

Gridmen

Defense and Flynn Add Up to a Win



THE STONY BROOK FOOTBALL CLUB shut out Queens, led by a tight defense and quarterback Brian Flynn. Flynn ran for an 87 yard touchdown and hooked up with tight end Mark Raisch for six more points. photo by Robert Schwartz

By GERALD REIS

The Stony Brook football club scored an impressive victory over Queens College on Saturday. The Patriots put it all together in their first win of the year, achieving a 26-0 shutout.

For the second week in a row the team played on a muddy field. The drenching rain and gusty winds made accurate execution of plays difficult, but the Pats overcame these conditions with ease.

Explosive Attack

Stony Brook showed off an explosive offense, something it had been lacking in its previous encounters. Prior to the first game of the season, starting quarterback Tom Feretti broke his ankle in practice. Since then, coach John Buckman has been looking for a leader to assume control of the offense. Brian Flynn seems to be the man for the job. He led the team beautifully in his initial performance as quarterback. (Continued on Page 17)

A Rainy Day at Stony Brook

Saturday's continuous downpour forced postponement of three Patriot sports while the football club braved the elements.

The Baseball team's doubleheader against Baruch College became a Friday afternoon game to be played here at 3 p.m. on October 13.

The Soccer team's match against L.I.U. became a Thursday contest to be played here at 3 p.m., on October 12.

The Cross Country team's meet against Brooklyn, Brooklyn Poly, and Lehman Colleges was postponed until a later date to be announced.

Polity Elections Tomorrow



DAVE FRIEDRICH, Polity Treasurer, has been Acting Polity President since the judiciary voided last spring's presidential race.

Spring is the traditional time for the student government at Stony Brook to hold elections for the positions of President, Vice-President, Secretary and Class Representatives. However, last May while the Vice-Presidential candidate lost to "No," a dog was run for President, voting irregularities came to light, and in the resulting furor, the results of the Presidential race were declared void by the Polity Judiciary.

After many false starts and delays, the elections were set for October 11, tomorrow! Rich Yolken who was in last spring's voided runoff is the only candidate for Polity President. He will be running against "No." Andrea Berry, Alan Fallick, Leslie Douglas and Danny Weingast are the candidates for Vice President. Other contests include those for the Polity Senate, Judiciary and Freshman officials. There will be three referenda on the ballot: one concerning Mace and Security, one about SAB benefit concert policy and one on greater allocations for the CCP. (Referendum highlight on page 3.)

The Candidates and Their Views:



VICE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES Alan Fallick, Andrea Berry and Danny Weingast voiced their opinions on campus issues in a press conference, last Sunday night, with Statesman editors.

-Polity Press Conference

Excerpts See Page 5

—Platform Statements

-See Pages 12 and 13

News Briefs

Vietnam Notes

Kissinger Travels Again, Cambodia Fears Attacks

By JONATHAN D. SALANT

Henry Kissinger, President Nixon's national security advisor, met for the nineteenth time in Paris (with North Vietnam's top negotiators) yesterday and the meetings will continue through today.

No substantive details were given out the meeting, which Kissinger and his assistant Major General Alexander M. Haig, Jr. met with Hanoi Politburo member, Le Duc Tho, and Xuan Thuy, Hanoi's chief negotiator in Paris.

A White House aide said that the reason that Haig was present at the talks is that he had an up-to-date understanding of South Vietnamese President Nguyen Van Thieu's views. The subject of what to do with the Thieu government after a peace settlement has been a major stumbling block in the negotiations, both public and private.

The fact that these talks might extend to three days for the first time has heightened speculations about a peace settlement. Some publications and persons have said that a breakthrough was imminent, while others have been skeptical of a settlement.

Only a few top officials in Washington and Hanoi know the exact details of the negotiations. Secretary of Defense Melvin Laird said that he knew about the talks and described them as "serious, significant, and very sensitive," but he gave no details. President Nixon said only that the "negotiations are in a sensitive stage."

Cambodia Fears Attacks

Cambodia prepares to celebrate its second anniversary as a republic this week amidst fears of a new enemy offensive. Reports of fresh fighting in that country are causing anxiety that increased Communist military pressures will be brought on Phnom Penh, Cambodia's capital, simultaneously with attacks on Saigon to force the Southeast Asian conflict into the forefront of the United States



PRESIDENT THIEU: says that the Communist peace plan, was a "wicked design."

Presidential campaign.

Fighting between South Vietnamese troops and Communist guerrillas continued yesterday as close as ten miles north of Saigon. However, the South Vietnamese were unable to dislodge the guerrillas from three hamlets near the capital which they have controlled all weekend. The Communists appear to be moving towards Saigon, which has been spared so far of any fighting since the current offensive which started on March 30.

Reports said that the fighting was not heavy, but Saigon government forces and American and South Vietnamese air support could not force the Communists out. Thousands of civilians streamed out of the battle area.

American bombing in Vietnam remains heavy. The U.S. command reported that 310 tactical air strikes were flown over North Vietnam between 5 p.m. Friday and 5 p.m. Saturday. One American plane was lost over the weekend.

Thieu Opposes Coalition

South Vietnamese President Nguyen Van Thieu has again denounced the Communist peace plan which calls for a coalition government in South Vietnam called the proposal a "wicked design" and said that the "Communists have been trying to put forth a ... political scheme proposing a cease-fire and a three-segment government."

Thieu also insisted that a United Press International story that said that a new allied peace proposal was in the works was



HENRY KISSINGER: met with North Vietnamese negotiators for the 19th time in Paris.

"pure fabrication." Speculation heightened when Major General Alexander M. Haig, Henry Kissinger's deputy, visited Thieu last week.

Civilian Damage Not Reported

Major General John W. Pauly, deputy director of operations for the Joint Chiefs of Staff, told a Senate panel in secret testimony that the Pentagon and the Administration "do not necessarily" receive specific reports detailing civilian damage and casualties resulting from U.S. air strikes in North Vietnam.

The transcript of the closed hearings were made public by Senator Edward M. Kennedy (D-Mass.). The hearings were called by Kennedy's subcommittee in an effort to determine the extent of civilian damage in North Vietnam stemming from American raids on military targets there. Several Senators acquainted with the transcript said that the hearings once again raised the problem of command and control in the conduct of the Vietnam war.

Baseball Playoffs

Pirates, A's Leading

The 1972 Baseball World Series is slated to start Saturday, and four teams are battling to become the stars of the national television show. The Pittsburgh Pirates and Oakland A's are leading their respective series, which continue today.

Pittsburgh nipped Cincinnati yesterday, 3-2, to take a two games to one advantage in the scheduled five game National League play-offs. The final two games are to be played in Cincinnati.

The Oakland Athletics are sitting in the American League driver's seat in defeating Detroit 3-2 in 11 innings, Saturday and 5-0 on Sunday.

The Sunday contest was marred by an incident involving Oakland shortstop Bert

Campaneris and Detroit Tiger pitcher Lerrin LaGrow. After what the A's thought were a number of close pitches, Campaneris was hit by a LaGrow pitch while batting. Campaneris then threw his bat at LaGrow, but it missed striking him. The two contestants were ejected from the game.

American League President Joe Cronin suspended Campaneris for the remaining playoff games, and fined him \$500.

In Saturday's game, Al Kaline homered in the top of the eleventh to give Detroit a 2-1 lead. In the last of the eleventh, however, Kaline threw wildly to third base, allowing the winning Oakland run to score.

International

If yesterday was any indication, the U.N. General Assembly may have to define what a terrorist is before it can take action against international terrorists. Syrian Foreign Minister Abdul Halim Khaddam addressed the General Assembly. He asked if George Washington and other leaders of the American revolution were not in fact, terrorists.

He directed his policy speech at Israel as well, and said the Israelis are more guilty of terrorism than any Palestinian commando. Khaddam said Israeli leaders want to divert world attention away from Israeli activities in occupied Palestine and other Arab territories. Khaddam says that the heroes resisting Nazi and fascist occupation in Europe were terrorists in the eyes of the Nazi and fascist leaders.

Members of a Mexican railroad crew involved in the country's second worst train disaster last Friday have been jailed. Authorities say the men, an engineer, four crewmen and two railroad officials, will be charged with a number of crimes in the incident, including homicide. The state charges and the men deny that tequila and women caused the train to derail, killing 208 persons.

Military sources say U.S. Air Force B-52 bombers mistakenly leveled a group of hamlets, killing 88 Cambodian civilians. The sources report that the hamlets were clearly designated on strategy maps as non-military. The U.S. command in Saigon says the raids 12 days ago were aimed at "enemy troops and lines of communication."

National

Southerners want to vote in the Senate on tough anti-busing legislation, which already has passed the House and has strong administration support. It would end virtually all long-distance busing as a tool for desegregation. It is generally believed that the Senate will approve the bill if it ever comes to a vote. The southerners moved yesterday to force a second vote on whether to stop a filibuster against the bill. It is an insurance move, because the Senate has not voted on the first cloture measure yet. The first vote is today, the second tomorrow.

An appeal is before the Senate urging enactment of tough anti-noise legislation before congress adjourns. Contending that the health and hearing of more than 80-million Americans are at stake, a coalition of labor, civic and medical groups sent a letter to all 100 members of the Senate. The coalition is seeking support for a bill giving the Environmental Protection Agency broad powers against noise.

The Republican congressional leadership is upset by the campaign tactics of Democrat George McGovern and his running mate, Sargent Shriver. The GOP leadership wrote a letter to the Fair Campaign Practices Committee, and said the smear, mudslinging and innuendo of the Democratic candidates are a disgrace to the political process.

State

Senator Jacob Javits says there is a "crisis level of crime" and has called for the marshalling of government resources to "reduce the level of violence in this country."

Citing drug and alcohol addiction as two major factors in rising crime, Javits said that "over the past 20 years we have seen an explosion of crime in most urban areas throughout the country."

The New York Republican said that recent statistics indicate a slight reduction in crime throughout the nation. But, he added, "even the statistics are suspect, since so many crimes go unreported and the statistics themselves are subject to manipulation."

Local

One man was drowned and another injured when a small oil tanker struck their anchored cabin cruiser in the Fire Island Inlet Channel, a thousand feet west of the Robert Moses State Parkway Bridge.

Lt. Amos Daniel, commander of the Fire Island Coast Guard station, said the victims apparently saw the tanker bearing down on them and jumped overboard.

The crew of the 190 foot tanker, KEEGAN II, pulled one man out of the water, but he was pronounced dead on arrival at Good Samaritan Hospital in West Islip.

A Nassau Grand jury takes evidence today into the shooting death of an 18-year old Spring Valley man, killed in a struggle with a policeman outside the Nassau Coliseum a week ago. Eyewitnesses' testimony differs as to how George Haber died. Four say the policeman deliberately shot him, two others say it was accidental.

Ken Johnson, a member of the Fortune Society, meets with inmates at the Nassau County jail to find out if there are unsuitable conditions there. Friday, 20 men slashed their wrists and arms with a lid from an aluminum can because a guard refused to play the radio over the public address system. Nassau Sheriff Michael Senuik withheld the news from the press because he felt it would jeopardize talks between him and the inmates.

Inside Statesman

- SBU Gets Lutheran Pastor —See page 8
- Statements Opposing DoD Research —See page 10
- Policy Statements by Polity Candidates —See page 12
- Editorials —See page 18
- Birth Control Counseling —See page 4
- Polity Candidates Speak on Issues —See page 5

STATESMAN student newspaper of SUNY at Stony Brook, is published Tuesdays and Fridays during the academic year and once during the summer semester by Statesman Association, an unincorporated, non-profit organization. Mailing address: P.O. Box AE, Stony Brook, N.Y., 11790. Editorial and business phone: (516) 246-3690. Subscriber to Liberation News Service, College Press Service and Reuters. Represented for national advertising by National Educational Advertising Service, 18-E. 50 St., New York City. Printed by Smithtown News, 1 Brookside Drive, Smithtown, N.Y. Entered as second class matter at Stony Brook, N.Y.

Toll Confronts DoD Critics in Union Debate

By JASON MANN

University President John Toll and Professor Franco Jona debated Professors Michael Zweig and Steven Jonas in the Stony Brook Union Auditorium yesterday on Department of Defense research on campus.

The debate started at 3:15 p.m. with moderator Amy Hawkin stating the ground rules of the debate. Professor Jona, who refused to sit with the three other parties and instead sat in the front row of the audience delivered an excited "preamble" in which he states; "that what was to be a meeting in which things were discussed has become a debate with two champions on one side and two champions on the other side. I did not intend to participate in 3 debate and I do not intend to participate in a debate..." He stated that he came here not expecting a debate but a forum on DoD, and was not speaking for DoD or anyone else, but rather only for himself.

President Toll delivered his opening arguments and said that "in judging what research grants or contracts to accept on the campus, I base my decisions on proper academic grounds. To use that decision as a weapon in the national political debate would not only be improper, but it would expose the University to invasion of our decisions by outside authorities who feel that they have more right to make the political decision than we. In the long run such actions would only destroy the University as an independent forum..." President Toll also added that "our research contracts and grants in no way affect the outcome of the war in Vietnam."

Professor Steven Jonas spoke next. He claimed that the University is "not isolated from society," and that the Department of Defense "is one of the chief agencies that the government has at this time to do bad things." He emphasized that the Defense Department only supports direct military related projects. Professor Jonas also maintained that "there is no such thing as a non-political position. Every question is political" and "...support of DoD does not remove the University from politics. We have already been involved in political questions by doing DoD research for the government."

Professor Franco Jona of the Department of Material Sciences, answered criticism of his application for a one million dollar grant from the Department of Defense in an intense manner. He mentioned that he was also applying to the National Science Foundation for a grant for the exact same project as was submitted to the Department of Defense.

(Continued on Page 4)



DISCUSSING DEFENSE DEPT. RESEARCH: From left to right, Steven Jona and Michael Zweig, protesting DoD research, moderator Amy Hawkin, and University President John S. Toll, defending his decision to permit DoD research to remain on campus. photo by Martin Privalsky

Kimble and Chason Dispute Student Access to Facilities

A dispute has developed between Acting Vice President for Student Affairs Robert Chason and Security Director Joseph Kimble over the implementation of the Facilities Use Guidelines issued last January.

The problem was brought to light after a mood scheduled to be held last Saturday night at Roth Quad had to be postponed because of failure to comply with these rules.

According to the Guidelines published as a memorandum on January 14, 1972 and signed by Executive Vice President T. Alexander Pond, "The sponsor of any event must discuss the nature of the event with the Director of Safety and Security so that he may evaluate the possibility that extraordinary measures may be required...if extraordinary measures cannot be provided as part of the normal operating day, he is authorized at his discretion to require payment..."

Chason has stated that there is a "professional disagreement" between himself and Kimble over what constitutes grounds for imposing the regulations. He feels that quad function decisions should be made by quad level administrators as to whether or not the building



ARGUING OVER FACILITIES USE: Security Director Joseph Kimble (right) and Acting Assistant Vice President for Student Affairs Robert Chason disagree as to when a building may be used by students without Security's approval.

should be made accessible.

"The intent of the document (Facilities Use Guidelines) is not to restrict student activity on campus but that has been the net result," Chason said. At a meeting with members of the College Community Program, the Student Affairs office, and Resident Advisors from Roth quadrangle last Thursday, Chason expressed the need for discussions between Pond, Kimble and Polity members to change the guidelines, saying it was "of priority importance."

According to Steve Farber, one of the Resident Advisors from Hendrix College, the

student's concern grew out of Kimble's attempt to enforce guidelines which were supposed to apply only for major events involving the surrounding community and off-campus residents, to a proposed Mood by Roth quad residents. Farber stated that, "There is a general feeling among students that we are being hampered at every corner."

There was a general nod of approval when Chason said that "the guidelines should not turn the University into a police state. It's also not doing great things for Security's image to impose the guidelines like this."

Mace, College Funds, SAB Policy In Referendum Tomorrow

By MIKE DUNN

Use of mace, allotment of Polity funds for the Residential College Program, and a revote on the SAB charity referendum will be the referendums on the ballot tomorrow, October 11.

The mace referendum will not be phrased as a simple yes-no question, but it will consist of several questions relating to the use of mace. The referendum, which will be worded by Acting Assistant Vice President of Student Affairs, Robert Chason, and Acting Polity President Dave Friedrich, will query students on their opinions of Security's ability to handle mace. Questions on this referendum will ask students if they feel security officers are properly equipped to defend themselves and whether they (students) would use mace if placed in a hypothetical situation, posed by the questionnaire.

Last year the Residential College Plan (RCP)

was awarded ten dollars from each student's activities' fee as a result of a referendum approved in the Spring, '71. This referendum applied only last year as the Student Senate passed a different type of college government budget for this year.

Twenty-three thousand dollars has already been allotted to the residential college from Polity's budget. Each college's allotment will be determined by its population. The referendum will determine whether or not more money will be allotted to the colleges.

Each college's budget this year will also include budgeting for moods, if they are to exist. SAB will not be sponsoring moods.

The SAB concert referendum, which guarantees 50% of the fee received by a performing major rock group to a charity approved by the group and the Student Council, will be voted on again. If the student body votes yes on this referendum, this policy will continue.

New Loan Procedure

New procedures initiated by the Financial Aid Office will affect all students awarded National Defense Scholarship Loans or Equal Opportunity Grants.

In an effort to expedite student completion of all necessary claimant forms and the distribution of checks (most of which have already been received from Albany), special "work sessions" have been arranged. At these sessions, loan or grant recipients will be asked

to fill out required forms, and then receive clearance to pick-up their monies.

This procedure differs from those followed in the past in that it abolishes the individual interview in favor of a group work session.

