



STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK  
AT STONY BROOK

COLLEGE OF  
ENGINEERING

Report No. 8

AN ANALYSIS OF FULLY DEVELOPED LAMINAR FLOW

IN

AN ECCENTRIC ANNULUS

by

William T. Snyder

Gerald A. Goldstein

NOVEMBER 1963

Sp. Coll.

TA1

.N532

no. 8

c.2

An Analysis of Fully Developed Laminar Flow  
in  
An Eccentric Annulus

William T. Snyder  
Gerald A. Goldstein

Department of Thermal Sciences  
State University of New York at Stony Brook  
Stony Brook, New York

November 1963

## Introduction

In recent years, a considerable interest has developed in the problems of flow and heat transfer in annuli, both concentric and eccentric. In addition to its inherent usefulness as a flow geometry, flow in an annulus has proved useful as a model for longitudinal flow in a tube bundle (5). The interest in the eccentric annulus arises because of the problem of tube misalignment which frequently occurs in a close-packed tubular heat exchanger. A considerable amount of work has been done on the problem of turbulent flow in an eccentric annulus (4), but to the author's knowledge no results have been reported for the laminar flow friction factors in an eccentric annulus. The present investigation attempts to fill this gap in our knowledge of annulus flows.

In a recent paper (1), the senior author considered the problem of slug flow heat transfer in an eccentric annulus. The governing equation for both slug flow heat transfer and fully developed laminar flow in an eccentric annulus is Poisson's equation with a constant non homogeneous term. Thus the governing equation for the present investigation is the same as that employed in reference (1) with different boundary conditions. The basic mathematical technique applicable to both problems is the bipolar transformation which maps the concentric annulus cross section in the physical plane into a rectangle in the complex plane.

An analysis of the laminar flow problem by Heyda (2) was recently called to the author's attention. Heyda's main interest

was in establishing the locus of maximum velocity for fully developed laminar flow in an eccentric annulus. The assumption was then made that the locus of maximum velocity would be the same for both laminar and turbulent flow, an assumption which has been recently verified over a limited range of radius ratios by Wolffe and Clump (3). The expression for the shear stress was not obtained by Heyda and no numerical results were presented.

In the present analysis, a solution is obtained for the fully developed laminar flow velocity distribution in an eccentric annulus. From this solution, expressions are obtained for the variation of local shear stress around the inner and outer surfaces of the annulus. Friction factors are defined for each surface as well as a total friction factor based on the total shear at both surfaces. Numerical results are presented covering a range of eccentricities and radius ratios.

### The Analysis

The geometry considered in the present analysis is shown in Figure 1. The equation of motion for fully developed laminar flow may be written as

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{dP}{dz} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \quad (1)$$

where the pressure gradient is constant because of the assumption of fully developed flow. The viscosity will be assumed constant. The boundary conditions are the non slip conditions

expressed by  $u=0$  at the inner and outer surfaces.

Because of the asymmetry of the geometry, cylindrical coordinates cannot be used, and bipolar coordinates (6) must be used. Equation (1) is transformed to the bipolar coordinate system and a solution obtained in this system. The details of the transformation and solution technique are similar to those employed in reference (1) and in a paper by El-Saden (7) in which the problem of heat conduction in an eccentrically hollow cylinder was solved. The bipolar coordinates  $(\eta, \xi)$  are defined by the transformation

$$x + iy = ic \cot \left( \frac{\xi + i\eta}{2} \right) \quad (2)$$

where  $c$  is a constant and  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . Equating real and imaginary parts of Equation (2) gives relations between the physical and bipolar coordinates in the form

$$x = \frac{c \sinh \eta}{\cosh \eta - \cos \xi} \quad (3a)$$

$$y = \frac{c \sin \xi}{\cosh \eta - \cos \xi} \quad (3b)$$

$$e^{2\eta} = \frac{y^2 + (x+c)^2}{y^2 + (x-c)^2} \quad (3c)$$

$$\tan \xi = \frac{2yc}{x^2 + y^2 - c^2} \quad (3d)$$

$$y^2 + (x - c \coth \eta)^2 = \frac{c^2}{\sinh^2 \eta} \quad (3e)$$

Equation (3e) shows that lines of constant  $\eta$  represent circles in the physical plane with center at  $(c \coth \eta, 0)$  and radius  $\frac{c}{\sinh \eta}$ . The inner and outer surfaces of the annulus are thus represented by lines of constant  $\eta$  which will be designated as  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. With this notation, it may be shown from geometrical considerations that the constants  $c$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta$  are given by the expressions

