



STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK
AT STONY BROOK

COLLEGE OF
ENGINEERING

REPORT NO. 108

A REPRESENTATION OF POSITIVE-REAL OPERATORS
ON A HILBERT SPACE

by

E. J. Beltrami

APRIL 1968

A REPRESENTATION OF POSITIVE-REAL OPERATORS
ON A HILBERT SPACE

E. J. Beltrami
Department of Applied Analysis
State University of New York at Stony Brook

April, 1968

This report is a revised and more detailed version of a paper by the same title which appeared as a letter to the editor in IEEE-PGCT Vol. CT-14, Number 3, September, 1967.

A function $f(\lambda)$ of a complex variable λ is half plane positive or simply positive whenever it is analytic and satisfies $\operatorname{Re} f(\lambda) > 0$ in the half plane $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$. If, in addition, $f(\bar{\lambda}) = \overline{f(\lambda)}$ we say the function is positive real. By virtue of Schwarz's reflection principle the last condition is equivalent to asserting that $f(\lambda)$ is real for real λ in the half plane. A theorem of Nevanlinna [1] which is based on an earlier result of Herglotz [2] tells us that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f(\lambda)$ to be a positive function is that it can be represented by the Stieltjes integral

$$f(\lambda) = a\lambda + iq + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i\zeta\lambda - 1}{i\zeta - \lambda} d\mu(\zeta) \quad (1)$$

where $a \geq 0$ and q are real scalars and μ is a non-decreasing and bounded function which we normalize by $\mu(-\infty) = 0$. Moreover, as shown by Gauer [3], $f(\lambda)$ is positive real if and only if $q = 0$ and $\mu(-\zeta) = -\mu(\zeta)$.

We wish to extend (1) to a class of operator valued functions R_λ on a Hilbert space which are, in a sense to be made precise below, positive real. Such functions occur in the study of passive Hilbert systems and the notation R_λ refers to the fact that the operators often arise as the resolvents of certain unbounded operators (c.f. [4], [10]).

H will denote an arbitrary complex Hilbert space and H_0 is to consist of all elements in H which satisfy the symmetry condition $(u, v) = (v, u)$, where parenthesis denotes inner product in H . It is clear that H_0 forms a Hilbert space over the real numbers. We now define a one parameter family of operators R_λ on H to be positive if $f(\lambda) = (R_\lambda u, u)$ is positive in λ for all u in the domain of R_λ . In order to define positive real operators we take our clue from the fact that on E^n the matrix valued operator $R(\lambda)$ satisfies $(R(\bar{\lambda})u, u) = \overline{(R(\lambda)u, u)}$ for all real u whenever

$R(\bar{\lambda}) = \overline{R(\lambda)}$. Hence we say that a positive family R_λ is positive real whenever $f(\bar{\lambda}) = \overline{f(\lambda)}$ for all $u \in H_0$.

The next theorem was first established on E^n in a different way by Youla [5]. Before proving it we need a lemma.

Lemma 1 Let $f_\lambda(u, v) = a(u, v)\lambda + q(u, v) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i\zeta\lambda - 1}{i\zeta - \lambda} d\mu_\zeta(u, v)$ for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ where a, q, μ

are complex valued function on $H \oplus H$ and where μ is of bounded variation in ζ on the real axis, normalized so that $\mu_{-\infty} = 0$. The quantities a, q, μ are uniquely determined by f_λ and if f_λ is a bilinear functional then so are a, q, μ .

Proof: Let $F_\lambda(u_1, u_2, v) = f_\lambda(u_1 + u_2, v) - f_\lambda(u_1, v) - f_\lambda(u_2, v)$ for any $u_1, u_2, v \in H$. By assumption $F_\lambda = 0$ for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ and it suffices to show the linearity of a, q, μ in this, the first argument, u . Now $F_\lambda = \alpha(u_1, u_2, v)\lambda + \beta(u_1, u_2, v) + \int \frac{i\zeta\lambda - 1}{i\zeta - \lambda} d\eta_\zeta(u_1, u_2, v)$ where $\alpha(u_1, u_2, v) = a(u_1 + u_2, v) - a(u_1, v) - a(u_2, v)$, etc. Since f_λ is defined for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ then $F_\lambda = 0$ also so that

$$F_\lambda - \frac{F_\lambda}{\lambda} = \alpha + \int \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{\lambda\bar{\lambda} - i\zeta(\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) - \zeta^2} d\eta = 0. \text{ If } \lambda = 1 \text{ we obtain } \alpha + \int d\eta = 0.$$