These sessions will be held from October 10 thru October 13 in room 236 of the Union. Concerned students should be advised of the following schedule, arranged by the first letter of the last name:

Date	1:00p.m.	2:30p.m.	4:00p.m.
Oct. 10	A-D	A-D	A-D
Oct. 11	E-K	E-K	E-K
Oct. 12	L-Q	L-Q	L-Q
Oct. 13	R-Z	R-Z	R-Z



Two weeks ago President Toll taped an announcement in the WUSB studios which invited members of the surrounding community to the campus to participate in the activities of community month. The announcement is being broadcast on radio stations in Suffolk County. President Toll announced that "Throughout the month, students, staff and faculty will be hosting special events... We'd like to urge our friends and neighbors to become more familiar with the campus." Community Month is in its third week. For a detailed account of events planned through Sunday October 15, see page 5.

Crime Round-up

Sept. 30

1) Complainant reported red (trouble) light on at simplex panel located in main lobby of Douglas College. Complainant also stated that there were no pulled fire alarm boxes and no alarm sounded. There was no fire. Firematics officer George Buck reported that the trouble was in the panel board and that he fixed it.

2) A fire alarm was pulled in Benedict College by unknown person. It was reset. System back in service.

3) The caretaker at Sunwood Estates reported that an oriental rug had been taken from the foyer.

Oct. 1

A complainant from Hand College stated that he was attacked by a "furry, vicious, medium-sized black dog." No skin was broken, and the Housing office will take care of the incident.

Oct. 3

Debbie Basso, a student, was hospitalized and reported in critical condition after having been hit by a car while riding her bicycle on Loop Road.

Oct. 4

1) Headquarters received an unidentified call of a loud noise in the vicinity of G and H quads. Approximately 50 students were involved in the "boisterous carrying on" that involved a bullhorn and fireworks. Further investigation revealed that the students had taken a chemistry exam this date and were "letting off steam and tensions." No further action was taken.

2) An anonymous complaint was received of a small fire in Roth Quad area, on the east side of Lake Leon. On arrival of two units, a male and female student were found huddled around a small fire. They were advised that camp fires without authorization were not permitted. The officers then extinguished the fire.

3) A stereo console was stolen from the basement lounge of Kelly B.

4) Complainant from Kelly D reported theft of \$18.00 cash from her pocket book while it was on her desk. She left the room for a short time and did not take her pocket book with her.

Oct. 5

A custodian working in the Union found a gray box full of keys in the upstairs bathroom. She notified supervisors. The keys were identified as belonging to the Commuter Dorm (Gray College), and brought to headquarters.

Oct. 6

1) Complainant reported AM/FM radio cassette missing from car parked in Engineering lot. The approximate value was \$110.

2) A girl from Hendrix stated that sometime between the hours of 12 and 5 her navy blue denim jacket was missing or stolen from the Union lobby. The jacket contained a wallet, but no money.

Oct. 7

1) Complainant reported a male seen entering Roth III after he had snapped off the lamp between Roth II and III. Immediately after he heard glass breaking and found an exit light and ceiling light damaged. The suspect was probably drunk, according to Security. He was gone upon arrival of investigating officers.

2) A visitor reported that his front wheels were stolen from a car in G lot. Value approximately \$110. Also possible front end damage "as vehicle was dropped to ground." No entry was gained to interior of vehicle.

3) A student in Hendrix reported that his 1969 Volkswagen was vandalized. Value unknown at this time.

4) Fire was reported by elevator on second floor of Union. It was put out by manager, who also turned off the power switch. He stated that the elevator company would be notified.

5) A bicycle was reported stolen in front of Whitman College, value unknown.

TOTAL KNOWN VALUE OF STOLEN PROPERTY AND DAMAGE FOR THIS PERIOD WAS \$528.

Task Force to Clear Up "Inactive" Student Accounts

By ELLEN LEDER

A 13 man task force has been established in the Student Accounting Office to clear the accounts of 12,500 "inactive" students. According to Chief University Accountant Fran Baselice, "high priority has been assigned the force by President Toll and Vice President of Finance and Management, Joseph Diana."

The task force was established last July because the master file of students who have graduated, transferred, or no longer attend Stony Brook, was disorganized to the extent that full attention could not be paid to current students' accounts.

It consists of 12 SG-5 account clerks (Civil Service Ranking), hired and trained specifically for the force, and is coordinated by Rolf Kraehmer of the Student Accounting Office.

A major goal of the force is to notify the Faculty-Senate Association about refunding University deposits of students who have left the University. It also uses an "exhaustive effort" to notify and bill ex-students for money owed to the University. This involves sending the student three letters, the third registered, in 60 days. If the bill is ignored, the New York State Attorney General's Office is notified.

Funds for the task force were reallocated from other departments, including the Office of Student Affairs and the Office of Finance and Management.

Another responsibility of the force is to review accounts in those offices where an error may have



CLEANING THE SLATE: Rolf Kraehmer is to coordinate squaring the accounts of former Stony Brook students.

occurred in the student's overall bill. By the time a student's account is on the master file, it has gone through the Admission's, Bursar's, and Housing Offices. The task force goes back to each office to coordinate the amount of money paid and owed to the University.

Some accounts have been on the master file since SUSB's first year of operation. The force will probably take a year to clear all the records. They have been working on about 187 accounts per week.

Infirmary Abortion Referral Seeks Funds for Operation

By GILDA LE PATNER

Birth control counseling and abortion counseling and referral are available on campus, in (although not affiliated with) the Infirmary, room 124. According to Charles Moser, head of Counselor Training, four student counselors are able to discuss V.D. and abortion alternatives.

Twenty Stony Brook students are training to become counselors. These trainees must attend a series of lectures and practice sessions, and do readings, not only to learn the necessary facts, but the techniques used in counseling as well. Before he is permitted to counsel, each person will sit in on other counselors and give a lecture in one of the residential colleges. The course is being taught by Moser, an advisor. The ten males and ten females were chosen from 60 sopomores and juniors who applied for the course.

"Misinformation Abounds"

The program was conceived of two years ago when five students attended a lecture series given by a group of doctors. Of these five students, only Moser is still attending Stony Brook. Besides Moser, Ginny Boharis and Rochelle Goldstein have coordinated the training program and counseling, with the help of graduate student Drew Zambelli. Moser commented, "We as a group are trying to solve what we consider to be a very basic human problem about which misinformation abounds..."

Hopefully, with adequate support, we can provide good counseling and referral services."

The service is now trying to raise money from the Community Action Council. The service had tried to obtain funds from the Programs Services Committee last week but the issue was tabled until Thursday night. At the last meeting of the Programs Services Committee, it was decided that no money would be appropriated to the Abortion Referral until the PSC could determine whether or not the appropriation would fall under the state guidelines.

Mark Dawson of the Program Services Committee, said after the meeting it would not be illegal to give money to the clinic. The matter will be discussed Thursday night.

Money they raise will be used to advertise in Statesman, set up a loan fund for abortions, and buy pamphlets, books, and birth control devices. A series of fund-raising lectures in the residential colleges is in the planning stage.

After midterms, another lecture group is scheduled to train birth control counselors. When trained, they should also be able to act as witnesses to gynecological examinations, and perform culture tests. This will give the nurses more time to do examinations, thus alleviating the backlog of people waiting to be seen by the Infirmary.

All gynecological services are also being offered by the Women's Center, located in room 060 of the Stony Brook Union.

Office hours in the Infirmary are Monday through Thursday from 7:00 to 8:00 p.m. or by appointment.



DR. FRANCO JONA: He asked that research be judged on what it is about, not on who supports it.

DoD Issue Debated in Union

(Continued from Page 3)

Dr. Jona asked that defense related research be judged on what it is about not on who supports it. He claimed "every piece of research that is going on on this campus and elsewhere can be used for military purposes if you want to."

In a later interview, Toll said he believed Jona had a better chance to get his grant from the National Science Foundation than the Defense Department and he would probably not receive the \$1,000,000 grant he requested.

Professor Michael Zweig, representing the Attica brigade closed the presentation maintaining that student action can affect the military. He cited the cases of the fight to end the draft and ROTC. He called for change by "massive militant action."

A question and answer period followed during which several points were made. President Toll stated the 4 percent of all University research is funded by the Defense Department. He disputed Professor Jona's opinion that the Department of Defense does not support basic research programs. He stated "the army has responsibility for harbors, dams, and other things in this country. The Office of Naval Research has been the principle supporter of research in the Marine Sciences... the Department of Defense, through the Air Force, has been one of the major supporters for basic research in mathematics..." President Toll was also asked why research files were kept locked until this year. President Toll responded that the files describing research projects are now open except for certain information such as budget and personnel.

Candidates Evaluate and Analyze Polity's Role

(On Sunday evening, Statesman held a press conference at the WUSB conference room, open to all Polity Presidential and Vice-Presidential candidates. Rich Yolken, the only Presidential candidate, opposed by "No," did not attend nor did Vice Presidential candidate Leslie Douglas. Vice-Presidential candidates Danny Weingast, Andrea Berry, and Alan Fallick did attend. The conference lasted almost an hour and was broadcast throughout the UNION. Questions were posed by Larry Bozman, Editor-in-chief, Robert Tiernan, News Director, and Leonard Steinbach, News Editor of Statesman. The results of the conference, somewhat edited and abridged follows.)

How can Polity be made a more potent organization?

Weingast: If students stand their ground and make viable demands on the administration, they'll just have to listen. The problem is, I feel, students have been demonstrating and asking things from a position of non-strength. They have to demonstrate and demand their petitions from a position of strength, with facts and figures basing their allegations against the administration. This can be done, if people just put their mind to it... with a logical presentment of arguments and muckraking.

Fallick: The most important thing (is) increasing the lines of communication with the students, showing them you can help them and having the students realize that (they) have a representative...

Right now on a concrete level the students organizations (businesses), the things in Kelly, Marx, the Knosh, now are in jeopardy. SCOOP (a Polity owned co-op similar in function to the FSA) has to be enacted either on a college level, or a University level with the different organizations getting some sort of guidelines from Polity, or some sort of funds which they can use to help them string along rather than have the FSA close them down. Polity can help, I believe.

Berry: I think part of the problem with Polity is the election process itself. A lot of students, Freshman and transfer specifically, seem to feel that one group on campus dominates the elections. The elections then become a farce; they don't know who's running. The people aren't represented on the ballots. I've talked to a lot of Freshman who feel that Polity is not a joke. Freshman can't be apathetic about something they don't know about. One basic change that has to be done to Polity; the elections have to be totally revamped. Polity has to throw open its meetings this year for the first three months because they aren't going to work united for something they absolutely don't care about. The only way to get students to really take an interest in Polity is if the officers in Polity take an interest in Polity themselves.

How do you feel about the SAB referendum issue and the notion that concert groups must donate 50% to charity?

Weingast: "Most groups that SAB does get come here getting less money than they would any place else. A lot of them are unknown groups, they get \$300 to come here. Usually, it doesn't even cover travelling expenses and one manager has to help them set up their equipment and such. If feel that it's a good idea that they should donate some of their money... you'll usually get better concerts that way. You'll get performers who care about their audience."

Fallick: "I support the referendum in a modified form. The figure would have to be decided in concert. I was in favor of it last year, but now seeing that we cannot get the big groups we had, I've reconsidered it, but we should still have the proposal. The University is supposed to show things society can do before it gets all the hang-up that it has. Until they get to that point - we can do things, maybe giving them a 20% benefit ... I've seen in my three years here groups that would come, and six months later they would be really big groups. They'd play for a small fee. I can't see why we can't get smaller groups now, still have this thing included in it, and not only that but have it to the point where we can actually set a precedent for other colleges. That's the important thing. If we fold now, and we say that we're not going to do it, and we're going to override the referendum, then other colleges won't pick it up, and any chance of rock groups practicing what they preach is over."

Berry: "As far as the referendum is stated now, I think the 50 per cent is totally unrealistic. I think we're asking

small groups to play at a loss, and I don't think that any major groups are really going to agree. I think if we do ask for a percentage it should be no more than 15 per cent. As the referendum is stated now, I am totally against it. I think it's totally unrealistic."

Berry said that she agrees with the concept of having

What is your feeling toward mace being carried by Security, and what action would you expect Polity to take in regard to this matter?

Berry: "I'm totally against the use of mace on campus. Primarily because Security will not answer a call involving a firearm. And I do feel that mace really isn't that much of a protection to the Security policeman. It would be more damaging to students. [Polity] would try its best to get that policy reversed. I think most of them [Security officers] aren't competent enough to handle mace. The point is that Polity's role should be to present an objective view to the students. We should neither suggest that with mace Security will be out to murder us all or without mace we won't get the help we need in emergencies. I don't think the role of Polity should be to suggest to students to trust Mr. Kimble or to not trust him. One of the things Polity should do is try and elucidate for the students all the possible ramifications pro and con on the use of mace on campus."

Fallick: There is no need to have mace on campus. The number of crimes they've had in the past doesn't warrant the use of mace. Security's response to their calls does not warrant the use of mace. As for their time of response, if mace comes on campus, it would just lead to more poor relations between students and Security, and we have enough of a dichotomy right now."



DANNY WEINGAST, ANDREA BERRY, AND ALAN FALLICK: Polity vice presidential candidates participated in the Statesman Press Conference Sunday evening. Leslie Douglas also in contention for that post, did not attend. While the three who were present basically agreed on major points, their general emphases were different.

One issue that's come up in the past few weeks is the new University Governance proposal. This would entail the establishment of a University senate which would have representation from students and faculty, non-teaching professionals and administrators. Do you think student senators on the University senate would replace Polity as an organization, or enhance it?

Weingast: "On the face of the document, it gives equal representation to students, but actually it doesn't. The people who made up the proposal give students equal representation on some committees, and non-equal on others. If it's a student group, they give students higher say, if it's a faculty group they give faculty members higher say. I feel that overall, all groups should have equal say on all committees. As far as the ramifications on Polity go, it sort of gives Polity more of a job, in that it has to appoint more people to these committees. I don't think it would hinder Polity at all. It would give Polity a better place at which to voice opinions and let themselves be heard. In the present way, there is no formal organization or channel through which to voice any complaints, gripes or suggestions."

Fallick: "I don't know what the ulterior motives are for what the Administration is doing, but I would agree with Danny that it's a new voice that we have - it's a voice that's going to be heard. We can still have Polity in its full form. There's no reason why we can't. And the new governance rules would just allow us to have the faculty hearing us at a scheduled time and place without closing their ears. All the other Polity organizations will still be going on and, ideally, I would think the members of the student council would be on the new governance unit."

Berry: "As it stands now, if the plan was in effect, and Polity was out of existence, it's grossly unfair. I think that Polity has to exist with the ratio that exists now. The plan might even define a sharper role for Polity itself, and Polity may be looked to by students as a tool to operate out of. With the ratio now, Polity has to be there."

Considering the amount of money that Polity has to work with, how do you feel Polity can improve the quality of student life?

Berry: "Improve the life of students at Stony Brook, I don't think anything Polity can do can improve the life of each and every student at Stony Brook. But one thing I do know is that if students beforehand knew how the budget was going to be allocated, it would make a definite difference. As far as Polity funds go, one of the things that isn't being done now that I would like to see be implemented is the specification of roles of the offices in Polity. As far as the budget goes, there is no treasurer's report to the students - all we get in Statesman is a list of this, this, and this, that seems to have been already allocated the year before."

Fallick: "Well the key to all this is representation. It really is. The vice-president is not going to go and find out who every student is - he just can't do that. So, you have to have your senators find out what the mood of his constituency is, what the kids in his dorm want, what the commuters want, and then the Polity finds out that way."

Weingast: "Money doesn't solve you problems. It can help sometimes, though. First of all, the major problem which Polity is getting into is that Polity is supplying money where the state should be supplying money. Polity should be a political organization to improve student life on campus... should be an ombudsman type... to make demands. There's a new vehicle on campus, the self study. We will be able to get things done. We should be the spokesman for student problems, but we need the help of the students, because the Administration looks to student Polity as the spokesman for campus. If we have nothing to say there's nothing we can do."

(Complete policy platform statements of all presidential and vice-presidential candidates can be found on pages 12 and 13. Referendum sketches can be found on page three.)

Movies, Art and Science Highlight Week

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 10

"Symbols in the Mind — A Closer Look," a photographic exhibit involving the world of symbols by members of Minority Photographers, in the Stony Brook Union Gallery, 10-5 p.m.

San Francisco's New Shakespeare Company will present a modern interpretation of "As You Like It" at 8 p.m. in the Stony Brook Union Ballroom, cost \$1.

Tuesday Flicks features two films by Renoir, "The Grand Illusion" and "Rules of the Game." The first film deals with the classic myths of chivalry, glory and warfare while the latter is a satire of the French leisure class prior to WW II. 8 p.m., SBU auditorium.

Professor Max Dresden will

discuss the evolution of the contemporary model of the atom and its experimental foundations in a continuing series of lectures on "The Nuclear Atom." Geared for science teachers, the lecture begins at 5 p.m., in room 246 of the Light Engineering building.

Professor Ruth Miller will speak on the writings of major American authors, with emphasis on Poe, Hawthorne, Melville, Thoreau, and Emerson, in a continuing series of lectures on "Experience of Literature: New England Imagination," at 5 p.m. in room 110 of the Lecture Center.

Utilizing classical and current philosophical approaches to ethics, Professor David Benfield will lecture on "Philosophical Issues in Contemporary Morality" focusing on individual issues at 5:30 p.m. in room 102 of the Lecture Center.

Professor David Erdman will discuss the poetry of Byron and Shelley at 6:30 p.m. in room 101 of the Lecture Center.

Professor Sheldon Ackley speaks on the concepts of law and the nature of legal reasoning in "Philosophy of Law" at 8 p.m. in room 103 of the Lecture Center.

Dr. Bentley Glass,

distinguished Professor of Biology, will trace the history of modern biological concepts in a continuing lecture series entitled "Organisms through Time." 8 p.m., in room 110 of the Lecture Center.