$$c = r_1 \sinh \alpha = r_2 \sinh \beta \quad (4a)$$

$$\cosh \alpha = \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\gamma(1+\phi^2) + (1-\phi^2)}{2\phi} \quad (4b)$$

$$\cosh \beta = \frac{\gamma(1-\phi^2) + (1+\phi^2)}{2\phi} \quad (4c)$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{r_1}{r_2} \quad (4d)$$

$$\phi = \frac{e}{r_2 - r_1} \quad (4e)$$

Transforming Equation (1) into bipolar coordinates gives

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta^2} = - \frac{1}{(\cosh \eta - \cos \xi)^2} \quad (5a)$$

where

$$\psi = - \frac{u}{\frac{c^2}{\mu} \frac{dP}{dz}} \quad (5b)$$

is the dimensionless velocity. The general solution to Equation (5a) is given in reference (7). Applying the boundary conditions  $v(\eta = \alpha) = 0 = v(\eta = \beta)$  gives the particular solution in the form

$$v = F + E\eta - \frac{\text{ctnh}\eta}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \{A_m e^{m\eta} + (B_m - \text{ctnh}\eta)e^{-m\eta}\} \cos m\xi \quad (6a)$$

where

$$F = \frac{\alpha \text{ctnh}\beta - \beta \text{ctnh}\alpha}{2(\alpha - \beta)} \quad (6b)$$

$$E = \frac{\text{ctnh}\alpha - \text{ctnh}\beta}{2(\alpha - \beta)} \quad (6c)$$

$$A_m = \frac{\text{ctnh}\alpha - \text{ctnh}\beta}{e^{2m\alpha} - e^{2m\beta}} \quad (6d)$$

$$B_m = \frac{e^{2m\alpha} \text{ctnh}\beta - e^{2m\beta} \text{ctnh}\alpha}{e^{2m\alpha} - e^{2m\beta}} \quad (6e)$$

For a given geometry,  $\chi$  and  $\phi$ , defined by Equations (4d) and (4e) would be specified. These values then determine  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , given by Equations (4b) and (4c) respectively. With  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  determined, the constants appearing in Equation (6a), defined by Equations (6b)-(6e) are then fixed.

#### Local Wall Shear Stress

The local wall stress may be determined by evaluating the velocity gradient at the wall. Thus

$$\tau_{\text{wall}} = \pm \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)_{\text{wall}} \quad (7)$$

where  $r$  is the radial coordinate measured from the center of the surface on which  $\tau_{\text{wall}}$  is being calculated. The algebraic sign is chosen to make  $\tau_{\text{wall}}$  a positive quantity. Since  $\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)_{\text{wall}} > 0$  on the inner wall and  $\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)_{\text{wall}} < 0$  on the outer wall, choosing the plus sign for the inner wall and the minus sign for the outer wall will give positive values for  $\tau_{\text{wall}}$  in both cases.

The velocity gradient in the  $r$ -direction must be expressed in terms of the  $(\xi, \eta)$  bipolar coordinates. This transformation involves a straightforward application of the chain rule of partial differentiation. The details are presented in the Appendix and the final results may be written as

$$\tau_{\text{inner wall}} = \tau_1 = \frac{\mu (1 - \cosh \alpha \cos \xi)}{r_1 \sinh \alpha \cos \theta} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} \right)_{\eta = \alpha} \quad (8a)$$

$$\tau_{\text{outer wall}} = \tau_2 = \frac{-\mu (1 - \cosh \beta \cos \xi)}{r_2 \sinh \beta \cos \theta} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} \right)_{\eta = \beta} \quad (8b)$$

where  $\theta$  and  $\xi$  are related along the walls by the expressions

$$\tan \theta \Big|_{\text{inner wall}} = \frac{\sinh \alpha \sin \xi}{\cosh \alpha \cos \xi - 1} \quad (9a)$$

$$\tan \theta \Big|_{\text{outer wall}} = \frac{\sinh \beta \sin \xi}{\cosh \beta \cos \xi - 1} \quad (9b)$$



The average values of the wall shear stresses are given by

$$\tau_{1, \text{avg.}} = \bar{\tau}_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \tau_1 d\theta \quad (10a)$$

$$\tau_{2, \text{avg.}} = \bar{\tau}_2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \tau_2 d\theta \quad (10b)$$

where the integration is performed only over the range  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$  because of symmetry about the x-axis. From Equations (8a)-(10b), values of  $\tau_1/\bar{\tau}_1$  and  $\tau_2/\bar{\tau}_2$  may be calculated which give the variation of local shear stress around the walls in dimensionless form.