Hence $F_1 = \beta = 0$ or q is bilinear. Now from $\alpha + \int d\eta = 0$ we obtain $F_\lambda = (1 - \lambda^2) \int \frac{d\eta}{i\zeta - \lambda} = 0$ or $\int \frac{d\eta}{i\zeta - \lambda} = 0$ for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$. Hence $L_\lambda(u, v) = \int \frac{d\mu_\zeta}{i\zeta - \lambda} \zeta(u, v)$ is also

bilinear. By the Stieltjes inversion formula (see, for example, [6], pg 357),

$$\mu_\zeta(u, u) - \mu_{\zeta_0}(u, u) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{\zeta_0}^{\sigma} \text{Re } L_\lambda(u, u) d\omega \text{ where } \lambda = \sigma + i\omega. \text{ But } \mu_{-\infty} = 0 \text{ so that}$$

$$\mu_\zeta(u, u) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} \text{Re } L_\lambda(u, u) d\omega. \text{ Now polarize } \mu \text{ to obtain}$$

$$4\mu_\zeta(u, v) = \mu_\zeta(u+v, u+v) - \mu_\zeta(u-v, u-v) + i[\mu_\zeta(u+iv, u+iv) - \mu_\zeta(u-iv, u-iv)].$$

$$= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} \text{Re } L_\lambda(u, v) d\zeta \text{ since } L_\lambda, \text{ being bilinear, is uniquely determined by its}$$

quadratic form. This formula exhibits the fact that $\mu_\zeta(u, v)$ is also bilinear. Hence $\zeta(u_1, u_2, v) = 0$ and so $F_\lambda = \alpha(u_1, u_2, v) = 0$ which shows that a is bilinear.*

If f_λ can be represented by different a, q, μ then by taking differences essentially the same argument as above shows that the difference in q 's are zero and that the differences in a and μ 's satisfy $\alpha + \int d\eta = 0$ or $\int \frac{d\eta}{i\zeta - \lambda} = 0$. The Stieltjes formula applied to this last expression shows that the difference in μ values is also zero. This shows that f_λ uniquely determines a, q, μ . An alternate argument can be based on the fact that a is determined by $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} f(\sigma)/\sigma$ where $\sigma = \text{Re } \lambda$ (see [7], pg. 24). Since $f(\sigma) = 0$ for $\sigma > 0$ then so is a .

*It is worth remarking here on a similar result which is also quite useful. If we know $L_t(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\zeta} d\eta_\zeta(u, v)$ is bilinear then so is η whenever η is of bounded variation in ζ . The proof can be found, for example, in [9], pp. 35-6 and is equivalent to showing that if $\int e^{it\zeta} d\eta_\zeta = 0$ then $\eta_\zeta = 0$.

Theorem: Let R_λ be a one-parameter family of bounded linear operators on the Hilbert Space H . Then a necessary and sufficient condition in order that R_λ be positive is that it admit the spectral representation

$$R_\lambda = A\lambda + Q + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i\zeta\lambda - 1}{i\zeta - \lambda} d\psi_\zeta \quad (2)$$

for all $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$, where A is a bounded self adjoint and positive operator on H and Q is skew self adjoint (i.e., $Q = Q^*$) and where ψ_ζ is a one-parameter family of bounded self adjoint operators on H which satisfy $\psi_\zeta \geq \psi_{\zeta'}$ for $\zeta > \zeta'$, i.e., $(\psi_\zeta u, u) \geq (\psi_{\zeta'} u, u)$ for all u , $\psi_{-\infty} = 0$ and $(\psi_\zeta u, u)$ bounded in ζ . In addition R_λ is positive real if and only if $(Qu, u) = 0$ for $u \in H_0$ and $\psi_{-\zeta} = -\psi_\zeta$.

Proof: If (2) holds then $f(\lambda) = (R_\lambda u, u) = (Au, u)\lambda + (Qu, u) + \int \frac{i\zeta\lambda - 1}{i\zeta - \lambda} d(\psi_\zeta u, u)$ is clearly positive in $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ since $(Au, u) \geq 0$, $\text{Re } (Qu, u) = 0$, and $(\psi_\zeta u, u)$ is non-decreasing, bounded in ζ and non-negative. If $f(\lambda)$ is positive real then $(Qu, u) = 0$ for $u \in H_0$ and $\int \frac{i\zeta\lambda - 1}{i\zeta - \lambda} d(\psi_\zeta u, u) = \int \frac{i\zeta\bar{\lambda} - 1}{i\zeta - \bar{\lambda}} d(\psi_\zeta u, u)$ so that $f(\bar{\lambda}) = \overline{f(\lambda)}$ for $u \in H_0$.