Spectators are welcome to view swimming instructors working with physically and mentally handicapped children at the swimming pool in the gym at 7 p.m. Program Director, Henry Von Mechow will be available to answer questions.

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 11

Hedi West, a folk singer and Stony Brook lecturer, will discuss Folk Music of the British Isles and Western Hemisphere at 5 p.m. in room 043 of the Biology building.

Controversial author and former New York mayoralty candidate Norman Mailer, will speak in the gymnasium at 8 p.m., cost \$1.

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 12

Yves Montand and Simon Signoret star in "The Sleeping Car Murders" a 1965 film directed by Costa Gravas. Complicated plot twists and a flashy cinematic style contribute to this suspenseful murder mystery. Sponsored by the

Continuing Education Department. 8:30 p.m. in room 100 of the Lecture Center.

Professor Frank Myers lectures on "Government and Politics Abroad," a comparison of non-American political systems at 8 p.m. in room 141 of the Social Sciences building.

Professor Sheldon Ackley speaks on the concepts of law and the nature of legal reasoning in "Philosophy of Law" at 8 p.m. in room 103 of the Lecture Center.

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 14

The SBU is sponsoring a "Kiddie Matinee" at 10:30 a.m. in the Union Auditorium with three films — "Hansel and Gretel," "Spare the Rod," and "Hurry, Hurry." Admission: \$5.00

Walking tours of the Campus led by members of the University's faculty and administration start at the first floor lobby of the administration building at 1, 2, 3, and 4 p.m.; refreshments will be available. The hour-long tours cover the central campus with brief stops at individual facilities.

A 30-minute film "Stony Brook — The First Ten Years" touching on the University's historical background and briefly surveying the diversity of academic programs, will be shown at 2, 3, 4 and 5 p.m. in room 111 of the Lecture Center. University President John S. Toll will answer questions after the first showing at 2 p.m.

The Association for Community-University Cooperation will conduct a bus tour of the Stony Brook area for students, leaving the Stony Brook Union at 11 a.m.

The Association for Community-University Cooperation will host a reception for members of the community and the university in Union's buffeteria, 5 p.m. to 7 p.m. Drinks at the Dutch-treat cocktail hour will cost \$.75.

The Computer Assisted Instruction Laboratory will run demonstrations of computer-based teaching techniques at their facility in the basement of the Social Sciences Classroom building. Visitors will be able to use visual display computer consoles to respond to several programmed instructional sequences, 1:30-4:30 p.m.

A fall women's tennis match against Long Island University will be held on the tennis courts at 10:30 a.m.

SUNDAY, OCTOBER 15

Walking tours of the Campus led by members of the University's faculty and administration start at the first floor lobby of the administration building at 1, 2, 3, and 4 p.m.; refreshments will be available. The hour-long tours cover the central campus with brief stops at individual facilities.

The Association for Community-University Cooperation will conduct a bicycle tour of the community, leaving the SBU at 11 a.m. ACUC officers Fred Hackett and Al Van Buren will lead the cyclists.

Bring your trunks, the university's indoor swimming pool, located in the gymnasium, will be available for open community swimming from 1 to 5 p.m. Locker room facilities will also be available.

Judging the Outdoor Art — Fence Painting Contest on the library mall will be conducted all afternoon. Winner will be announced and prizes awarded at 3 p.m.

The International Art of Jazz will present a concert by the Clark Terry Quartet from 4-7 p.m. in the SBU Ballroom, cost if \$3 for non-students.

The Committee on Cinematographic Arts presents "The Gods and the Dead" at 8 p.m. in room 100 of the Lecture Center, cost \$.50.

TUTORING AND GUIDANCE
SINCE 1938

MCAT - DAT
LSAT - ATGSB
GRE

- Preparation for tests required for admission to graduate and professional schools
- Six and twelve session courses
- Small groups
- Voluminous material for home study prepared by experts in each field
- Lesson schedule can be tailored to meet individual needs. Lessons can be spread over a period of several months to a year, or for out of town students, a period of one week
- Opportunity for review of past lessons via tape at the center

Special Compact Courses during
Weekends — Intersessions
Summer Sessions

STANLEY H. KAPLAN
EDUCATIONAL CENTER LTD.

1075 East 19th Street Brooklyn, N. Y.

(212) 338-6300

(516) 538-4885

DAYS, EVENINGS, WEEKENDS

Branches in principal cities in U.S.

The Tutoring School with the Nationwide Reputation

NEED MONEY?

Statesman desperately needs people to sell advertising. No experience necessary. Must have car. We pay a generous 15% commission on all ads sold. If interested call 6-3690.

Ask for Greg Humes or Carole Myles.

EXXON

We're changing our name,
but not our stripes.



TOBIAN SERVICES

Rte 25A E. Setauket

941-9679

ROAD SERVICE

10% Student Discount
on Repairs

Upon presentation of student I.D.

N.Y.S. Inspection . . . General Repairs

SUFFOLK CHAPTER
NATIONAL REHABILITATION ASSOCIATION

GENERAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING

DATE: October 11, 1972

TIME: 7:30 p.m.

PLACE: Planning Building, Hauppauge County Center,
Veterans Highway

"THE PHYSICALLY DISABLED — OUTSIDE LOOKING IN?"

Dr. Joseph J. Panzarella, Jr., Director
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
Brunswick Hospital Center

Mr. Joseph Mandella, Director
Eastern Paralyzed
Veterans Assoc.

Mrs. Edith Shapiro, Director
Therapeutic Recreation Program
Suffolk Community College

Mr. Jerome Domowitz, Supervisor
Suffolk County Office of
Vocational Rehabilitation

Mr. John Burroughs, Supervisor
Besse H. Reiss Rehabilitation
Physically Handicapped Children

Bill's Auto Repair

— COMPLETE AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE —
Specializing in Foreign Cars

Engine Tune-Ups — Engines Rebuilt
Carburetors Rebuilt — Transmissions

10% Discount With Student I.D.

Route 112 - Industrial Park, Port Jefferson Sta., N.Y.

Tel. 473-0370

Composer Concert 'Capriciously' Appeals

By MICHELE PARKER

Nora Post totally obliterated every pre-conceived myth that the oboe should perform only classical music, as she played the premiere performance of Peter Winkler's "Ragtime Grackle." It was a fine finale for the first concert of the series entitled "Mostly from the Last Decade."

Winkler had started the concert playing Joseph Lamb's "American Beauty Rag." In 1913 "American Beauty Rag" was written by Joseph Lamb, whom Winkler described as "the only great white composer of rag." "Rag is difficult to play, its difficulty insulted the great pianists," explained Conrad Cummings, "and was avoided for that reason." Apparently nothing scares Peter Winkler.

In Samuel Adler's "Canto I, Three Solo Etudes for Trumpet," Larry Tallis produced every crazy and difficult sound you can get on a trumpet. Truly an avant-garde piece for the trumpet, it puts exaggerated demands on the performer to "maintain the musical thrust" as Larry intended to bring across for the audience. The use of the mute in the second etude and the double mute in the third created an aura of loneliness and melancholy.

Bizarre Piece

By far the most bizarre piece in the concert was M. William Karlins' "Graphic Mobile." Composed for no specific number of wind, string or percussion players, it is a piece that puts huge demands on each individual who decides to take part in its abstract form. What is particularly interesting is that on viewing the score one sees no notes or usual musical symbols but rather a score composed of feelings. The three leger lines only indicate whether to play a low, middle or high tone. Circles, dots, and snake-like lines leave the musical interpretation entirely up to the individual and the group. Contrary to the usual job of the conductor, he is primarily there to keep the seconds constant.

The piece is mobile in that both the group and the individual have the freedom to choose which page in the

score they want to play. In essence, there are numerous ways to choose to play the piece. No two performances need be alike.

To add contrast to the piece, Karlins has chosen to add solo riffs. In this performance, Tom Imlay played his intricate collection of china tea cups. Set up on a table in the fullest chromatic order he could find, they added the necessary delicacy to balance the heavy contra bass and piano.



The first in a series entitled "Mostly From The Last Decade" featured Peter Winkler performing an original composition, "Ragtime Grackle." photo by Muffin Irving

"Sequenza VII" was written by Luciano Berio, one of the ten best contemporary composers. The piece is written for solo oboe accompanied by electronic oscillator which plays a constant B natural throughout the composition, thus creates a horizon line from which the oboe can elaborate and expand. Nora Post is the second person in the world to play this piece, the first being the man for whom it was written, Hans Holiger. Nora studied under Holiger this past summer and produced her own, more emotional interpretation of the "Sequenza."

In "Five Capriccios for Oboe and Piano," Nora Post had the pleasure of having the composer, Ursula Mamlok, accompany her. Born in Germany and now teaching in New York City, Mamlok is described as one of the few well-known women composers of the day. She and Post will also play together in November at the "Works of Women Composers" concert in Philadelphia.

In contrast to the other pieces "Five Capriccios" was more solid and expressive. In the constant battle between the piano and the oboe, the oboe came up on top.

"Ragtime Grackle"

The final piece, "Ragtime Grackle," was written by Peter Winkler especially for Nora Post. In the first movement, "Frühlingmorgen mit Grackle u.a.," Nora portrays the grackle and all the other birds that sing on crisp, spring mornings. In the second movement, "Surge Amica Mea," the violist, Fern Pollack, performs a long, drawn out version of the Renaissance tune "Awake, Awake my Beloved." Over her is the obnoxiously bright grackle. The third movement, "Joe Heath's Stoptime Rag" was inspired by Haydn's Sixty-fourth Symphony. This movement initiated oboe into the full-time world of ragtime.

In a continuing effort to perform more music written by composers at Stony Brook, the second "Mostly From the Last Decade" concert will be Saturday, December 9, at 8:30 in Lecture Room 105. Be prepared for an equally brilliant concert.

Glass Menagerie: The Play and Players

By MICHAEL B. KAPE

If you want to see professional theatre, you don't always have to travel to the city and hassle with traffic, parking, or the LIRR. Just twenty miles from Stony Brook is Long Island's only professional theatre group, the Performing Arts Foundation (PAF). The group is now in its second season of producing in Huntington.

The Foundation

PAF is basically a regional theatre; a professional theatre group designed to serve the three million people of Long Island. It is trying to fulfill a need of the community to share common experience just as a baseball game brings people together to share a common event.

The foundation performs two types of services. The first is to produce plays on a monthly basis for the general public. The second, equally important, is an Arts-in-Education program. This consists of an Artist-in-Residence in the classroom, and the creative classroom, which both serve to "demonstrate the relevance of performing arts techniques to the classroom and to develop the teacher's ability to accomplish curriculum objectives," according to PAF. In other words, through improvisation and other methods, it tries to bring relevance to seemingly non-relevant subjects. In addition to these programs, the foundation also offers a laboratory for adults in the various aspects of the professional theatre from actor's techniques to technical skills. It also offers an apprentice program for those interested in just learning and doing theatre.

Like any other theatre, PAF operates on a tight budget. It now receives money from the New York State Council on the Arts, and an endowment from the federal government. Very recently it received a \$25,000 grant from the Roslyn school district for continuance of its Arts-in-Education program. In addition to these grants, PAF also sells season subscriptions.

The massive effort to start a professional theatre was headed by Clint Marantz, who is now executive

director of PAF. The resident director is Richard Jamieson, a talented man who most recently staged PAF's "Little Murders" and the current production, "The Glass Menagerie," the first entry for this season. Most of the actors on the PAF stage come from the resident acting company, a group of talented, versatile performers. In addition to "The Glass Menagerie," the repertory for this season includes Moliere's "Tartuffe," "Charlie's Aunt" by Brandon Thomas, Ibsen's "Hedda Gabler," George Kelly's "The Show-Off" and one more play still unannounced.

"The Glass Menagerie"

The showcase of all the work that is involved in the foundation is, of course, the production. As if to prove that the group is of the highest quality, PAF's production of "The Glass Menagerie" is absolutely fantastic.

The play itself is a memory play dealing with the story of a mother, a son and a daughter, who all live in a world of illusion. The play is narrated by Tom, the son, and takes place in his memory. The mother, Amanda, lives in the past, when she was a young, pretty southern belle. Laura, the daughter, is a painfully shy, crippled girl whose only reality is in the dream world of her tiny glass animals. There is one other character, Jim, a gentleman caller for Laura, who is the only character in the play that doesn't live in a dream.

Director Jamieson has interpreted the play to be almost a lyric poem in the tradition of Yeats, Joyce, and William Blake. A few times during the play, he turned off the action and turned on the poetry in the lines. For example, a speech of Amanda's about her beaux picking jonquils for her was done with her alone in a spotlight, and the rest of the stage in darkness. It may sound like a strange way of proving a point, but it worked very effectively. The main reason this production is so good is this sense of direction and the actor's response to it. This was especially clear in the scene between Laura and the gentleman caller. Most productions lose the idea that Jim and Laura are falling in love as they reconstruct

the past. This production emphasized this point, and thus left the play without the ambiguities usually connected with it.

For the most part, the actors performed very well. Vera Lockwood, a "Search For Tomorrow" graduate, was generally very good as Amanda, although at times she seemed to be a bit more like a Jewish mother than a Southern belle. However, her lapses into poetry were moving, effective pieces. Sean G. Griffin, another soap graduate, varied between excellent and average. When he was playing Tom, he gave a very sensitive performance, but when he stepped out of the role and became the narrator, he lacked the depth he had just shown. The finest performances were from Heidi Mefford as Laura and Richmond Hoxie as the gentleman caller. Together they gave the production substance and meaning.

All in all, PAF's production of "The Glass Menagerie" was high above many that have been done recently, including a television production done a few years back starring Hal Holbrook. The play will be running in the PAF Playhouse in Huntington until November 4. Performances are Wednesday thru Saturday at 8:30 and Sunday at 7:30. Student prices are \$2.50 and \$3.50.



Vera Lockwood, left, and Sean Griffin, who are currently starring in "The Glass Menagerie," produced by the Performing Arts Foundation.

Ramirez Afforded Chance to Visit Russia

By STUART PLOTKIN

Every three years the USSR Academy of Sciences convenes an All Union Chemical Conference on a specific topic. For the fifth time, on June 26 to 30, the conference was devoted to phosphorous chemistry.

Gathering at Moscow University were delegations from all the republics of the Soviet Union and prominent phosphorous chemists from West Germany, France, Norway and the United States. The invitation to the United States went to Dr. Fausto Ramirez of Stony Brook, who quite expeditiously received a USA National Science Foundation travel grant and the appropriate visas from both the United States and the Soviet Union. Ramirez stated that he "was not concerned with politics on this trip and traveled quite freely in the USSR within the usual regulations prevailing in that country." He speculated that this may be due to the easing of tensions and the scientific exchange agreements that came out of President Nixon's trip.

During the interview, Ramirez spoke not only of the scientific aspects, but also of the scientific hospitality which he rated "A+." All expenses in Russia were paid by the Soviet Union. The visiting chemists stayed at the finest hotels, were given tickets to the ballet and Moscow Circus, and Ramirez was even taken to a motorcade for Fidel Castro, who was in Russia at the time. One note that struck Ramirez was that the conference started not with the show of force customarily seen at scientific meetings, but started with the playing of a moving piece by the Borodin Quartet, consisting of students at the Moscow Conservatory.

Applied Science Emphasized

The Russians have always shown great interest in phosphorous chemistry as a pure and applied science. Ramirez felt that the lectures were on a par with those given in the United States, but "they emphasized preparative over mechanistic chemistry," that is, synthesizing compounds rather than understanding the way molecules interact to form a new compound.

Ramirez also visited their new Institute of Heteroelements of Moscow and was surprised to see the new high quality instrumentation imported from West Germany. With their increased manpower, increased money and improving equipment, Russian research is going to advance greatly. The government

supports directly pure research and have directly financed centers like the new institute. The Soviet Union "deals in a more centralized way, more coordinated and more generous." This is opposed to many and varied agencies distributing money in the United States.

Some Words to Che 202 Students

It will soon be necessary for a new position in government to open up: "chemical technocrat" with the knowledge of organic and bio-chemistry, geology, microbiology, sanitation and law. He will act as a guardian to protect us from our quickly deteriorating environment. He will have the insight to recognize the danger of a chemical some company is spewing into a river or spewing into foods as "artificial enhancers." These "chemical technocrats" will have not only the good intentions but the know-how to achieve these goals. It requires more than talk now, it requires the chemical knowledge to do the job.

"Difficult, but Enjoyable"

Dr. Fausto Ramirez has for the last three years taught organic chemistry —

two words that evoke fear in the hearts of freshman pre-med majors and bring grimaces to the non-science community. After surviving the course, one student said, "It was difficult, but he made it enjoyable. He related certain course material to current problems such as environmental issues and drug problems." This is the insight of Ramirez's "technocrat," being able to look at heroin and methadone and knowing what they can do to the body, being able to see the damage sodium nitrate or BHT can do as food additives.

Another student said "His lectures weren't cut and dried. He emphasized concepts, not memorization." There were students, however, who felt that "he didn't teach enough organic chemistry" or that "he wasn't dedicated enough." A few thought he was "unreasonable" and some "political" but most came away from the course with the knowledge and the philosophy of organic chemistry. One student seems to be speaking for most when he says "Dr. Ramirez has the ability to relate to the students a very difficult field."



DR. FAUSTO RAMIREZ, Stony Brook chemistry professor, attended an international chemical conference in Moscow this past June, after receiving a National Science Foundation travel grant.

Concert Review

Who Was Speaking of John Fahey? Few Until His Informal Concert

By ERIC FRANK

Possibly half of the audience who say John Fahey last Wednesday at the Union Auditorium hadn't heard of him before the concert. However, by the end of the performance, Fahey established himself as one of the best folk guitarists around. Playing an entirely acoustic set with a six-string and a slide guitar, he demonstrated his mastery of each.