### Inner and Outer Wall Friction Factors

A friction factor may be defined relative to both the inner wall and outer wall average shear stresses by the relations

$$f_1 = \frac{\bar{\tau}_1}{\frac{\rho \bar{u}^2}{2}} \quad (11a)$$

$$f_2 = \frac{\bar{\tau}_2}{\frac{\rho \bar{u}^2}{2}} \quad (11b)$$

where  $\bar{u}$  is the mean velocity defined as

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{\pi(r_2^2 - r_1^2)} \iint u dA \quad (12)$$

The differential element of area  $dA = dx dy$  may be shown (1) to be related to the  $(\xi, \eta)$  coordinates through

the expression

$$dA = dx dy = \frac{c^2 d\xi d\eta}{(\cosh \eta - \cos \xi)^2} \quad (13)$$

Equation (12) may then be rewritten as

$$\bar{u} = \frac{c^2}{\pi(r_2^2 - r_1^2)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{u}{(\cosh \eta - \cos \xi)^2} d\xi d\eta = \frac{-2c^4}{\pi \mu (r_2^2 - r_1^2)} I \frac{dP}{dz} \quad (14a)$$

where

$$I = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{u}{(\cosh \eta - \cos \xi)^2} d\xi d\eta \quad (14b)$$

The overall force balance equation for the annulus may be written as

$$2\pi(r_1 \bar{\tau}_1 + r_2 \bar{\tau}_2) = -\pi(r_2^2 - r_1^2) \frac{dP}{dz} \quad (15a)$$

$$2r_1 \bar{\tau}_1 \left(1 + \frac{r_2}{r_1} \frac{\bar{\tau}_2}{\bar{\tau}_1}\right) = -(r_2^2 - r_1^2) \frac{dP}{dz} \quad (15b)$$

$$2r_2 \bar{\tau}_2 \left(1 + \frac{r_1}{r_2} \frac{\bar{\tau}_1}{\bar{\tau}_2}\right) = -(r_2^2 - r_1^2) \frac{dP}{dz} \quad (15c)$$

Combining Equations (11a), (11b), (14a), (15b), (15c) and introducing the Reynolds number defined as

$$Re = \frac{2\rho \bar{u}}{\mu} (r_2 - r_1) \quad (16)$$

gives

$$f_1 Re = \frac{\pi \left\{ \left( \frac{r_2}{r_1} \right)^2 - 1 \right\}^2 \left\{ \frac{r_2}{r_1} - 1 \right\}}{I \sinh^4 \alpha \left\{ 1 + \frac{r_2}{r_1} \frac{\bar{r}_2}{\bar{r}_1} \right\}} \quad (17a)$$

$$f_2 Re = \frac{\pi \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{r_1}{r_2} \right)^2 \right\}^2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{r_1}{r_2} \right\}}{I \sinh^4 \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{r_1}{r_2} \frac{\bar{r}_1}{\bar{r}_2} \right\}} \quad (17b)$$

Total Friction Factor

In reference (8), the average friction factor for the concentric annulus is defined as

$$f = - \frac{(r_2 - r_1)}{\rho \bar{u}^2} \frac{dP}{dz} \quad (18)$$

This same definition will be used for the average friction factor for the eccentric annulus. The pressure gradient may be related to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  by an overall force balance

$$2\pi (r_1 \bar{r}_1 + r_2 \bar{r}_2) = -\pi (r_2^2 - r_1^2) \frac{dP}{dz} \quad (19a)$$

or

$$\rho \bar{u}^2 (r_1 f_1 + r_2 f_2) = - (r_2^2 - r_1^2) \frac{dP}{dz} \quad (19b)$$

Combining Equations (18) and (19b) gives

$$f Re = \frac{\gamma f_1 Re + f_2 Re}{1 + \gamma} \quad (20a)$$

where 
$$\gamma = \frac{r_1}{r_2} \quad (20b)$$

Using the values of  $f_1 Re$  and  $f_2 Re$  calculated from Equations (17a) and (17b) in Equation (20a) determines the average friction factor-Reynolds number product.