To establish the converse let R_λ be positive and polarize $(R_\lambda u, v)$ by writing $4(R_\lambda u+v, u+v) - (R_\lambda u-v, u-v) + i(R_\lambda u+iv, u+iv) - i(R_\lambda u-iv, u-iv)$. Each term on the right is a scalar positive function in $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ and so (1) holds for each of these terms. If we combine the terms in a, q, η for each

expression we obtain another representation like (1) except that now q no longer will be real, nor a positive, nor η monotone increasing. In fact one obtains $4(R_\lambda u, v) = a(u, v)\lambda + q(u, v) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i\zeta\lambda - 1}{i\zeta - \lambda} d\eta_\zeta(u, v)$ (3)

where a, q, η are complex valued and η is simply of bounded variation in ζ .

Since $(R_\lambda u, v)$ is bilinear in u, v so are a, q, η by lemma 1. Also, by lemma 1,

the representation (1) determines a, q, η uniquely so that if we let $v = u$ (3)

then, since $(R_\lambda u, u)$ is positive in λ , we see from (1) that $a(u, u) \geq 0$, $q(u, u)$

is imaginary, and $\eta_\zeta(u, u) \geq 0$. Thus the quadratic forms associated with $a(u, v)$,

$q(u,v)$, $\eta_\zeta(u,v)$ are non-negative and zero if and only if $u=0$. Hence, by Schwarz's inequality $|(a(u,v))| \leq \|a(u,u)\| \|a(v,v)\|$ and $|\eta_\zeta(u,v)| \leq \|\eta_\zeta(u,u)\| \|\eta_\zeta(v,v)\|$. Since R_λ is bounded for each $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ then $|R_\lambda(u,u)| \leq \text{constant}(u,u)$ where the constant depends on λ . For $\lambda = 1$ we obtain from (3) that $a(u,u) + \int d\eta_\zeta(u,u) \leq \text{constant}(u,u)$. But $0 \leq a$, $0 \leq \eta_\zeta \leq \eta_\infty \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta_\zeta$ (since $\eta_{-\infty} = 0$) and so $a(u,u) \leq \text{constant}(u,u)$, $\eta_\zeta(u,u) \leq \text{constant}(u,u)$ for all $u \in H$. By virtue of (4) this shows that $a(u,v)$ and $\eta_\zeta(u,v)$ are bounded bilinear forms on H , for each ζ . By a theorem of Riesz (see, for example, Riesz and Nagy [8], pg. 202) there exist bounded operators A, ψ_ζ such that $a(u,v) = (Au,v)$ and $\eta_\zeta(u,v) = (\psi_\zeta u,v)$. Since a, η are both non-negative then A, ψ_ζ are self adjoint positive operators for each ζ (Riesz-Nagy [8], pg. 229). Also, since η_ζ is bounded and non-decreasing so is the family ψ_ζ . From equation (3) we now see that $q(u,v)$ is also bounded and bilinear (the sum of bounded functionals is bounded) and so there exists, as above, a bounded operator Q on H for which $q(u,v) = (Qu,v)$. Since $q(u,u)$ is imaginary we have $(Qu,u) = (Q^*u,u) = -(u,Qu)$ or Q is skew self adjoint. Finally, if R_λ is positive real then $q(u,u) = 0$ for all $u \in H_0$ since $q = 0$ in (1) for positive real functions. For the same reason $(\psi_{-\zeta}u,u) = -(\psi_\zeta u,u)$ when $u \in H_0$. Thus

$$R_\lambda(u,v) = (Au,v)\lambda + (Qu,v) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i\zeta\lambda - 1}{i\zeta - \lambda} d(\psi_\zeta u,v)$$

where A, Q, ψ_ζ have the desired properties from which the theorem follows.

REFERENCES

1. Nevanlinna, R.: "Eindeutige analytische funktionen," Berlin (1936).
2. Herglotz, G.: "Über potenzreihen mit positiven reellen teil in einheitskreis," Leibnizer Berichte, vol. 63 (1911).
3. Gauer, W.: "The Poisson integral for functions with positive real part," Bull. A.S., vol. 38 (1932), 713-715.
4. Beltrami, E. J.: "Dissipative operators, positive real resolvents, and the theory of distributions," SIAM Journal, vol. 15 (1967), 1011-1017.
5. Youla, D. C.: "Representation theory of linear passive networks," M.R.I. Report (R-655-58 (1958).
6. Greenstein, D. S.: "On the analytic continuation of functions which map the upper half plane into itself," J. Math. Anal. & Applic., vol. 1 (1960), 355-362.
7. Shohat, J. A. and J. D. Tamarkin: "The problem of moments," Math. Surveys, A.M.S. 1943.
8. Reisz, F. and B. S. Nagy: "Functional analysis," F. Ungar (New York) 1955.
9. Lukacs, E.: "Characteristic Functions," Hafner Publishing Co. (New York) 1960.
10. Dolph, D. L.: "Non-self-adjoint problems in mathematical physics," Bull. A.M.S., vol. 67 (1961), 1-69.