The 33-year old musician grew up in Takoma Park, Maryland, and received bachelor degrees in philosophy and religion from American University. From there, he more or less bummed around the country playing his bluegrass style of guitar in small clubs and coffeehouses. Ending up in Los Angeles, which is currently his home, Hohn received a masters in folklore and mythology at UCLA.

Fahey's recording career started a few

years back as he started his own record company, Takoma Records. He has approximately twelve albums out with one scheduled for release in January entitled, "Fare Forward Voyagers (Soldiers' Choice)." His current LP, "Of Rivers and Religion," includes a Dixieland orchestra to back him up and is on the Warner Brothers label. Fahey credits Charley Patton and Mississippi John Hurt as being influential on his musical style.

Attentive Audience

Throughout the concert, the audience remained attentive, although Fahey's playing tended to get slightly monotonous. His compositions were all instrumentals, and the lack of vocals seemed to disappoint some of the students. Fahey appeared to show little regard for his audience except when he took an occasional hit of his 1970

Moulin-A-Vent wine, which drew approving chuckles. I hope he realized the Stony Brook crowd was appreciative of his performance, and does not liken it to his receptions at clubs such as Max's Kansas City, where he appeared recently. Fahey has mentioned that he prefers concert dates to the club and coffeehouse circuit, due to the better quality of the audiences that attend concerts. However, if he demands respect for his playing, he should at least acknowledge the fact that there is an audience, and show similar respect for them.

Despite the absence of communication between Fahey and the audience, his guitar playing was flawless and enjoyable. However, if Fahey ever returns to Stony Brook for another concert, I would expect him to utter a few words like: "Hello," "Thank you," and "It was nice being here."



LOU SMITH has assumed direction of the Stony Brook Lutheran Ministry of Higher Education.

SBU Acquires a Lutheran Minister

By CHRIS CARTY

Stony Brook religion has come of age with the addition of Lou Smith, a Lutheran Minister. The campus now possesses a full complement of chaplains representing the three traditional Western religions.

Smith has assumed direction of the Stony Brook Lutheran Ministry of Higher Education here. He has two problems, however: a small campus Protestant population, and no facilities for services.

There's little to be done for the numbers in his prospective congregation. Stony Brook enrollment is approximately 60% Jewish, 20% Roman Catholic and 20% other Eastern and Protestant religions. Smith says that despite the poor prospects of building a large congregation in his denomination, his first aim is to seek out those Lutheran students who wish to attend services. "The idea [behind the Ministry] is to put someone with a Lutheran context on campus to be as responsive as possible," said Smith.

Smith is running one of several hundred Lutheran ministries throughout the country, each sponsored by one or more of three major Lutheran groups. The one at Stony Brook is a bit unique, though. Smith's group is sponsored by all three. According to Smith, there are only six other campus ministries where the three Lutheran groups share joint financial responsibility.

Smith doesn't have "pie-in-the-sky" ambitions for his ministry. "I couldn't, not on the small budget I have," he said. The regional Lutheran council has given him about \$20,000 to run the Ministry this year, the bulk of which forms his salary.

Smith is particularly interested in "finding some people who have some notions of things they like to do." He cited as examples discussion groups which would deal with topics like the relationship of faith to art or of faith to politics. I don't want to push those too hard, though. My own preferences are to

listen to where people are at."

Smith, however, doesn't see himself primarily as counsellor, a role many have slotted other campus chaplains into. "I went into the seminary because I liked academics, to study theology, not just to become a minister. . . I got into the campus ministries because I really liked academic work." "I find myself considering myself as an enabler," said Smith. "It's my job to be responsive."

Smith seemed to be under some strain in matching up his desire to be "responsive" to his campus and his own notions of what he should accomplish here. "One of the most difficult things about being a clergyman is that you are called to be something before you are asked to do something. As a result, you really become what you do," said Smith.

Smith admitted after some badgering, however, that he was under another strain — separation from his motorcycle. Ruefully, Smith said, he could do little without that bike.

Toll's Response to DoD Research on Campus

(Editor's Note: The following is the text of the Department of Defense research statement issued by University President John Toll on September 8, 1972. The second half of the statement will be found in Friday's Statesman.)

Introduction

For the past two years, there has been considerable discussion concerning the advisability of the University's continuing to accept unclassified research grants and contracts from various Federal agencies, especially the Department of Defense. In four separate votes on this matter of great importance to free inquiry at Stony Brook, the Faculty Senate has voted twice on each side of the issue of DOD grants. In the most recent vote held last spring, a majority of the members of the Faculty Senate present voted to oppose the University's applications for new grants and contracts from the Department of Defense. (This last Faculty Senate meeting was called without the one week's notice required by the Bylaws, but I have decided to ignore such technicalities and to respond to the substance of the issue that has been raised concerning our policy on research grants.)

As President, I am responsible and accountable for decisions on this campus. When making a decision, especially one as important to the principle of academic freedom as this one, I regularly consult with representatives of various constituencies on this campus. After a careful review and extensive consultation with representative faculty and student leaders, the Stony Brook Council, and others concerned, I have decided that the University will continue its previous policy of accepting unclassified research grants directly related to the academic program from Federal, State, local and private sources. My reasons for making this decision are discussed in this memorandum. I hope that members of the University community will read the full memorandum and will support its important underlying principles. Related issues that I will discuss, such as protection of the University from political intrusions, are even more important than the specific policy of DOD grants. I will welcome written comments from any who wish to send them to me.

Review of Research Programs and Policy

This University and many other universities in the United States receive grants and contracts in support of University research from the Department of Defense and other Federal agencies. We also receive grants from private foundations. The University policy is to accept grants and contracts that contribute to the University's academic program. These projects support faculty members and graduate students in their scholarly work and provide for other research costs. A typical Federal contract at Stony Brook supports work that the University would be willing to support from State funds if adequate State funding were available. Much research is supported through regular departmental budgets. Nevertheless, most of our explicit costs of research are funded from Federal grants. Thus the availability of Federal funds does much to shape the direction in which University programs can develop. Fortunately, Federal funds have been available increasingly in a broad spectrum of fields and State funds support some of the most important areas where Federal funds are not available.

Total expenditures on federal research grants at Stony Brook last year totaled nearly \$8 million. Of this amount only 4.1% was from contracts and grants from the Department of Defense.

Since there is special interest in the Defense Department projects, I will review them briefly. The largest DOD contract last year was for the study of computerized x-ray scanning techniques in dentistry; the work on this project has been completed. The second largest Defense Department grant was for the study of holographic methods in electro-optical science that are useful in medical analysis and in the study of materials; this grant is also ending. The four remaining DOD grants involve (1) study of polymers in solution, (2) analysis of the solidification of metals from the liquid state, (3) chemical study of enzymes, and (4) investigation of surface properties of materials; all are basic research grants that support faculty and students in open research. None of this work involves weapons development and none has any relation to the war in Vietnam. We can expect to receive other basic research grants from the Defense Department in the future. For example, the Army Corps of Engineers traditionally has been in charge of projects for rivers, dams, and harbors; the Navy provides most of the major research vessels for marine research; DOD has been a major contributor to materials research; and DOD supports many projects important for improved health care (such as the dental x-ray and holographic research contracts at Stony Brook). However, I expect the percentage of our total grants that comes from the Defense Department will remain small compared to grants from the National Science Foundation and the Department of Health, Education and Welfare.

The criteria used in determining the acceptability of extramural support at Stony Brook are summarized below:



UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT JOHN TOLL has taken an active role in defending DoD research on campus.

- 1) The project must serve the educational objectives of the University and grow out of or extend the work of members of the University in instruction, research, or public service.
- 2) The design of the project and the direction of its development are the responsibility of the University group proposing the work.
- 3) The sponsoring agency may not limit the discretion of an investigator in communicating the results of his work as he deems fit. Classified research is excluded from the campus.
- 4) Terms of a grant or contract may not exclude students from participation in the supported work, if such participation would be normal University practice.
- 5) Terms of a grant or contract may not place conditions on the University which are contrary to its policies.
- 6) Terms of a grant or contract may not place restrictions on the other academic activities of persons supported.
- 7) Extramural resources must support clear academic objectives without distorting the allocation of the University's total resources.
- 8) The project must be consistent with the social and humane values of the University. In particular, no project is acceptable which by the nature of its results is directly, specifically, or intentionally dedicated to the advancement of the methodology or instrumentation of warfare.

The University's Basic Function

The issues raised in the debate on DOD research involve the basic mission of the University. I consider that the University is principally a center of learning where free inquiry can be pursued at all levels. This role of the University is protected by its traditions of academic freedom. Free expression is fundamental to American society but it is especially important at the University where tolerance for the views of minorities must be defended strongly. Occasionally a current minority opinion later prevails as a majority opinion. More commonly the clash of ideas provides the provocative dialogue that stimulates further investigation of facts and assumptions from which new discoveries can then be learned.

We try to provide an atmosphere where our students learn to think for themselves. The open forum without coercion is the essence of democracy. Protection of all points of view on the campus is essential. We clarify and strengthen our own convictions when defending them against challenges. Thus the universities finally aid what is rationally most defensible in our society. As President of the University I feel a particular obligation to protect the minority viewpoint and to see that all points of view can be presented. Similarly I protect the right of faculty and students to pursue research in fields of their own choice consistent with the University's academic program.

Limitations on Political Influence in the University

The traditions of academic freedom and free inquiry at the University have often been tested in the past. When an individual on the campus presents a viewpoint which is contrary to that accepted in society as a whole, there are often demands that this individual be removed from the campus. We are also aware of many examples of pressures on universities to use political criteria for appointments to the faculty or staff or for admission of students to the University. Any great university must reject such pressures.

What we are talking about now strikes directly to the heart of the question of freedom of inquiry, including freedom to dissent, to criticize, and to refuse to conform. The ability of individual members of a university to speak out on controversial issues is

imperative in a free society. The role of the University always must be to protect that right. But to subject a faculty member's right of inquiry to a political test converts the University from a protector of freedom of inquiry to a political entity promoting a particular political viewpoint.

Of course, we are dependent upon public support. We exist as a State University and must be responsive to the needs of the State as expressed to us by the Executive leadership and the Legislature. The citizens of the State are also represented in the governance of the University by the Trustees and the Council members. This University can be a great university only if there is general acceptance by external groups that the academic quality of the University is of great value to the State and only if we all defend the principle that decisions must be made on academic grounds.

It is Improper to Use Determinations of University Policy As a Means of Influencing Controversial Questions of National Policy

It is in the light of the above principles that we must approach the Faculty Senate resolution to ban DoD research. This resolution is explicit that the ban on DoD research is recommended "to end the university complicity... with the military." Those who offered this resolution sought to influence national policy, since the principal aim of the resolution was "to oppose American military involvement in Asia."

While I will defend strongly the right of every member of the University as a citizen to speak out on national policy, I think it is both improper and dangerous to use changes in University policy as a means of influencing the national debate on the Vietnam war or other controversial matters. If we use University policy as a means of influencing controversial political questions, we then are no longer in a strong position to argue that part of the "establishment", and that our contributions strengthen the establishment and help to maintain the status quo. Thus they attack our stand of objectivity as being "hypocritical." They particularly argue that the war in Southeast Asia with its many deaths is much more important than preserving a particular academic tradition. In brief, some of these people argue that the war in Southeast Asia is so bad that ending this war must be our primary cause and any means to this end is justified. They then seem to imply that any means of publicizing their opposition to the war is to be excused. But improper actions on the campus are no more helpful to the cause of peace than the random pulling of fire alarms is helpful to fire protection.

All of us seek a quick and honorable end to war. The goal of peace reaches to the deepest level of human compassion and is contained in our highest ideals. But the noble nature of this cause is degraded if we use improper techniques to promote the cause of peace. Such improper techniques almost inevitably degrade a good cause and cause it to lose support.

The disturbances on campus both two years ago and again this year were motivated by a desire to end the war in Southeast Asia, but they served mainly to discredit the peace movement in the eyes of many observers and, as an effort to end the war, they were counter-productive. Insofar as those against the war have deflected their efforts into attacks on the University, I think they have wasted their effort which could more properly be spent in national political activities related to their goals. The University's role in this debate should be to provide a forum for discussion; we can help to bring peace to the world and help to advance other reforms best by permitting the free inquiries into these questions without taking an institutional stand.

Further Discussion

I have pondered whether to ask the Faculty Senate to reconsider the question of DoD research. However, I have decided not to make such a request, since previous debates have explored the issue thoroughly, and a request from the President for further discussion would not, in my judgment, lead to the most productive use of the valuable time of the Faculty Senate. However, if Faculty members wish, I will be glad to answer any questions concerning this memorandum at the next meeting of the Faculty Senate.

This year new proposals for faculty governance are being studied. I hope that all members of the University community will participate fully in this study so that we can develop the best possible mechanism for discussion of important questions confronting the University community.

University appointments or admissions should be free from political influence. If political considerations are to dominate faculty recommendations on University policy, then laymen can reasonably argue that they should review a faculty member's politics before appointments are made. I see clear disaster in opening up the University as an institution to this kind of political interference. The University must protect itself from political intrusion from both the inside and the outside. While we wish to have open political discussions on the campus and recognize that political topics are suitable for academic study, we should not use determinations of University policy as a weapon in the national political debate.

Conclusion of Statements Issued in Opposition to Department of Defense Research

Because of space consideration in last Friday's STATESMAN, of the objections frequently raised to banning DoD research could not be discussed. These were listed as arguments 3 and 4. Today's continuation of the analysis of DoD begins by treating those arguments and then proceeds to evaluate the DoD issues in a broader political framework.

Is Fighting DoD Futile?

Many people firmly convinced of the need to eliminate DoD research still hesitate at the practical implications suggested in arguments 3 and 4. On the one hand, eliminating DoD research at Stony Brook alone will not seriously hamper the Department's operations, and on the other, those opposing DoD will be subject to attack from several directions. Both arguments contain some truth, yet both are dangerously wrong, because both are arguments of impotence—they imply that change is impossible. It is certainly true that an attempt at banning DoD at Stony Brook would meet resistance from Washington and Albany, just as it has already met resistance from the Administration. The strength of the resistance, however, should be taken as a sign of the importance of the demand, not as an excuse for defeatism. It is difficult to make changes, and important changes are all the more difficult. But change is possible. The antiwar movement was successful in discrediting and removing ROTC at many campuses; resistance to military service, both in and outside the army, has led to the current dismantling of the draft system. It is also important to remember that Stony Brook is not the only campus which has been fighting DoD; defense work has been and is being challenged at Harvard, Stanford, Johns Hopkins, and several other places. No one has ever suggested that stopping DoD research here would be a complete victory. There is a good possibility, however, that a strong campaign against DoD here would be an important stimulus to a crucial nationwide fight. The difficulties involved in banning DoD should not be minimized, but neither should the potential of an organized, co-ordinated struggle against University complicity in the murderous American war machine be minimized.



DOD JAMBOREE: To call attention to DoD's presence on campus, guerilla theater was used in 1971, in the skit in the Administration Building.

University facilities available to military and defense recruiters. Why is it "political" to take actions which oppose the government and "not political" to take actions which support? The question of whether this University is going to operate politically has already been answered in the affirmative. The remaining question facing us is much more specific: what politics are to prevail?

The Politics of Fighting DoD Research

Since the mid-1960's, the overwhelming sentiment at Stony Brook has been that the war in Vietnam and the U.S. presence in Southeast Asia are wrong and should be opposed. Students and faculty have tried to make their opposition effective in various ways, ranging from sit-ins against military recruiters to academic strikes, with

targets by "seeing eye" systems—"smart bombs" as they are affectionately known. Infra-red sensors direct nighttime helicopter fire to any living object. The infantry is equipped with rifles which shoot over 100 rounds a minute. The military has "experimented" with defoliating whole regions of South Vietnam (particularly in the Mekong Delta and the DMZ), creating giant forest firestorms, as well as with seeding typhoons and destroying dikes.

The role of the military in Vietnam is only part of the broader foreign policy of the United States and is connected significantly to domestic politics. The same military and the same advanced weaponry which operates in Vietnam has left its indelible imprint in Laos, Cambodia, and Thailand; it has backed up, with advice and resources, brutal regimes in Burma, Pakistan, Bolivia, Greece, Spain, South Africa and much of the rest of the "Free" World.

In the U.S., "counterinsurgency" methods are applied by the Army and the police to suppress ghetto rebellions. The government adopts military solutions, by means of the police and the National Guard, in its efforts to suppress strikes and student revolts. It is worth remembering that the National Guard units at Kent State arrived there fresh from crushing a Teamsters' strike in Cleveland. The resort to military force permeates government policy at home as well as abroad; in some cases, the technology the U.S. uses around the world returns here rather directly. A pair of spectacular examples are nighttime television surveillance of ghetto streets in Mount Vernon, N.Y., and a plan to implant electronic transmitting beacons in ex-convicts to monitor their movements. At Stony Brook, the Rand Corporation has worked in conjunction with the Urban Sciences Department on police deployment in the ghetto and other problems bearing on domestic counterinsurgency.

Such activities are not the accidental result of mistaken decisions, nor are they the insane maneuverings of crazed military chieftains. They are part of the maintenance of a particular kind of American power. These policies have the common effect of allowing American "free enterprise" to enter and maintain itself in foreign countries, and to keep its profits high and its position stable in the United States. Among other things, American companies are interested in the resources and extremely low wages in Southeast Asia including the \$1.40 per day maximum wage in South Vietnam. The military acts go to defend tremendous investments in Latin America and helps Chase Manhattan Bank protect its highly profitable and highly political loans to the South African government. At home, the military uses its technology and force to quell ghetto rebellions and hence to defend the investments of slumlords and ghetto merchants. The suppression of strikes enforces the wage freeze and guarantees profits. Action against militant students not only defends

profitable policies (like the War), but also guarantees that universities will remain safe repositories for defense research and for the production of compliant, "well-trained" technicians.