### Numerical Results

Numerical results for a range of radius ratios and eccentricities is shown in Figures 2 through 8. The distribution of local shear stress on the inner and outer surfaces is shown in Figures 2 through 5. The data are presented with the ratio of local to average wall stress plotted against angular position with eccentricity as parameter. As one would expect, the wall stress is largest in the region of smallest separation between the surfaces, corresponding to  $\Theta = 0$ . It is interesting to note that the local wall stress may vary by as much as a factor of 20 over the range of eccentricities and radius ratios considered.

The friction factors for the inner and outer surfaces, defined by Equations (17a) and (17b) are shown in Figures 6 and 7 for two radius ratios. The friction factor for the outer wall is less than the corresponding quantity for the inner wall, the difference between the two quantities decreasing with increasing eccentricity. Also the difference between inner and outer wall friction factors appears to decrease as

the ratio of inner tube diameter to outer tube diameter increases. This trend appears to be valid for all values of eccentricity.

The final data to be presented, shown in Figure 8, give the variation of total friction factor, defined by Equation (18), with eccentricity for fixed radius ratio. Two values of radius ratio, namely  $\gamma = 1/2$  and  $\gamma = 5/6$ , are shown. As seen in Figure 8, the total friction factor is only slightly sensitive to radius ratio over the range covered but changes significantly with eccentricity. There is a change by a factor of approximately 2 over the range of eccentricity from 0.1 to 0.9.

Appendix

Derivation of Wall Shear Stress Expression

The expression for the local wall shear stress is

$$\tau_{wall} = \pm \mu \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|_{wall} \quad (A-1)$$

where  $r$  is the radial coordinate measured from the center of the circle on which  $\tau_{wall}$  is being calculated. The velocity gradient at the wall can be evaluated from the chain rule as

$$\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|_{wall} = \left\{ \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|_y \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} \right|_{\theta} + \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|_x \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \right|_{\theta} \right\}_{wall} \quad (A-2)$$

where

$$\left. \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} \right|_{\theta} = \cos \theta$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \right|_{\theta} = \sin \theta$$

Expressing  $\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|_y$  and  $\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|_x$  in terms of the  $(\xi, \eta)$  coordinates gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|_{wall} = & \cos \theta \left\{ \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \right|_{\eta} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \right|_y + \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\xi} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right|_y \right\}_{wall} \\ & + \sin \theta \left\{ \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \right|_{\eta} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \right|_x + \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\xi} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \right|_x \right\}_{wall} \end{aligned} \quad (A-3)$$

Since  $u=0$  at the wall and since the wall surfaces corres-

pond to lines of  $\eta = \text{constant}$ , we have  $\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \right|_{\eta} = 0$  at the wall. With this simplification, Equation (A-3) becomes

$$\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|_{\text{wall}} = \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\text{wall}} \left\{ \cos \theta \left. \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right|_y + \sin \theta \left. \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \right|_x \right\}_{\text{wall}} \quad (\text{A-4})$$

From Equation (3c), we may write

$$\left. \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right|_y = \frac{1 - \cos \xi \cosh \eta}{c} \quad (\text{A-5})$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \right|_x = \frac{-\sin \xi \sinh \eta}{c} \quad (\text{A-6})$$

Combining Equations (A-4), (A-5), (A-6) gives

$$\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|_{\text{wall}} = - \left\{ \frac{(\cos \xi \cosh \eta - 1)}{c \cos \theta} \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\text{wall}} \right\} \quad (\text{A-7})$$

A relation between  $\xi$  and  $\theta$  along the wall surfaces is required and may be obtained by considering the geometry shown in Figure 1. If  $S$  is the x-coordinate of the center of the circle involved, we may write

$$\tan \theta = \frac{y}{x - S} \quad (\text{A-8})$$

Substituting for  $x$  and  $y$  from Equations (3a) and (3b) into Equation (A-8) and using the relations  $S = S_1 = c \coth \alpha$  and  $S = S_2 = c \coth \beta$  for the inner and outer circles respectively gives

$$\tan \Theta)_{\text{inner wall}} = \frac{\sinh \alpha \sin \xi}{\cosh \alpha \cos \xi - 1} \quad (\text{A-9})$$

$$\tan \Theta)_{\text{outer wall}} = \frac{\sinh \beta \sin \xi}{\cosh \beta \cos \xi - 1} \quad (\text{A-10})$$

