great play and it hurts us." Gingeleskie remained on first, but not for long. Rodriguez and Finnerty walked, loading the bases and bringing up Fischer.

Fischer hit another grounder to Low, who threw the ball past Grimaldi at first. Gingeleskie and Rodriguez scored, but the relayed throw caught Finnerty between bases. Mascia tagged him for the third out. The score read 4-2, and it stayed that way for the next six innings.

Trailing, the Patriots rallied in the ninth. Jim D'Amico walked, and with one out, Stu Buckner lifted a short fly in back of second which broke off Warren Fischer's glove for a bloop single. Jim Duffy went in to pinch-run for Buckner. When Pace hurler Sam Smith uncorked a wild pitch, the runners moved up.

At bat was Mike Leiman. He had had trouble with Smith, but this time made solid contact and tagged a single into right field. As D'Amico and Duffy tallied, making it a 4-4 ball game, the bench went wild with approval. As for Leiman, Coach Tirico turned to the team and said, "5' 3" and he's all heart. He never quits."

Unable to score off reliever Art Claudio, Stony Brook took the field. Pitcher Carl Burrows overpowered Pace. He struck out Smith and Gingeleskie, then got Rodriguez to ground out. This created a novel situation. Stony

Brook was in its first extra-inning game in the school's history!

Low led off the tenth with a tremendous drive up the alley in left center. The tall, uncut grass soon stopped the ball. What should have been a home run, instead became a double. Claudio bore down and a grounder, strikeout, and pop out retired the side.

Leading off for Pace was powerful lefty Brian Finnerty. Coach Tirico countered this by switching Leiman from left to right field, and moving Tom Tomaszewski to left. The move looked like mental telepathy. With a two-ball count on him, Finnerty slammed a shot deep into right field.

For an instant, it appeared as if the ball would carry over the fence, and Leiman raced back. Fortunately the wind held the ball in and Leiman drifted in for the catch. Later he said, "When I turned around, I knew I had it." After that it was easy. Fischer popped up, and Claudio hit a high fly to Kreiner in center.

In the Patriot eleventh, Leiman battled Claudio and walked. Then he stole second. Paul Mascia hit a grounder to short and reached when Rodriguez made a bad throw to first. Mascia stole second, Leiman staying at third. This brought up Frank Grimaldi, who rammed a single into right, scoring Leiman with the go-ahead run before Mascia was cut down at the plate. Steve Kreiner followed with a single, scoring Grimaldi. Kreiner got trapped and tagged out, but Stony Brook led 6-4.

Pace got their first two men on base. Tom Scelzo beat out a roller, and Ward Carney reached on a checked swing single to right. The tying runs were on base, and Burrows got tough on the mound. He struck out Pete Caparis. Sam Smith bunted towards first and was tagged by Grimaldi. Joe Gingeleskie hit a short fly to left. Matt Low raced back and made the difficult play, and the ball game was over.

As Low caught the ball, the team swarmed Burrows. Coach Tirico was shouting, "That-a-way to go. Now they'll respect you. They put their bats away in the ninth inning, I hate that." He said the team "really wanted to win. They got mad." Steve Kreiner summed up the team's feelings, smiling and saying, "Winning sure beats losing."

## Frosh 9 Set To Open Season

By LOU MAZEL

When one walks across the athletic field these days, he is sure to notice the varsity baseball team going through its practice. If the observer is keen, he will also notice a somewhat motley bunch of ball players going through the same calisthenics and drills as the varsity. They are the freshman baseball team, under the leadership of Coach Herb Brown and Manager Robert Brody.

When asked what he planned to concentrate on, Coach Brown said that he hoped to stress the fundamentals of the game and put the players in many of the situations that the varsity faces. He is also anxious to develop players who are potential varsity material and can help Coach Frank Tirico's team next year.

Coach Brown feels that this year's freshman team is more experienced than last year's, and he is looking forward to

some close ballgames. The versatile infield has good prospects with four former high school varsity players at the starting positions. At first base is Mike Weiner who gained honorable mention distinction in last year's all-city nominations as a senior at Francis Lewis High School. The other infielders are Neil Weiss, Lou Mazel and Mike Moskowitz. Mazel, Weiss and Moskowitz can all play at either

(Continued on Page 13)

## Game Stats

Stony Brook					Pace				
AB	R	H	RBI		AB	R	H	RBI	
Grimaldi	5	2	1	1	Gingeleskie	6	1	1	1
Kreiner	5	1	2	1	Rodriguez	3	2	0	0
Low	4	0	2	1	Finnerty	4	0	1	0
Burrows	5	0	0	0	Fischer	5	0	0	1
D'Amico	4	1	1	0	Claudio	5	0	0	0
Dono	5	0	0	0	Scelzo	5	0	2	0
Buckner	4	0	1	0	Carney	4	1	2	0
Duffy	0	1	0	0	Fenster	2	0	0	0
Tomaszewski	1	0	0	0	Caparis	2	0	0	0
Leiman	4	1	1	2	Smith	5	0	0	0
Mascia	3	0	0	0					
	40	6	8	5		41	4	6	2

Stony Brook 200 000 002 02 6 8 6  
Pace 130 000 000 00 4 6 4  
Errors: Low 2, Mascia 2, Grimaldi, Dono, Rodriguez 2, Finnerty, Scelzo  
Doubles: Low, Finnerty, Carney  
Stolen Bases: Kreiner, Low, Leiman, Mascia, Scelzo, Carney  
Sacrifice Fly: Low

Stony Brook						
	ING	H	R	ER	W	K
Burrows (W)	11	6	4	2	5	9
	Pace					
Smith	9	1/3	5	4	3	5
Claudio (L)	2	2/3	3	2	2	1