The sum of these activities creates tremendous technical problems for the military. It requires the most creative minds and the most advanced scientific insight to help maintain and improve old weapons, and to develop new and more effective ones for whatever new situations may arise. That is why the Defense Department so actively seeks research contact with universities: it knows that its weapons research depends upon utilizing the most sophisticated scientific ideas which university researchers can apply to the needs of the armed forces.

In addition to getting immediate practical results, the research program of DoD has several other effects which are politically advantageous for the Department of Defense and for the government as a whole:

- 1) If scientists and universities devote their energies and resources to DoD research, dependencies are created. At Johns Hopkins, for example, efforts to ban the ROTC program on campus were countered by the threat to end DoD funding; the dependence on that funding exercised leverage on other university issues. Doing DoD work means implicitly not doing some other kind of work, and it limits the possibility for commitment to socially useful projects.
- 2) DoD research contributes to defining research interests and career options for graduate students, coercing them financially into defense-related areas. DoD is able to use current research to train a new generation of war researchers.
- 3) By its association with universities, DoD manages to improve its image. The scientific community, and academic researchers generally, have a reputation for serious, objective, useful activity; DoD research on campus, by making this association, lends an air of respectability to its operation—somehow it can't be as bad or as totally bad as war critics claim. DoD benefits from this university "cover," since it helps to mute the protest against napalm, anti-personnel weapons, and ecological warfare.
- 4) Americans have violently protested the use of American troops in Southeast Asia. By replacing troops with weapons, under the guise of "winding down" the war, the government has been able to reduce protest and carry on the war effort. The government has taken the racist stand that as long as "only" Vietnamese fight and die, the war is tolerable. The use of advanced technical weaponry allows this racist policy to seem plausible. The automated war reaps the

additional benefit of removing GI's from the combat zone. Aside from reduced casualties, it removes the outraged witness which many Vietnam veterans have brought home. What happened at My Lai was revealed by returning soldiers and a photographer who was there to cover "combat" activities. By transferring the U.S. role to the air, the military has made the war more invisible. (Fortunately for war critics, foreign newsmen have reported extensively the damage which the resumed bombing of the North has produced since last spring. But the war and the research required to carry it on, continue.)

The military has all of these reasons for wanting to fund research here and elsewhere, and precisely these reasons make it necessary to oppose this research. DoD should be prevented from associating itself with the University—their cover should be blown. University resources—intellectual, and physical—should not be allowed to be channeled into defense work, nor should students continue to be fed into defense-related fields. Certainly research efforts ought not aid a racist program of substituting Vietnamese deaths for American deaths. Efforts must be made to stop the killing, and research and resources must be devoted to work which serves mankind. The only clear way in which this can be accomplished is to deprive DoD of some of its scientific resources.

Naturally, the elimination of DoD research at Stony Brook alone will not accomplish this, but it could be important to a national movement. The faculty resolution last spring was reported in Science magazine; an anti-DoD resolution was brought before the American Chemical Society; a great many students and faculty at other schools are interested in beginning anti-DoD fights. This campaign has the real potential of reaching enough campuses to seriously hamper DoD research activity.

When Dartmouth students began an anti-ROTC campaign in 1968, it may have seemed rather insignificant. In the next two years the fight spread to dozens of schools—the events at Kent State were part of an anti-ROTC fight—and resulted in a one-third reduction in the number of new officers. This shortage actually affected the Army's ability to train and lead men in Vietnam; it probably had something to do with the reduction of combat troops. Resistance within the Army, which at first glance might seem impossible, has grown in size and intensity to the point where high military officials are beginning to doubt the efficacy of fielding ground troops anywhere. Fighting to ban DoD research at Stony Brook may seem insignificant in relation to the overall strength of the American military effort, but this, too, has the potential for a serious challenge to the conduct of American policy. This analysis of DoD research has two conclusions: DoD research must be stopped, and it can be stopped.

How to Win the DoD Campaign

In the history and analysis just presented, one can see the weaknesses of past efforts to ban DoD research and also find the basis for a stronger, ultimately successful movement.

The central feature of past action has been its sporadic character. There have been strikes, sit-ins, petitions, arrests, votes and jail terms, spread over three years, but for all the energy, there has been no self-sustained movement. This has resulted from three serious shortcomings in past work. First, all people opposed to DoD research have not worked together. Student activity and faculty activity have been planned and conducted in separate and largely uncoordinated ways. This lack of unity has meant that neither the faculty nor the student elements of the past campaign have been able to build a focussed, sustained and expanding movement. Without overall cooperation, actions by one group detract attention from those of others. In effect, different groups interfere with each other. This discourages people new to the ideas from becoming active, because there is no single clear vehicle for activity.

This local disunity is reflected on a larger scale in isolation from groups elsewhere who are



COMPUTING CENTER DEMONSTRATION: In May of last year, students broke the windows of the Computing Center in protest of its use for military research.

fighting against Defense Department activity and whose interests coincide. This isolation makes it impossible to learn from the experiences of others. It makes it appear that local activities are somehow worthless or trivial; the isolation distracts attention from the real and world-wide interests involved in DoD research.

The third major shortcoming of past work has been the low level of theoretical understanding of the issues involved. However much this document might be improved or elaborated in the coming months, the fact is that this is the first time in over three years of activity that a comprehensive analysis of Defense Department research has been undertaken. It is significant that this is a joint effort of undergraduate and graduate students and faculty, all of whom have been working on the problem in different ways. In analyzing past work and ideas, the ability to agree on a number of basic principles to guide future work has been encouraging. This statement has been concluded with these principles, so that they may be discussed more widely in a way which will generate a winning movement against Defense Department research.

The foundation of any successful movement must be a proper understanding of the issues and forces involved. In the case of Defense Department research, the issue is not really one of academic freedom or University governance or research per se. The matter resolves itself to the role of the U.S. military and the interests of those who are protected or attacked by that military. The question of banning DoD research is not one of the politicization of the University. In the conflict between those protected by the U.S. military and those who fight back against it, the University necessarily plays some role. Students and faculty

must consciously choose the role for themselves, with respect to DoD research and a host of other matters about the university. To do that, a deeper understanding of those basic conflicts in which the military and the University find themselves embroiled is needed.

It is not enough to understand. There must be unity among all people opposed to DoD research, working in a common movement. On the campus, this means unity among students, faculty and other University employees. It also means unity among those working on DoD and those confronting other aspects of American power. The same university which supports DoD will not adequately support Day Care. The same university which is among the largest employers in Suffolk County does nothing for the Eastern Farm Workers Association. More broadly, unity must be sought with groups elsewhere who share the desire to stop American military power in Asia and around the world. Foremost among these are the Vietnamese people and the NLF-PRG (National Liberation Front—Provisional Revolutionary Government of South Vietnam). In this country there are a number of groups working on DoD research and on other aspects of military and

corporate power. Everyone involved in the DoD campaign here would be helped by studying critically the efforts of others engaged in fighting the same enemy, just as all those other groups would be aided by studying the work done here at Stony Brook. Only on the basis of unity on campus, and unity with allies elsewhere, will it be possible to build a co-ordinated, effective movement which has a chance of having a real impact.

In preparing this statement, there was a lot of discussion about specific things to do. A detailed tactical program for ending DoD research is not presented here because that should come from a much broader group of people engaged in unified effort to understand what to do. Successful movements on this campus and elsewhere in the past have involved a wide variety of actions, from petitions to demonstrations to violent confrontation. No campaign on the order of opposing DoD research has ever been won without massive militant action. From the anti-draft movement to the ROTC campaign to the war itself, massive united militance was essential at some point. In each case, the campaign was long. But victory came from understanding, unity and co-ordinated action of all sorts in a manner which successfully strengthened the movement and weakened the enemy. This pattern can be repeated concerning DoD research, and it is in that spirit that this statement is distributed.

A great number of persons have contributed to the writing and researching of this statement. Included are the following: Tim Patterson, Paul Ehrlich, Laura Schwartz, Susan Strasser, Michael Schwartz, Ted Goldfarb, Michael Zweig, and Minna Goldfarb.




RESEARCH FILES: Last year, students examined graduate school files in order to determine the nature of research.

DoD and University Politics


The sixth argument—that we must resist the politicization of the University—is the most recent one to be raised at Stony Brook, and it is, hands down, the most absurd. Universities are political arenas, always have been, and always will be; opponents of DoD research are not setting some dangerous new precedent. Continuing to do DoD research is entirely political—it specifically supports the brutal international and domestic policies of the American government. Toll's record of harassing and attempting to remove campus activists is political, as is his readiness to make

some success and many frustrations. The issue of Defense Department research has been raised many times, but a concerted and long-term effort to ban it has never fully developed. Such an effort holds out the prospect of a truly effective protest against the war.

The war in Vietnam has become the most automated and mechanized in history. The United States uses its aircraft—some dispatched from as far away as Guam—to drop more bomb tonnage each year in Vietnam than was dropped in all of World War II. The bombs themselves are mechanical "masterpieces"; the "anti-personnel" napalm and fragmentation bombs are now being guided to



TEACH IN: In September, students gathered on the stairs of the ESS Plaza to discuss DoD in 1972 at Stony Brook.



RICH YOLKEN: He is the only candidate running for Polity President.

Freshman Class President

As a candidate for freshman class president, I make the following proposals, all of which I hope to accomplish once elected:

- I propose the formation of a Central Student Grievance Committee to handle student problems that have been otherwise improperly handled by incompetent members of the faculty and administration.
- I call for the end of class distinction by the school. In the past freshmen have been denied classes, parking permits and various other items. Items which were given to all upperclassmen.
- I propose the formation of a Student-Faculty Curriculum Committee to study and recommend new additions to the University curriculum. On this committee, unlike others of its type, students will have as big a say as the faculty.
- I call for the revamping of the Faculty-Student Assoc. to allow all student business concerns to become members. Should this be impossible, I call for the abolition of the F.S.A.
- I call for the end of the mandatory meal plan for freshmen on the grounds that it infringes on a student's right of free choice and that it is class discrimination.
- Lastly, I propose a new system for dealing with various administrative problems, such as; add/drop, ID and Meal cards, and bill paying. Under this system,

administrative staff would be placed in each Quad office at specific times to handle these problems. This would alleviate the hours of waiting and hassling that are usually involved with these things.

—Carol Meiselman

The candles are slowly melting on the birthday cake, and it's time, once again, for Stony Brook students to choose who they want to represent them in Polity. Every student from the apathetic to the sympathetic has the duty to vote in this year's elections. Rather than having dogs run for office, as they have in the past (and won, too) this year we are trying Humans. We feel that they can do the job just as well.

In case you haven't gathered by now, I, Carol Meiselman, within the limits of my sanity, am running for the office of Freshman Class President. This being my first year on campus, I don't have too many brownie points when it comes to things I've done for Stony Brook. I am, however, presently on SAB, have held offices in the past, and have been working at registering people at the McGovern booth. Enough rhetoric...

The office of Freshman Class President involves mostly just representing my class in school matters. As my fellow colleagues know, freshmen get all the shit on campus. If no one's around to stand up for them and voice an opinion, they'll continue getting pushed around. Therefore, I am pleading with the freshman population to get out and vote this year and help me fight the never ending battle for "justice, liberty, and the American Way."

—Steven Bucksbaum

Politicians are usually cunning linguists who swamp their constituencies with a copious flow of dubious phraseology. By making numerous and frivolous campaign speeches and pledges they try to impress upon their electorate that they keep a breast of the problems and get a feel of the strategic terrain. Now that I have confused you, let's get down to some rhetorical inquiries as posed by the political dioceses of the University's masturbatory complex.

Over the past two years, I have witnessed the complete degeneration of student spirit and the weakening of student power on this campus. Apathy has become the call word of 1972. We students no longer seem to be informed or concerned about how we are mistreated, used, lied to and humiliated repeatedly by incompetent self-interested officials in the administrative branch of our University.

We are presently seeing another attempt to usurp all student power by the administration. University attempts (so far successful) to close down student programs, such as Harpo's Ice Cream Parlor, Polity Toscanini Record Shop, The Pit, The Langmuir Commissary, O'Neill Snack Bar, etc., indicate the degree to which we have lost our freedom, dignity and voice on our campus. If this is allowed to go unanswered, the administration's dominance will become total.

—WHY should our University use our dollars and facilities for war related research?

—WHY should you, the freshman, be obligated to accept a meal plan which serves poor quality food at exorbitant prices?

—WHY are the cafeterias run as a business to make profit off an essential of life? These cafeterias should be run as non-profit services.

—WHY did the administration decide to close down the student run programs that you

patronized and enjoyed?
 —WHY Should we students be expected to pay for the \$400,000 debt incurred by the incompetence and mismanagement of the former FSA officials, by paying jacked-up prices in the Union cafeteria and bookstore?
 —WHY should we, the students, sacrifice our education while the University stresses research over teaching, to foster its own academic reputation?

The problems confronting us are numerous, we must become aware of these problems, and protect our rights as students on this campus.

Last year I served as Senator from Kelly A while organizing the Freedom Foods Co-op. The Co-op was established by students to meet the needs of students. Polity has to continue in the same tradition, as an advocate for student needs. I am currently a student representative from Stony Brook to the Students Association of State Universities (SASU), an organ which is the major instrument of student representation throughout the state. It is the organ through which student voice is heard in Albany, and in the administrative offices on the SUNY campuses. I have been to Albany to voice our anger at the closing down of student-run businesses on our campus. Also, I am a member of the Stony Brook Central Review Board, a committee which accepts appeals on decisions of the Administrative Hearing Board, and a member of the Middlestates Self-Evaluation Comm. My aim as Polity President is not to be a politician or egotist. It is however, to advocate and establish student parity on the decision making process on all issues affecting students. This will be effected through a strong student coalition and sense of community, so that we may achieve those goals which we rightly deserve.

LOVE,
 Rich Yolken

Edie Appel for Freshman Representative

I am running for freshman rep for Mom, Amerika and pot pie. I am running because I would like to see the bridge to nowhere go somewhere. I am running because I would like to give my fellow students something to do on a rainy day (besides....) thru measure the mud contests. I am running because I was coerced into it.

All seriousness aside, I would like to become freshman rep in order to help put thru some strongly needed changes in Stony Brook with the help of Polity. As freshmen we are exploited in various ways on this campus. I propose the abolishment of the mandatory meal plan for freshman, or at least, giving us what we are forced to pay for — seconds, thirds, and so-forth. Also needed desperately is a used book store (and the general lowering of the exorbitant prices of the monopolizing Union Bookstore). Along the monetary line; the bowling, pool, and other Union facilities should be free (it is cheaper to get totally stoned for a night than to go to the facilities).

Look around you — see anything else wrong? Chances are you are on another line. Why can't the University dig up some money to solve two problems at once (Student poverty and the

ridiculous length of the lines) thru the hiring of more student personnel. What about the pool? I feel that it can and should be open every night. A good write-in campaign to the FCC would probably give us our long desired radio station.

If you are reading this in your dorm room now, you are probably in a room colored vomit green, sea sick blue or urine yellow. The school spends so much money painting the rooms every year only to have the students repaint them as soon as they arrive — why can't the students give room color requests?...and the occupants...unless there are complaints from other members of the hall, there is really no reason why students can't take their favorite pet to school so that they too shall benefit from the wonders of education... and the chance to call on the phone (What ever did happen to university phone service?)

The reason I am proposing such ridiculous proposals in such a ridiculous manner is that there is not enough information given to students concerning their powers and the posts open to them. If I am elected (voted, er; would you believe placed in office due to default?) I can't promise everything, or even anything; however,...would you believe parking for freshmen...?

Cocktail Party Platform

The Cocktail Party believes in responsible, serious student government. We believe that Polity should serve the interests of the student body, not its own elected officials.

We believe that student government should not be reduced to a one party system in which a person, regardless of qualifications, will be swept into office because he has the endorsement of a certain clique. We have formed the Cocktail Party in order to give students a choice. voters now have a chance to reject a party which made a shambles of the last Polity election by running a dog for President. The alternative is to vote for a group of people who are willing to commit their time and effort for effective student government.

Edie Appel
 Andrea Berry
 Burt Esug
 Stan Freifeld
 Les Klemperer

Freshman Rep.
 Vice President
 Judiciary
 Jr. Class President
 Judiciary

The Polity statements on these pages were the only policy statements received by this newspaper.

Statesman urges all students to vote on Wednesday.

Langmuir Senator

To: Residents of Langmuir College Community

From: Rich Ippolito, your Tequila Party candidate for Senator

In keeping with the tradition of my predecessor, Scott Klippel, as R.A. of Joe Gallo College (C-2 Langmuir), I contend that statements of policy for office tend to be a meaningless crock of excrement. I stand not on empty promises — instead I sit on the seat of my pants.

I do expect to be a potent voice for the people of Langmuir Community and be receptive to their suggestions and needs. So let's re-live the days of Simon D. Dog and make the slums of Stony Brook what they once were — the land of mud, liberty and equality for animals and humans alike.

Rich Ippolito,
 Tequila Party

inceptum sapientiae timor Domini

Polity Candidates for Vice President

Andrea Berry

Now that registration has settled down (?) for this semester, we are faced with a rerun of last semester's Student Polity elections. As a junior, and a serious, independent candidate for the office of Vice-President of Polity, I would like to submit the following matters for consideration by the Stony Brook student community.

The fact that Polity has become an untouchable obscurity on this campus is an understatement. What we have is no central student organization that students can hold accountable for the way activities are run financially or legislatively. What we have is a disorganized vehicle for recurring campus "populists" who have little or no interest at all in trying to make Polity an organization reflective of a majority of groups and opinions on this campus. No new committees, boards, or surveys are needed to let us know that polity has fallen way below what it was or what it could be.