Using the plus sign for the inner wall and the minus sign for the outer wall in Equation (A-1) gives

$$\tau_{\text{inner wall}} = \tau_1 = \frac{\mu(1 - \cosh \alpha \cos \xi)}{r_1 \sinh \alpha \cos \Theta} \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\eta=\alpha} \quad (\text{A-11})$$

$$\tau_{\text{outer wall}} = \tau_2 = -\frac{\mu(1 - \cosh \beta \cos \xi)}{r_2 \sinh \beta \cos \Theta} \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\eta=\beta} \quad (\text{A-12})$$

where  $\Theta$  and  $\xi$  along the walls are related through Equations (A-9) and (A-10). The average shear on each wall is given by

$$\tau_1)_{\text{avg.}} = \bar{\tau}_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \tau_1 d\Theta \quad (\text{A-13})$$

$$\tau_2)_{\text{avg.}} = \bar{\tau}_2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \tau_2 d\Theta \quad (\text{A-14})$$

where the integration is performed over the range  $0 \leq \Theta \leq \pi$  because of symmetry about the x-axis. From Equations (A-11)-(A-14), values of the ratio of local to average shear stress



on each wall, namely  $\tau_1/\bar{\tau}_1$  and  $\tau_2/\bar{\tau}_2$ , may be calculated.

### References

1. Snyder, William T. A.I.Ch.E. Journal, 9, No. 4, p. 503 (1963).
2. Heyda, J. F. General Electric Co. Report, APEX-391 (1958).
3. Wolffe, R. A. and Clump, C. W. A. I. Ch. E. Journal, 9, No. 3, p. 424 (1963).
4. Rothfus, R. R., Walker, J. E., and Whan, G. A. A. I. Ch. E. Journal, 4, No. 2, p. 240 (1958).
5. Dwyer, O. E. and Tu, P. S. Chem. Eng. Progr., 56, No. 30, p. 183 (1960).
6. Batemann, Harry, "Partial Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics," p. 260, Dover Publications, New York (1944).
7. El-Saden, M. R. J. Heat Transfer, 83, No. 4, p. 510 (1961).
8. Knudsen, J. G., and Katz, D. L. "Fluid Dynamics and Heat Transfer," p. 91, McGraw Hill, New York (1958).

## Notation

- $A_n, B_n, E, F$  - constants defined by Equations (6)
- $c$  - constant defined by Equation (4a)
- $e$  - eccentricity =  $(r_2 - r_1)$
- $f_1, f_2, f$  - friction factors defined by Equations (11) and (18)
- $I$  - integral defined by Equation (14b)
- $P$  - pressure
- $r_1, r_2$  - inner and outer radii respectively
- $Re$  - Reynolds number defined by Equation (16)
- $u$  - velocity
- $\bar{u}$  - average velocity
- $v$  - dimensionless velocity defined by Equation (5b)
- $x, y, z$  - space coordinates defined in Figure 1.
- $\alpha, \beta$  - constants defined by Equations (4b) and (4c)
- $\gamma$  - radius ratio =  $\frac{r_1}{r_2}$
- $\eta, \xi$  - bipolar coordinates defined by Equations (3c) and (3d)
- $\omega$  - eccentricity ratio =  $\frac{e}{r_2 - r_1}$
- $\tau_1, \tau_2$  - inner and outer wall local shear stresses
- $\bar{\tau}_1, \bar{\tau}_2$  - inner and outer wall average shear stresses
- $\mu$  - viscosity

## Figure Captions

- Figure 1 Eccentric annulus geometry
- Figure 2 Local shear stress on inner wall for  $\gamma = 5/6$
- Figure 3 Local shear stress on outer wall for  $\gamma = 5/6$
- Figure 4 Local shear stress on inner wall for  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$
- Figure 5 Local shear stress on outer wall for  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$
- Figure 6 Inner and outer wall friction factors for  $\gamma = 5/6$
- Figure 7 Inner and outer wall friction factors for  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$
- Figure 8 Total friction factor for  $\gamma = 5/6$  and  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$