The following is a corrected version of Lemma 1 on page 2 of Report. No. 108
by E. J. Beltrami.

Lemma 1 Let $f_\lambda(u, v) = a(u, v) \lambda + q(u, v) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i\zeta\lambda - 1}{i\zeta - \lambda} d\mu_\zeta(u, v)$ for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ where a, q, μ are complex valued functions on $H \oplus H$ and where μ is of bounded variation in ζ on the real axis, normalized so that $\mu_{-\infty} = 0$. Moreover, suppose that $a(u, u) \geq 0$, $q(u, u)$ is imaginary, and $\mu_\zeta(u, u)$ is non-decreasing in ζ . Then the quantities a, q, μ are uniquely determined by f_λ and if f_λ is a bilinear functional then so are a, q, μ .

Proof: Let $F_\lambda(u_1, u_2, v) = f_\lambda(u_1 + u_2, v) - f_\lambda(u_1, v) - f_\lambda(u_2, v)$ for any $u_1, u_2, v \in H$. By assumption $F_\lambda = 0$ for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ and it suffices to show the linearity of a, q, μ in this, the first argument, u . Now $F_\lambda = \alpha(u_1, u_2, v) \lambda + \beta(u_1, u_2, v) + \int \frac{i\zeta\lambda - 1}{i\zeta - \lambda} d\eta_\zeta(u_1, u_2, v)$ where $\alpha(u_1, u_2, v) = a(u_1 + u_2, v) - a(u_1, v) - a(u_2, v)$ etc.

By the Stieltjes inversion formula (see, for example, [6], pg. 357),

$$\gamma_\zeta(u, u) - \gamma_{\zeta_0}(u, u) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} \text{Re } f_\lambda(u, u) d\omega, \text{ where } \lambda = \sigma + i\omega \text{ and}$$

$$\gamma_\zeta(u, u) = \int_0^\zeta (1 + \omega^2)^{-1} d\mu_\omega(u, u). \text{ But } \gamma_0 = 0 \text{ and so } \gamma_\zeta(u, u) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\zeta \text{Re } f_\lambda(u, u) d\omega.$$

Now polarize γ to obtain $4 \gamma_\zeta(u, v) = \gamma_\zeta(u+v, u+v) - \mu_\zeta(u-v, u-v) + i [\mu_\zeta(u+iv, u+iv) - \mu_\zeta(u-iv, u-iv)] = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\zeta \text{Re } f_\lambda(u, v) d\omega$ since f_λ , being bilinear, is uniquely determined by its quadratic form. This formula exhibits the fact that $\gamma_\zeta(u, v)$ is bilinear. Moreover, since $\mu_{-\infty} = 0$ then $\mu_\zeta(u, u) = \int_{-\infty}^\zeta \frac{d\gamma_\omega(u, u)}{1 + \omega^2}$ and the same argument shows that $\mu_\zeta(u, v)$ is also bilinear. Hence $F_\lambda = \alpha(u_1, u_2, v) \lambda + \beta(u_1, u_2, v)$. Since f_λ is defined for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ then $F_\lambda^- = 0$ also and $F_\lambda - F_\lambda^- =$

$(\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) \alpha = 0$ which shows that a is bilinear. But then $F_\lambda = \beta = 0$ and so q is also bilinear.*

If f_λ can be represented by a different a, q, μ then by taking differences essentially the same argument as above shows that f_λ uniquely determines a, q, μ . An alternate argument can be based on the fact that a is determined by $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} f(\sigma)/\sigma$ where $\sigma = \text{Re } \lambda$ (see [7], pg. 24). Since $f(\sigma) = 0$ for $\sigma > 0$ then so is a .

*It is worth remarking here on a similar result which is also quite useful. If we know $L_t(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\zeta} d\eta_\zeta(u, v)$ is bilinear then so is η whenever η is of bounded variation in ζ . The proof can be found, for example, in [9], pp. 35-6 and is equivalent to showing that if $\int e^{it\zeta} d\eta_\zeta = 0$ then $\eta_\zeta = 0$.