Students say there is no communications network between them and Polity (except on occasion through Statesman). Polity members themselves say that when they do go to meetings (if one is called), they don't even bother to vote or honestly don't know what they are voting on, candidates no longer feel that they must campaign to get students' votes but need only rely on the emotional tides of cliques to carry them through elections, and I think you have the general picture.

It is obvious to most of us that Polity is not the most efficient organization on campus. It is obvious to me that it will take much less talk and much more work than has been done by the Council in the past to make it at least a productive one. Why am I running? I would like to be part of making Polity work for the students for a change as efficiently as it can — at least I am willing to try. I propose:

1) That the present organizational structure be maintained with the Executive Committee held accountable for its individual and collective actions in Polity, and open meetings be held once a month where students can complain,

harass, discuss, suggest, or argue to just find out who it was that they put into Polity!

2) That the referendum asking musical groups to donate 50% of their profits for concerts to charity is totally unrealistic. I believe that Polity should at least remain flexible in its negotiations with the groups. Remember it is costing us good concerts this year!

3) That the election process itself be re-organized with adequate publicity, correct information, and convenient voting places. The election board should organize before the election open meetings where candidates can present platforms, and students can meet who they might be voting for.

4) That Day Care, WUSB, and women's activities be given realistic representation in the Polity budget.

5) That the Secretary submit the minutes from Polity Senate meetings to Statesman for publication.

6) That services performed by the University for students are primarily lacking in the quality and quantity that the University's bills reflect (in other words, the whole thing is one big rip off!!). Alternate, more flexible, quality meal arrangements suited to students' needs and not to University convenience should be supported — i.e. Broken Door, Other Side, O'Neill coffeehouse, Knosh, Buffeteria. Garbage must not be allowed to remain in huge piles in dorm stairways without being removed! (Ever hear of rats?!) It is important that we push the need for "new blood" in Polity — a student organization that is regarded as a joke by many on this campus. It is no joke that the activities fee is as high as it is (\$70/year). It is not easy to campaign for Polity on this campus, but I appeal to the mass of students who want to see work done and things accomplished in Polity this year for SB students to support me as Vice-President in the election on October 11.

Polity is there to be used by us. Please, remember to vote and get on the case for getting things done this year.

Andrea Berry



Andrea Berry (right) and Daniel Weingast are two of the candidates for vice president.

Daniel Weingast

I, Daniel Weingast, being of sound mind and dubious body or versa vice, of sound body and dubious mind, hereby wish to commit myself to the following set of principles that have been and will be, the stay and stable of my earthly existence. I will conduct myself in a manner befitting (unlike some spirov note) a Vice-President so as to further, in a rational, mature and lifeful manner, the dormant and more explicitly expressed wishes of my "Birthday" constituency. Thereby, I hope to further the maturational and developmental goals of a similarly regressed student body.

To be more specific, I will in the future, as I have in the past, direct my efforts toward the development of University opportunities for my constituent student body. These efforts have included service on the Polity Judiciary and as the present student coordinator of the Stony Brook Self-Study. I will continue to identify and work to change the numerous inadequacies afflicting this University. Yet, I don't want to rhetoricize the problems facing us (i.e. Faculty Student Association monopolistic business interests and incompetencies,

non-justified housing rates, a lousy food service, continued Department of Defense research on campus, etc.). We know about them and are tired of hearing about them. I want to emphasize that it is now time that we, the students, regroup and consolidate our petitions. When we advocate needed change, and of highest importance, present a logical working model showing the administration how it must change, we can compel our University to work efficiently in our interests. Priorities must change. It is time to take a stand and demand that our University be administered, for the first time, with our need and wishes given prime importance.

Although this statement appears rhetorical, the intent is to conceptualize and provide a direction for action policies. I want Stony Brook to become more of a community instead of the polarized mass of buildings and people it appears to be. We need a student government actively involved with all University concerns. Polity must not be solely an entertainment provider. Polity must also be a political force so that we can enjoy all our activities, academic, social and political. —Daniel Weingast

Alan H. Fallick

You ask, "What is Polity?" Simply, Polity should be OUR student government. It shouldn't be mine, your roommate's, or your friend's. It should be OURS.

I will not propose great new ideas for Polity, listing dozens of unlikely proposals. Anybody can say they WILL do something. Not everyone HAS done something. Here are a few things I've accomplished, a likely indicator of future actions.

1. POLITY SENATOR (1971-72): Upon entering the senate, I discovered voting cliques, corruptible officers, and much disorganization. Subjective legislation must come to an end! The Polity vice-president, as senate chairman, helped control the mood of the senate. Almost always having the floor, he spewed forth whatever information he liked regarding a motion on the floor. Not only is this biased and subjective it is unfair to his constituency, YOU. As senate chairman, I will be an arbitrator, not a dictator.

2. QUAD COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVE (1970-71): The Residential College Program (RCP) has been replaced by the College Community Program (CCP). A lack of funds has hurt the CCP, and therefore the quads. In addition, commuters are also suffering from austerity. Student Polity must work with resident and commuter organizations in producing as many student functions as possible. Interest groups should be attended to even though they do not constitute a major part of the student body. The Commuter Center in Gray College is a step in the right direction. Polity must continue to see that student funds are allocated proportionally.

3. COLLEGE LEGISLATURE (1970-present): You know and I know that apathy is a problem. But what can we do? Last spring, trying to solve this eternal problem, we formed the "Active College Committee." Without a doubt, John Steinbeck College has had the friendliest atmosphere in three years. Functions are being looked into, despite the small budget. Student apathy on a campus-wide level can also disappear if lines of communication between Polity and students are established. Involvement and apathy do not mix.

4. HALL REPRESENTATIVE (1971): It was in this position that I first saw that communication is the essence of life. Without it, students are nothing but



Alan Fallick, above, and Leslie Douglas (whose picture was unavailable) round out the vice presidential candidates.

strangers.

5. R.A. (1972-present): Some of the reasons why I became an R.A. are that I dig people, having a good time, and seeing that things get done. I always have assumed responsibility well, and that is one reason why I am running for vice-president. I not only want to make sure that things get done, but that they get done expediently.

6. PROGRAM and SERVICES COUNCIL (new member): Within our budget, the PSC allocates funds to campus organizations for student use. In only two meetings, I have seen, literally, organizations request thousands of dollars which become hundreds of dollars upon our request. That is, people enjoy being piggy. It is Polity's duty to see that people are NOT piggy with YOUR money.

7. WUSB SPORTS DIRECTOR (1970-present and STATESMAN SPORTS EDITOR (since May): In these capacities I have tried to see that objectivity is more than a word, that fairness and equality are more than fads. Organization is extremely important to Polity, and that is a forte of mine.

I acted responsibly, dedicated, and usually without complaint in all of the above positions. As its vice-president, I will help bring some dignity to Polity.

Finally, no matter who you favor, VOTE!! Otherwise, you have no right to say that "Polity sucks."

Now it's your turn to speak.

Al Fallick

Leslie Douglas

WE cannot make the programs and activities of the students at S.U.S.B. an extension of the University into the community unless we clear up the apathy created by lack of a representative student union that binds students together under the concept of "working in unison for the benefit of the whole".

The office of Polity, the proposed representative of the student body, is as much a stranger to us as are most of the facets of the administration. The past officials of Polity seem to have alienated themselves from the students in the bureaucratic process of the organization. Greater issue has been created over the payment of the activities fee than in the initiation of an extensive campaign to motivate students in the area of creating and expanding a program that will service the University itself and eventually the surrounding communities.

Different groups are involved in different projects and various other activities but there is little communications between these groups and the greater student body. This greatly shows the lack of communications among the students to recognize the validity of each others concerns and its reflection on Polity who, instead of acting as a cohesive agent uniting these programs with the idea of servicing the student body as a whole, has set itself up as an extraneous force of a power structure whose sole purpose is to determine the allocation of funds for different activities.

My purpose in running for Vice president of Polity is to bring about an extensive campaign to unite the students, programs, facilities and administration under the concept of bettering student involvement in all facets of S.U.S.B. and then extending these services and facilities to the surrounding communities.

Unless there is an understanding among the students of the goals and aims of different groups within the University, the present feelings of apathy will continue to destroy the students belief in himself and in his role in society.

We cannot extend our services to the communities if we cannot promote interest and belief that the facilities and the programs offered here are of some value, other than recreation. Changes within the university are stagnated by apathy.

—Leslie Douglas

JEANS, CORDS, STRAIGHTS



**All \$3.99
and
\$4.99**

**TOPS \$2.99
and
Up**

Next to Nothing Pants

3201 Middle Country Rd.

Lake Grove

(directly outside the Smithaven Mall)

Gals and Guys Make the Scene

JUMP N' JEANS

Unisex Pants - Shirts - Jackets


Wide Assortment

BARGAIN PRICES
LATEST STYLES

2647 Middle Country Road
(Just West of Liberty Diner)

OPEN: Mon. - Wed. 11 - 8,
Thurs. - Fri. 11 - 9, Sat. 10 - 6

Show Your SUSB I.D. and Receive a Free Gift




Renees Knitting and Needlework

3 VILLAGE PLAZA • RTE. 25A
751-3386 SETAUKET

Learn to:

- crochet & knit with our beautiful natural oil wools
- knit yourself a poncho or cape of Icelandic Lopi yarn, in a Sandinavian design
- hook a rug
- needlepoint a pillow
- embroider a picture



Don't just sit there—

Visit Renee's Yarn Shop—

You'll Love It!!

OUTRAGEOUS!

"THE WORST FILM I CAN RECALL SITTING THROUGH...EVER. A young victim is seduced into smoking the devil weed...No one seems to inhale, but it must be powerful stuff. Before the film is over, they all become screaming maniacs lumbering around like Frankenstein monsters, murdering people, leaping out of twelfth floor windows and tearing at their throats shouting 'Give me a reefer!...' An incredible series of gross and ludicrous distortions that thirty six years later becomes hilarious when seen from the other side of the generation gap, a gap this film did so much to create."

Kevin Saunders, ABC, T.V.


"THE FORWARD ALONE IS WORTH THE PRICE OF ADMISSION...The only face I recognize in this pathetic picture was Dave O'Brian's and he was the chap who used to act out the Pete Smith specialities, reportedly the only job he could get after his attempted rape and murder of an innocent girl on screen while under the influence of the weed in 'Reefer Madness'."

Sarris, Voice

Bill was a regular guy, a real sport. He wore a bow tie when he played tennis with his best girl, used Mazola oil to keep his hair in place, and said things like "Gee Whiz" when his Mom served hot chocolate. Just one of the gang. But Bill went to a party one day, one of those wild reefer smoking parties, and the Jezebel next to him put a funny looking cigarette in his mouth. "If you want a good smoke", she said, "try one of these". The poor boy hadn't the gumption to refuse, and but one puff later, this mother's pride had become a cackling amoral degenerate, yet another wasted life victimized by THE MOST DREADED PLAGUE SINCE MOSES LEFT EGYPT"

Washington Post

THE NATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR THE ABOLITION OF MARIJUANA
MARIJUANA
WEED FROM THE DEVIL'S GARDEN!



WAKE UP AMERICA! HERE'S A ROADSIDE WEED
FAST BECOMING NATIONAL HIGHWAY!

PLUS from Dwain Esper, Producer of "FREAKS!"



a short on dope-smoking in Egypt in the 1930's

PLUS **BETTY BOOP**



and 'VINCENT PRICE'S 1st OPIUM TRIP'

also: 'CAPTAIN MARVEL'

Rocky Point Cinema

ROUTE 25A P.O. BOX 1016 Telephone (516) 744-1300

ROCKY POINT, N.Y. 11778

Regular feature now thru Oct. 17th — "BUTTERFLIES ARE FREE" All Tickets \$.99.
Coming Friday and Saturday Midnight Oct. 20-21 — "EYES OF HELL" in Hallucinatinl 3D

FRIDAY AND SATURDAY OCTOBER 13 & 14 AT 10:30 AND MIDNIGHT

Tickets available in advance at ROCKY POINT CINEMA or at DIFFERENT STROKES at Smith Haven Mall.
\$1.50 in advance. \$2.00 at the door.

Harpo Marx Film Series

Wednesday night films will be shown in the lounge of Harpo Marx College at 9:00 PM.

- October 11 "Sky Above Mud Below"
- October 25 "Sleeping Car Murder"
- November 1 "1984"
- November 8 "Bus Stop" & short "Reflections in Black"
- November 15 "On The Waterfront"

Everyone is invited.

BOWLING LEAGUES NOW BEING FORMED!

SIGN UP IN THE BOWLING CENTER

ANY NIGHT-6PM-12AM 6-3648

When signing up, specify the type of league preferred:

(handicap or scratch) (mixed or not)


DO NOT APPLY UNTIL YOU HAVE A FULL 4-PERSON TEAM

Daytime acceptances will be

taken in room 278,

Student Union

Elliot Holzer or call 6-7100



Nassau Suffolk Stereo Discount
First Special
Now Thru Oct. 22
Marant 2215 Receiver
Dual 12158 Complete
W/M91ED
Pr of ADC 303AX Speakers.
List \$655
All for \$410 516-698-5621
M-W-F 4-10
T-Th 6-10 Sat-Sun 10-10
We Carry Every Brand Made

Angel Audio
Specials of the Week
(1) AM/FM Receiver
And 2 speakers \$125
(2) Dual 12158 Changer
D/C & Base, Shure M91ED
List \$185 Price \$100.
Free installation on all Systems
Free In-Home Service
Audio Consultant
Save 20% to 60%
All Brands of Stereo and Quad
Phone Quotes Reg. Dealer
(516) 732-7320 10 A.M. - 10 P.M.

THE ROCK SHOP

LAPIDARY

GEMS

MINERALS

CLASSES IN GEM CUTTING
FACETING CABACHAN
JEWELRY, STONE
& GEM CUTTING...
DONE ON PREMISES
RT. 25A STONY BROOK
751-0386
OPEN 7 DAYS/WK.
ACROSS FROM R.R.

LSAT WORKSHOP

Classes now forming in preparation for Dec. 16th LSAT. Proven technique and methodology. Verified record of outstanding achievement. Under direction of law professor and staff of experienced, dynamic teachers who have scored well over 700 on the LSAT.
LAW BOARDS
INSTITUTE
450 7th Ave. (34th St.)
New York City
594-1970 & 695-2611

JULIAN BREAM

Mon. Eve, Oct. 16, 8.00 P.M.

Half Hollow Hills H.S., Dix Hills, N.Y.
Reserved seats, Adults, \$6.50 & \$5.50; Students & Senior Citizens, \$5.50 & \$4.50. Mail orders promptly filled: The Guitar Workshop, P.O. Box 58, Oyster Bay, NY, 11771. Tickets on sale at Huntington Arts Council, 12 New St., Huntington, and at other locations. Call: 922-9370 or 271-8423.

Century's MALL

SMITH HAVEN MALL
Jericho Turnpike (Rt. 25)
and Nassau Highway
724-8888

NOW SHOWING

"2001" A Space Odyssey

Monday thru Friday
7:00 & 9:25

Saturday
1:25, 4:20, 7:50, 9:50

Sunday
1:00, 3:45, 6:40, & 9:15

COCA'S CINEMA 100

Tickets now Available

THE HOSPITAL

Lecture Hall 100

Friday Oct. 13 7-9:30-12 Saturday Oct. 14 7-9:30-12

SUNDAY FEATURE:

THE GODS AND THE DEAD

8 P.M.

Lecture Hall 100 50¢ without COCA I.D.

3 Village Theatre

ROUTE 25A in SETAUKET 941-4711

Admission Policy with SUSB I.D.

Sun. thru Thur. \$1.00 Fri. and Sat. \$1.50

NOW SHOWING!

Wed. Oct. 11 thru 14th

Alfred Hitchcock's "FRENZY" and Buck Henry in "TAKING OFF"

Sunday - Oct. 15 Thru 17th
"FRIENDS" and Elliot Gould and D. Sutherland in "MURDERERS"
music by Elton John

MIDNIGHT SHOWS EVERY FRI. AND SAT.
ALL SEATS \$1.00

Oct. 13 - 14 Mick Jagger in "PERFORMANCE"
Oct. 20 - 21 Frank Zappa in "200 MOTELS"
Oct. 27 - 28 The Beatles in "YELLOW SUBMARINE"

Classified Ads Classified Ads Classified Ads Classified Ads

PERSONAL

R-THANKS for all your help, but I just fell back in the old pit. F

FOR SALE

STEREO SYSTEMS designed for your HEAD. Call Stereo Scott for best prices on campus 979-0917.

NASSAU SUFFOLK STEREO DISCOUNT get huge discounts on every name brand in stereo equipment. Fair trade or not we cannot ant. will not be undersold. For phone quotes 598-8521 M-W-F 4-10 p.m., T-Th 6-10 p.m., Sat-Sun 10-10 p.m.

STONY BROOK STEREO IS BACK. Many great brands to choose from. Free delivery, fantastic prices. Call 246-7318 or stop by at B15A Gershwain for audio consultation. Ask for LEN.

SAVE 20% - 60% all brands STEREO EQUIPMENT TV, appliances. CONSULTATION and advice gladly given. No lower prices available. Invest a phone call Angel Audio of Selden 732-7320 16-10 p.m.

FIRE EXTINGUISHERS - University required. Factory warranty. Order now \$4.95 1 lb. Call 6-4587.

OLDS CUTLASS 1968 V-8, 4/dr., vinyl top, full power, 42,000 miles. Ex. cond. \$1100. Call 6-8062.

USED REFRIGERATORS AND FURNITURE at low prices. See large display at County Used Furniture Exchange, 1522 Main St., Port Jeff Sta., N.Y. 928-4458, evs. 473-8238.

HAGSTROM BASS GUITAR \$45 good condition. Must sell call John or Bob 744-7044.

MG-1100, 1964, 4/dr., sedan, 4500 miles; runs; needs repair; asking \$150. Call 246-6276, evs.

FOR SALE 1971 CUTLASS SUPREME convertible A/C, auto snows, am/fm, 8-track stereo. 350 V-8, 4 Barrel. No reasonable offer refused. Must Sell! 698-5624.

HELP-WANTED

FOLK SINGERS, groups - Young Peoples Pub near campus, good pay, nice crowd and drinks on the house - Call Alex mornings 593-6066, evs 5:30-9 543-9232.

PORTER/WAXER part-time \$2.25/hr. start. Reliable individual with car necessary. Call Jefferson Cleaning 751-4000.

CHEM 201 tutor needed really badly. Call 6-3735 any time. Can pay, but not too much.

WANTED EXPERIENCED PIANO TEACHER for once a week lessons 7482 Sanger 115A.

MODELS NEEDED for figure photography. \$10 per session. Call 6-4566.

HOUSING

STONY BROOK 2 Bedrooms Condominium Towne House Strathmore Gate. Swimming pool, country club atmosphere, mature adults, active 55 or over. Selling at sacrifice, never occupied garage, for information call BA 3-0276.

APARTMENT air conditioned, carpeted, patio. 5 minutes from University. Sublet from November to May. \$215/mo. Call 981-0324 after 5.

SERVICES

AUTO REPAIRS tune-ups, brakes, exhaust, shocks, grease & oil and other repairs at high discount rates. Call JC at 246-4205 or 6.

MOTORCYCLE INSURANCE immediate FS-1 6 month policy. Fire & Theft available. Frank W. Albino, 1820 Middle Country Rd., Centerech, 981-0478.

ROCK AND FOLK GROUPS available for dances, concerts, coffee houses. An exceptional talent. Brochure sent by request. Dennis Wayne Agency 745-5178.

MATH TUTORING all subjects, responsible fee. Call Buck Marcuson, Ph.D., at 928-4812.

BIKES REPAIRED on campus. Call Duncan Staley, 751-3039.

LOST & FOUND

LOST Red Plaid Jacket with blue Danglebe front in vic. of athletic field. REWARD. 6-7239.

FOUND in Craft Shop Oct. 2, man's gold wrist watch. Call 246-3657.

LOST blue wallet. Please return to Mindy Kapner - O'Neil College E204, 3392.

NOTICES

SUFI DANCING Tuesdays at 8 p.m., room 287 SBU. Donations.

STATESMAN is looking for an Education Editor to attend and review academic courses that would be published weekly. Anyone interested please contact Bill at 3690.

STATESMAN Feature Editor is accepting Poetry for Poetry Place. Please deliver all poems to the Statesman Office, Room 058, SBU.

IF YOU ARE MUSICALLY TALENTED and are interested in playing guitar, banjo, citar, harmonica, etc. or singing with your instrument at Tabler's October Fest, Sat., Oct. 28, please call Willie 4352.

SENIORS if you still wish to make yearbook photo appointments, call 3354 or stop by Spaulds office, room 072.

SBU BILLIARDS Center First annual triple elimination Playball tournament. Applications available in Billiards Center.

ATTENTION! All campus members! There will be a meeting for all Dept's Wed. 10/11 in Sarge's (theater) room 111, 7:30 p.m. if you can't come call Ed at 4700.

COME AND LEARN. Non-credit Hebrew classes are given Monday (beginners) and Tuesday eve at 8 p.m. Meet in A-B-C Cardozo College. For info call 6-4582 sponsored by the Hebrew Hall.

FILMSTRIPS on Israel, Israeli narrator, Sunday Oct. 15, 8 p.m., Cardozo College lounge. Sponsored by the Hebrew Hall. For info call 6-4587.

STATESMAN is starting a Dear Roxanne column for students. All letters can be submitted to the Statesman Feature mailbox or mailed to the Statesman office room 059, SBU. Include name of your dorm.

DON'T LET THE SYSTEM SCREW YOU know your rights. Draft Counseling will be held in SBU 213 at 12 noon to 1 p.m., every Wed. If you haven't registered for the draft, come too.

WOODY GUTHRIE COLLEGE (Kelly D) opens it's Breakfast club with free coffee and tea for everybody, rolls, pastry, hot chocolate, bagels, etc. Available hrs. 8-10 a.m. Mon-Fri., nice people too. For no extra charge join us before your early classes.

LIFE DRAWING CLASS every Tues. in Gutwile basement coffee room. No charge except small fee for our model. Call Ellen 3953 for more info and time.

WOODS GUYTON BASEBALL Coffee Room Open evs. 5-11 midnight. Sun. 10-11. Free coffee and tea, bagels, donuts, and other goodies too. Entertainment on Sun. evs. Come on over.


WUSA ARTS DEPT. meeting Thurs. Oct. 12, 7:30 p.m., in the WUSA Studios, room 235 in the Union. All people interested in radio theater, reviewing or arts reporting are invited to attend.

RIDE NEEDED to Williams College, Williamstown Mass., on Friday. Call Etienne 4887.

FOR ACTION
CALL ACTION LINE
246-8338

★ FLAIR! ★

ELECTION COLLECTION



COLOR IN THIS "MINI-PRESIDENTIAL POSTER" OF ONE OF THE CANDIDATES!

1. Buy a bunch of Flair pens. You need black, red, blue, brown, orange and purple. (You need them anyway for school.)
2. Now—color in the picture according to these color guide numbers. (1). Black (2). Red (3). Blue (5). Brown (6). Orange (9). Purple. Please do not color unnumbered areas.
3. Congratulations! You have created a genuine full color portrait of someone you know and love. Maybe. If he is not your favorite presidential candidate, have patience. You'll see your favorite next in the Flair Election Collection! (Don't forget to ask about Flair's running mate, the Flair Hot Liner.)

Gillette Company PaperMate Division © 1972

Who pays more than
Walt Whitman Federal
Savings?
NOBODY!
EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 1972
Daily Compounding at
5% ON OUR
DAY OF DEPOSIT - DAY OF WITHDRAWAL
OR REGULAR - 10th OF THE MONTH SAVINGS ACCOUNTS
Earns you our big
5.20% PER ANNUM
SAVINGS CERTIFICATES ALSO EARN MORE
6% = 6.27% 5 1/4% = 6% 5 1/8% = 5.46%
ASK FOR DETAILS REGARDING TERMS AND MATURITIES
**Walt Whitman Federal
Savings and Loan Association**
61 Route 25A, EAST SETAUKET 941-3600
MAIN OFFICE: 1572 N.Y. Ave., Huntington Sta.
BRANCH: 700 Walt Whitman Rd., Melville
HOURS: 9 am to 4 pm Monday thru Friday ~6 pm to 8 pm Friday evening



Jerry's Charcoal House

Complete fountain
and take-out service

The finest food at moderate prices
Open daily 6:30 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.
Closed Sunday
Rte. 25A Setauket, N.Y. 751-9624 Next to Genovese Drugs

200 ft. Circus Tent on the Center Mall

\$250,000 in Carnival Rides and Attractions



10,000 Hot Dogs

100 Kegs of Beer



German Band
Sing-Along Banjo Band
Jazz Band

Student Community FLEA MARKET

All This and More!!!

STUDENT COMMUNITY WEEKEND

Oct. 20-22

Plan Now to be on Campus that Weekend

Watch Next Week's Papers for Full Details

Sponsored by
CAC, SAB, and Student Community Weekend Committee

Gridmen Triumph, 26-0

(Continued from Page 1b)

Fittingly, Flynn scored the team's first points of the day on an 87 yard run down the left sideline. Joe Broadus kicked the extra point and the Pats were on their way.

In the second quarter, Flynn hooked up with tight end Mark Raisch, producing a 58 yard touchdown pass. It was Raisch's second touchdown reception of the year. The offense tallied another score before the half ended as halfback Sol Henley scampered 63 yards around left end, leaving the Queens defenders dazed. Thus, the Patriots held a 20-0 advantage at half-time.

Stony Brook's defense cannot be overlooked. They were quite consistent in stopping the run and the secondary continually blanketed the receivers. This, and a strong pass rush led by Robert Hickey and Winston Kerr, resulted in the Queens quarterback being dumped several times for substantial losses. Skip Foster also chipped in with an interception.

The defense set up the final score of the day when tackle Kerr recovered a fumble on the Queens 39-yard line. Flynn then led the team

downfield, and the drive culminated as fullback John Salvadore banged his way in from the 2 yard line for the touchdown.

The Stony Brook locker room was a happy sight following the shutout victory. The Pats record now stands at 1-2, but things are definitely looking up. Their next game is in Concordia on Saturday.

Queens	0	0	0	0	0
Stony Brook	7	13	6	0	26

SB - Flynn 87 run (Broadus Kick)
 SB - Raisch 58 pass from Flynn (kick blocked)
 SB - Henley 63 run (Broadus kick)
 SB - Salvadore 2 run (kick failed)

Patriot Statistics	
Yards Rushing	239
Yards Passing	88
Total Yardage	327

Women's Tennis

Defeat Queens and Brooklyn

By LYNNE R. PARENTI

The women's tennis team started on their way to achieving a 7-1 or 8-0 season as predicted by coach Sandra Weeden as they won their first two matches of the season against Queens and Brooklyn Colleges.

Victorious at Queens

Last Wednesday, the Patriots traveled to Queens College and won 3-2. In the first singles match, Charlein Staltare of Stony Brook was defeated in two straight 2-6 sets, while in the third singles match, Rachel Schuster was also losing 3-4, 4-6. Their teammate Diane Lucas, playing in the second singles position, was simultaneously saving the day for Stony Brook as she held out three long sets to defeat her

Queens opponent 3-6, 8-6, 6-4.

In the doubles matches, first doubles teammates Michele Lindenburg and Ruth Josephs won easily in two sets, 6-0 and 6-1. The second doubles team of Sue Tobachnick and Sue Edelheid won by forfeit. According to Weeden, this was decisive in Stony Brook's win, since Queens has a strong second doubles team which might have won, thereby reversing the day's final outcome.

Winners Over Brooklyn

A day later, the Patriots continued their domination of tennis play as they shut out Brooklyn 5-0. Brooklyn has a young team which is just building itself up and although Stony Brook's squad is equally young, Brooklyn proved to be

no match for the Patriots. Staltare's scores in the first singles were 6-7, 6-3, 6-4. In second singles, Lucas also took three to win 6-1, 2-6, 6-4, and in third singles, Schuster won 6-0, 6-4. All three are freshmen and very steady players. Weeden commented that Staltare is the best singles player the Stony Brook women's team has had in two years.

In the doubles matches, Lindenburg and Josephs took their match in two sets,

Today, Stony Brook plays Hofstra away at 4 p.m. Weeden sees this match as one of the toughest of the season.

Because of the expanded fall schedule, Stony Brook will not play spring tennis this year. A match against Ward Melville on October 12 has been added.

Women's Hockey

Pats Draw a Blank

Hofstra turned Stony Brook's women's field hockey season opener into an afternoon not to be remembered last Wednesday, as they managed to outdo the Patriots 2-0.

Hofstra's first goal came in the first half. A shot rebounded off Patriot goalie Barb McCuen's pads and went in despite her fine attempt to save it. Their second goal came in the second half, and this time it was one that McCuen never saw. Her view was obstructed and Hofstra had one goal more than necessary to win.

Five Offensive Chances

Stony Brook had about five chances to score throughout the game and came closest to doing so in the second half. Forward Kathy Ernst netted a beautiful goal which didn't count because an off-side foul was called.

"They forced us on the defense," commented Patriot coach Linda Hutton about Hofstra's attack. The score would have been higher, though, had not Stony Brook's defense been so outstanding. McCuen had two saves, and center half

Peggy Voll played an overall brilliant defensive game.

The Problem

Stony Brook's problem lies with the inexperienced offensive team. The forwards need more experience playing alone and together. Hutton is still moving the team around to find the right line-up and they are struggling now to form a good offensive attack. The team has been beset by class and activity conflicts, resulting in the dropping of several forwards, which has made Hutton's job of restructuring longer and more difficult.

Hofstra had more than just the usual home team advantage against Stony Brook. Their field was smooth and grassy, which, according to Hutton, was "a pleasure to play on." Contrasted with the condition of the field here, it is understandable that the Pats had their problems. They train on a field which lends itself to erratic ball movements and difficult play. Thus, the good bounces at Hofstra must have seemed like bad bounces to Stony Brook.

Basketball tryouts will begin next Monday, October 16, in the gym. All candidates should be suited up by 3:45 p.m. For more information, see coach Don Coveleski or coach Tom Costello in gym office G-3. Telephone 6-7933.

A reminder...

All interested women are invited to participate in intramurals from 7:30 to 9:30 p.m. each Tuesday and Thursday.

Rowing team practices have begun in Port Jefferson Harbor and will continue until November 3 on a Monday to Friday basis. Candidates who signed up at the Union and anyone else who wants to try out should meet at the rear of the gym any day at 4 p.m.

???

Reflections

Greg Gutes



The new ECAC ruling that allows women to compete with men in intercollegiate sports lends itself to a number of reactions. It's misguided, is one. It's unrealistic, is another.

Just picture it. You're a hard-hitting defensive lineman for your intramural football team, and you hustle in for the first series of plays from scrimmage. And opposite you, on the other team's offensive line, is a girl.

I don't think it's particularly sexist to say that even if she weighs as much as you do, it's kind of hard to give her the good pop you'd give another guy. In fact, it's kind of hard to hit her at all. I mean, when your psych professor tells you that this society negatively reinforces men for hitting women, he ain't just whistling Dixie.

Females just do not belong in the really vicious contact sports, if men are participating too. (And vice versa, I suppose.) Football is out. Ice hockey is a no-no. Lacrosse is out of the question.

That's not to say that coed competition should be banned altogether. Take the sports that involve a certain amount of contact, such as basketball, soccer, and baseball, for example. I can't really conceive of a woman being good enough to make any of these Stony Brook teams, but if one did pop up somehow, and had the tenacity necessary to pull through it, then more power to her.

And, of course, in sports like tennis, swimming, crew, track, and cross country, there's no reason why women shouldn't be allowed to compete against men. After all, the next time I beat my sister in tennis will be the first.

The only facet of this situation that I can't understand is how the identity of women's sports can be preserved if men go out for these teams. Possibly they will evolve into a sort of junior varsity, where less experienced men and women can undergo their training. The whole situation holds many implications.

But with respect to men playing on women's teams, one thing is for sure. At Queens, the male athletic teams are known as the Knights. If any number of men join the women's teams, the women will be rid of a nickname that I understand they detest - the Knightees.

One sports observer recently wrote that he personally saw no real beauty in seeing a man driving the length of the court for a basket. Lord forgive him, for he knows not what he says.

I love basketball far more than any other sport. I think it is not only a beautiful sport, but an art form. It is poetry in motion.

Who could fail to thrill to the sight of Walt Frazier dribbling directly at an opponent at breakneck speed, only to avoid a collision by dribbling behind his back at the last possible instant? Or Bill Bradley whipping a bounce pass to Frazier for a back door layup? Or a Jerry Lucas jump shot, the ball arcing so high that it seems to go straight down through the rippling net? Or on the Stony Brook level, an Arthur King driving, double-pumping, wiggling layup?

Well, unfortunately, basketball coach Don Coveleski can fail to thrill to it. To some extent, anyway. "I think that you have to appreciate the pros - Earl Monroe, Elgin Baylor - how they can keep their bodies in midair, stop, and go the other way," he said. "I think you have to appreciate that. But I don't think it's a thing of beauty.

"I think there's an appreciation of the skills themselves, especially if you've played the game. A fast break might be impressive even to a novice, to see people flying down the court....But I don't think you can compare a team contact sport with an individual sport as an art. It's a skill.

"I just don't look at it like that. I'm very practical," rationalized Coveleski, who himself likes women's gymnastics and horse racing. While you're at it, Lord, forgive him too.



PATRIOTS' ARTHUR KING: POETRY IN MOTION

A Demand for Campus Lights

Editorials



LIGHTS ON: something that is needed throughout the campus. In 1970, improper lighting resulted in two car accidents. In 1971 it was another car, last week it was a hit-and-run, maybe. Where will it all end? Death, possibly?

Lights out on campus; it has been a continual part of the Stony Brook experience for years now. Complaints have come from students, surveys have been taken by Maintenance, numerous editorials have been printed in Statesman, and John Toll has made a point of making some vague comment in response to a reporters questions at least once every year. Yet, with all the talk of rectifying the lighting situation, very little if anything at all has changed.

Early last week Actionline "undertook a complete tour of campus to find out exactly how many lights were out and for which reasons." It discovered 212 lamps with burned-out bulbs, 47 lights missing pole and all, and 178 lights out as part of a string or series. Forty-nine out of the combined total of 437 lights out on

campus were located in and around Kelly quad.

Actionline concluded, in its report, that it can see no reason why the entire campus could not be adequately lit within three weeks.

Nearly a day after Actionline issued its report a Stony Brook undergraduate was the victim of a hit-and-run driver on the Loop Road in front of Kelly-Gruzen quads. She was hospitalized in critical condition. A photographer at the scene said the lighting in the area was insufficient and shadowy.

Ponder for a moment the disturbing possibility that the accident would not have happened, and a student's life would not now be in jeopardy if those lights had been on.

A Change of Policy

The October 6 and the current issue of Statesman presents its readers with a change of editorial policy dealing with requests to print articles not written by Statesman staff members. In this case, we have chosen to print the full statements about Department of Defense research of both Dr. Toll and his contingent of opponents.

In the past, Statesman has eschewed accepting material 'wholesale', agreeing to only minor editing rights, and printing the matter in its entirety.

However, the editorial board felt that the serious nature of the subject of DoD

research warranted publication of the document which opposed Toll's research policy. Once we published the arguments of one side, we could do no less than agree to a similar request by Toll to print his policy statement in its entirety.

Statesman has published these two views of DoD research for the informational benefit of its readership. We do not wish to indicate that we are now willing to become a free vehicle of expression for every person or group that can muster the energy to write 3000 words. Each request of this nature will be considered on the basis of its own merits, not on the basis of precedent.

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 10, 1972

VOLUME 16 NUMBER 8

Editor-in-Chief: Larry Bozman;
Associate Editor: Marsha Pravder;
Managing Editors: Alice J. Kellman, Bill Soiffer; **Business Manager:** Greg Humes; **Arts Editor:** Lynn Kaplan;
Assistant Arts Editor: Norman Hochberg; **Contributing Editor:** Chris Carty; **Copy Editor:** Stefan Rosenberger; **Feature Editor:** Phyllis Berman; **News Director:** Robert Tiernan; **News Editor:** Leonard Steinbach; **Assistants:** Bonnie Friedel, Michael Dunn;
Photo Editor: Larry Rubin; **Assistants:** Mike Amico, Robert Schwartz; **Sports Editors:** Greg Gutes, Al Fallick

Statesman

"Let Each Become Aware"

STAFF:


Arts: David Blustein, Marc Berstein, Charles Brown, Ed Diamond, Eric Frank, Christian Holinka, Gary Reiner, Martha Calhoun, Michele Parker, Pamela Hassell, Michael Isaac, Michael Kape; **Copy:** Jim Weiner, Elaine Brimer, Maxine Weltman; **Feature:** David Greenberg, Mike Greenfeld, Jean Schindler, Frances Eisenmann, Vinny Calamin, Sharon Hewitt, Eleanor Kedney, Daniel McCarthy, Melanie Yurkewecz; **News:** Steve Bochner, Vinnie Costantino, Bette Friedman, Roberta Halpern, Robert Kaufman, Ellen Leder, Gilda LePatner, Jason Manne, Craig Rollins, Jonathan D. Salant, Gary Alan DeWaal, Ed Diamond, Vic Rosenthal, David Ost, Carlos Almenar (Page Two); **Photo:** Muffin Irving, Martin D. Landau, Martin Privalsky, Bill Sherman, Steve Buckshaum, Julie Cornfield, Louis Manna, Don DeGutz, Robert F. Cohen, Alan Stern; **Sports:** Howie Brandstein, Matt Cahaney, Michael Cohen, Lynne R. Parenti, Gerald Reis, Charles Spiler
Production: Elizabeth Burton, Lila Czelowalnik, Rusty Green, Steve Appold, Carl Flatow, John M. Leung, Jeanne Behrman, Maryanne Knortz;
Production Manager: Julian Shapiro;
Office Secretary: Carole Myles

Feiffer

HATE.




I HATE.




I HATE ME.




LOVE.




I LOVE.



I LOVE HATING ME.



PEACE.




Dist. Publishers-Hall Syndicate

10-8

10-10-72

Rock Money to Charity: An Unprecedented Decision

Viewpoints



FUTURE IN DOUBT: Unless the decision that requires bands to contribute part of their earnings to charity is reversed, Stony Brook may see a long delay in future concerts.

"The present policy (of requiring rock groups to give 50% of their fee to a non-profit organization) 'sounds like a good idea, if you live in Utopia.'"

-Ray Bronson, SAB Chairman
Statesman (9/15/72)

By Michael Zwiebel

Thomas More once said: "Any map of the world that does not include Utopia is not worth looking at." The dreams of a better world, and our attempts to transform the present world, are the only things that, for many of us, make life more than an exercise in futility and despair. Caught up in the tide of self-serving cynicism that is now sweeping our country, however, Ray Bronson and the SAB have chosen to dismiss Utopian visions as the products of idealistic and unclear thinking.

The defenders of the status quo enjoy it immensely whenever we surrender to such an attitude, for despair and cynicism lead to inaction - and in many cases is no more than a rationalization for laziness or sheer apathy. If you simply don't care about anything that happens to people (including yourself), then come out and say so - don't cover it over with such pat phrases as, "I've tried to

change things, but it doesn't work." If you really do care, then understand that the only way we change anything is not by succumbing to discouragement, but by continuing to work for it.

In a recent newsletter, SAB attempted to convince us that the conditions of last spring's mandate (concerning rock groups) were impossible to fulfill, and in the same newsletter hit us with this threat: either we, the student body, repeal our decision of last spring, or there ain't gonna be no more concerts at S.B. Even if what they say is true, and the conditions are difficult to fulfill, rather than shrug our shoulders and surrender, we must stand firm in refusing to allow the new vampires, the profit-hungry and callous parasites of the "youth culture," to play here. Stony Brook was the first campus to make this decision - it was totally unprecedented. If we stand firm, there is a possibility that other campuses will follow, and soon rock groups will start feeling the pressure to respond to the demands of the people; culture will once again respond to our needs, rather than those of business agents.

And what are the reasons SAB gives us for their reactionary attitude?

Firstly, they say, "at least 95% of professional bands" work exclusively through agents, and the agents have unanimously refused to cooperate with the program at Stony Brook. To cite this as an excuse not to continue the program is actually gross stupidity, for it is precisely to lessen the hold of the business mentality on culture that the referendum was originally introduced. We wanted to make sure that the millions of dollars poured into the rock industry every year in some way found their way back to us instead of into the pockets of the owners of record companies, booking agents, and the groups themselves. No one thought that we had only to ask the agents to surrender their tidy profits in order for them to immediately do so. On the contrary, we all realized that they would only respond to pressure, pressure that can come only if S.B. and other campuses to follow stand firm.

Another reason SAB gives for its failure is that the expenses incurred by the band, if not covered by the agents, will, coupled with a 50% reduction in fee, place them below the "break-even" level. This, along with their previous reasoning, is designed to depict rock bands as helpless and blameless victims of both agent and consumer, barely able to pull a living salary. We know, of course, that this is not so. The top bands are millionaires many times over, and a non-profit evening will hurt them little (remember that the Jefferson Airplane, even if they receive only half of their fee, will still be receiving \$12,500 for an evening's work). And despite this, it is the groups themselves, and not only the agents, that still maintain an attitude that says none of us peons have any right to touch their millions. Keep in mind that a group that is big enough can generally set its own terms, since no agent wants to lose it. They are not the victims of agents.

Remember, for instance, that the Airplane played here for \$25,000 on the same night that an important Free Bobby Seale rally was occurring in New Haven, thereby diverting people from an important political event at

which they themselves, if they really believed their own rhetoric, should have been. Remember also that during last May's student strike here to protest the war in Indochina, a delegation from the Strike Steering Committee went to see both Commander Cody and the New Riders of the Purple Sage, who were playing a concert here during that strike, to ask them to donate some of their proceeds to a strike fund. They refused in rather abusive language, and further refused to allow a slide show on the air war in Indochina to be shown during the course of the concert, with Commander Cody saying, "Man, people are here to have a good time. We don't wanna bring their heads down."

We have to remember that making the rock millionaires part with some of their money is only a beginning to what we really have to do, which is to make all business interests and corporations in this give up their profits in order to serve the needs of the people. We need money for day-care centers, tuition-free universities, cheap and decent housing, etc., but instead this money goes into the pockets of businessmen, both "hip" and otherwise. And we must remember that, as with the rock agents, we will not get our needs met simply by asking. We must demand, and we must fight.

In a word, what we must ultimately do, if we're sincere about the spirit of this referendum, if we're sincere about reaching Utopia, is destroy capitalism (excuse the need for a generalized word, due to space limitations), a system based on expanding corporate profits while denying the needs of people. Diverting money from the mortgage on Bob Weir's mansion to medical aid for the Indochinese victims of American genocide is only a start, but we've got to make it work, even if it means no concerts at Stony Brook for a year or even more while we're fighting the new vampires. Vote "yes" on the Oct. 11 referendum, and insure the continuation of the program started last spring.

(The writer is an undergrad at SUSB.)

Things to Come at Stony Brook Boggle the Mind

By WENDEL URTH

Oct. 4, 1973—BRIDGE MISSES AGAIN: The Bridge to Nowhere, which was to have connected to the Library, yesterday missed its replacement target, the Fine Arts Building, by a matter of inches (2,400 to be exact). Chief Engineer Joe Vanilla said, "How about the Lecture Hall?" The bridge will be completed in about two years.

March 6, 1974—NEW NAMES FOR COLLEGES IN STAGE XV—announced by the Administration:

A— Bud Collier College—Host of To Tell the Truth and R. Bert Chase's hero.

B— Maurice Stans College—Noted Nixon campaign leader and 2nd story man.

C— Larry Bozman College—Illegitimate son of Bela Schnick

D— Al Post College—Designer of the SUNY Stony Brook road system. Unfortunately, Mr. Post will not be present for the opening ceremonies, having been seriously injured recently when his car flew off the Loop Road into a tree. Also injured in the same incident was "Sparks" Schmidt, Assistant Engineer in charge of road lighting.

Sept. 26, 1975—DISHWASHING MACHINES ARRIVE: University President John Toll announced the arrival of the long awaited 3-minute, all-purpose, battery-powered dishwashers. They are to be stored in his garage until a fair means of

distribution can be drawn up by University officials.

Dec. 8, 1975—STUDENTS IN MAURICE STANS COLLEGE COMPLAIN OF BUGS in the suites, leaving them with absolutely no privacy. R. Bert Chase, when questioned by our reporter, replied to this charge by saying, "We deny categorically that... what was that charge again?"

Feb. 25, 1976—NEW JOHN'S BARGAIN STORE OPENS on Route 25A, featuring "lowest prices ever on 3 minute, all-purpose, battery-powered dishwashers," and free with every washer a special University-sized can of Mace.

April 1, 1976—The Bridge, which was to have connected to the Library, and then the Fine Arts Building, yesterday missed its latest target, the Lecture Center. Former Chief Engineer Joe Vanilla said, "Oops!" A task force has been set up by the state to figure out what to do with the damn thing.


August 17, 1976—REGISTRATION DAY REACHES WOODSTOCK PROPORTIONS: Four hundred-thousand SUSB students showed up in the Administration lobby and surrounding 8 acres to pay their bills and receive their programs for the fall semester. A movie of the event will be released this spring and the official T-shirt with the logo of a turtle sitting on a transcript is on sale

in the Union.

Nov. 17, 1977—SECURITY ASKS APPROVAL FOR 32 CALIBER SATURDAY NIGHT SPECIALS to be used only in defensive situations and not as a means of Crowd Elimination. In order to get first hand knowledge of the effects of Saturday Night Specials, John Burnass, Assistant to the President, and R. Bert Chase, Assistant Vice-President for Student Affairs, have let themselves be shot. The assault was held in cooperation with the Suffolk County Police Dept.

According to Chase, they purposely tried not to react in order to discover the effects, but after 4 or 5 seconds of extreme smarting and blood, localized all over their bodies, they fainted. It is not necessary to receive a direct shot, just grazing of the surface will have the same effect. Chase feels that if these weapons are adopted by campus security, Band-Aids should be accessible to persons shot.

(Wendel Urth are two Juniors at Stony Brook.)



'GIVE 'EM BACK! WHOSE PRISONERS ARE THEY, ANYWAY?'

Calendar of Events

photo by Larry Rubin

Tuesday, October 10

Drama: San Francisco's Shakespeare Company will present a modern interpretation of "As You Like It" at 8 p.m. SBU Ballroom. Cost \$1.

Exhibit: "Symbols in the Mind — A Closer Look," a photographic exhibit involving the world of symbols by members of Minority Photographers, is on display in the SBU Gallery, 10 a.m.-5 p.m.

Soccer: Varsity plays C.W. Post under the lights on athletic field. Home, 8 p.m.

Film: Renoir directed "The Grand Illusion" and "Rules of the Game." Presented by Tuesday Flicks. The reels roll at 8 p.m. in the SBU Auditorium.

Music: "Rainy Night House" presents Joel Meginsky in the early evening and Cathy Rotolo in the later evening. SBU basement coffeehouse.

Study: English majors interested in study in England have an informational meeting at 1 p.m. in Humanities lounge.

Slide Show: People for Medical Aid to Indochina presents a slide show by Sara Rosner of a trip to People's Republic of China.

Film: International Lecture and Film Series presents "Tagore" and "Requiem for a Faith." Showtime is 8 p.m. in Gruzen cafeteria.

Sufi Dancing: Weekly dancing 8 p.m. SBU 237.

Lecture: Professor Max Dresden will discuss the evolution of the contemporary model of the atom and its experimental foundations. Geared to science teachers, lecture begins at 5 p.m., room 246 in Light Engineering building.

Lecture: Professor Ruth Miller speaks on major American authors. 5:30 p.m., room 110 Lecture Center.

Lecture: Professor David Benfield speaks on "Philosophical Issues in Contemporary Morality." Lecture begins at 5:30 p.m., room 102, Lecture Center.

Politics: Students for McGovern announce the opening of their McGovern headquarters in SBU basement, room 062. Open weeknights 6-11 p.m. and weekend afternoons 1-6 p.m.

Politics: Students for Nixon have opened an office in SBU 072. Call 3354.

Wednesday, October 11

Exhibit: "Symbols in the Mind — A Closer Look," a photographic exhibit involving the world of symbols by members of Minority Photographers, is on display in the SBU Gallery, 10 a.m.-5 p.m.

Folk Music: Hedi West, a folk singer will discuss Folk Music of the British Isles and Western Hemisphere. Room 043, 5 p.m., Bio building.

Speaker: Norman Mailer, author and former New York mayoralty candidate will speak in the gymnasium 8 p.m. Cost \$1.

Meeting: Hillel general meeting, 8 p.m. SBU 236.

Film: "Laurel and Hardy" greets sponsored by Rainy Night House SBU coffeehouse. Opens 8 p.m. - 1:30 a.m., SBU basement. "Below Zero," "Perfect Day," "Dirty Walk," "Their First Mistake."

Politics: POLITY ELECTIONS; Polls open 9 a.m.-5 p.m.



Outing Club: Planning meeting, room 236, SBU 8 p.m.

Lecture: International Lecture and Film Series presents William Stablein, on "Buddhism in Nepal." International College Penthouse, 8 p.m.

Colloquium: Professor Walther, of University of Cologne, Germany, on "Experiments with Tuneable Lasers" physics Lecture hall, 4:30 p.m.

Theatre: Organizational meeting to form an improvisational performance group. Surge B, room 114, 2-4 p.m.

Meeting: New Campus Newsreel holds organizational meeting for all departments, Surge B, room 111, 7:30 p.m.

Film: "Sky Above Mud Below" sponsored by Harpo Marx College. Marx College lounge, 9 p.m.

Colloquium: Baglunch Seminar for higher education. Dr. D. L. Trautman speaks on "Design of an Inquiry to Faculty to Assess Their Intended Educational Outcomes for Students." SBU 201, noon.

Draft Counseling: SBU 213 or 214 from noon to 1:30 p.m.

Meeting: Stony Brook Self-Study; student participants; SBU 231, 6-9 p.m.

Thursday, October 12

Film: CED sponsors "The Sleeping Car Murders" directed by Costa Gravas and starring Yves Montand and Simon Signoret. Lecture Center 100, 8:30 p.m.

Lecture: Professor Frank Myers "Government and Politics Abroad," comparing non-American Political systems. 8 p.m., room 141, SSB.

Lecture: Professor Sheldon Ackley speaks on the concepts of law and the nature of legal reasoning. Lecture Center 103, 8 p.m.

Club Night: A night of signups for Stony Brook's clubs and organizations. SBU meeting rooms, 7-10 p.m.

Meeting: Society of Vegetarians at Stony Brook will tell you how to plan for a vegetarian party. SBU 229, 8 p.m.

Meeting: WUSB Music Production Department, SBU 216, 8:30 p.m.

Film: People for Medical Aid to Indochina presents "Village by Village Pentagon Papers." SBU Theater, 12:30 p.m., 9 p.m.

Friday, October 13

Benefit: Dinner and Slide Show for Medical Aid to Indo-China. Menu: Indochinese soup and rice dish.

Dance: International Folk dancing, 8 p.m., gym dance studio.

Film: COCA presents "The Hospital," starring George C. Scott, shows 7, 9:30 and midnight.

Saturday, October 14

Soccer: Stony Brook vs. Southampton 2 p.m., athletic field.

Cross Country: Stony Brook vs. Kings Point, 11 a.m. at Van Cortlandt Park.

Football: Stony Brook vs. Concordia 2 p.m. away.

Tennis: Women of Stony Brook vs. Long Island University. 10:30 a.m., home.

Film: COCA presents "The Hospital" starring George C. Scott. Shows 7, 9:30 and midnight.

Children's Films: "Hansel and Gretel," "Spare the Rod," and "Hurry, Hurry." \$.50 per child.

Film: "Stony Brook — The First Ten Years," history and background of the University. 2,3,4, and 5 p.m. Lecture Hall 111.

Tour: Bus tour of the community 11 a.m. from SBU.

Reception: ACUC hosts a reception for members of the community and the University at SBU Buffeteria, 5-7 p.m.

Demonstration: Computer assisted instruction lab will run demonstrations of computer-based teaching techniques at their facility in basement of SSB.

Sunday, October 15

Concert: Jazz music will be provided by the Clark Terry quartet, sponsored by the International Art of Jazz, 4 p.m. SBU Ballroom.

Painting Judging: Fence Painting Contest Judging. Winners announced at 3 p.m. Library Mall.

Film: COCA presents "The Gods and the Dead," Lecture 100, 8 p.m.

Forum: "If God is Alive ...?" A forum on contemporary and traditional religious practices discussed by a group of University chaplains, faculty members and students.

Tour: ACUC conducts a bicycle tour of the community at 11 a.m.

Swim: Community swimming as part of Community-University month from 1-5 p.m.