MASIC × GC 1 .865 no.29 c.2

C

1

(

ſ

(

FOR REFERENCE Not to be taken from REFERENCE ROOM FOR REFERENCE Not to be taken from REFERENCE ROOM

# THERMAL SHOCK EFFECT ON EGGS OF THE SUMMER FLOUNDER

N. ITZKOWITZ J. R. SCHUBEL P. M. J. WOODHEAD



SPECIAL REPORT 29

REFERENCE 79-12

# MARINE SCIENCES RESEARCH CENTER STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK STONY BROOK, NEW YORK 11794

THERMAL SHOCK EFFECT ON EGGS OF THE SUMMER FLOUNDER

by Norman Itzkowitz, J. R. Schubel and P. M. J. Woodhead

#### August, 1979

Sponsored by the New York State Energy Research Administration, the Long Island Lighting Company, and the New York Sea Grant Institute

Special Report 29

Approved for Distribution

FRIchulal

J. R. Schubel, Director

Reference 79-12

MASIC X GC I .SG5 NO.29 C.Z

#### Abstract

i

Thermal Shock Effect on Eggs of the Summer Flounder

Fertilized summer flounder eggs in different embryological stages of development (cleavage, early embryo, and late embryo), were subjected to various excess temperature-exposure time combinations  $\Delta T(\circ C)$ -t (min) to assess the effects of thermal shock on hatching success and sublethal effects on individual larvae that hatched from these eggs. Using the Chi-square test, significant differences were found to occur between experimental and control samples, for the cleavage experiment, between  $\Delta 16$ -16. For the late embryo experiment significant differences were found to occur between  $\Delta 16$ -16 and  $\Delta 18$ -2. The thermal shock region for the early embryo experiment was found to exceed the highest temperature-time combination used in this study,  $\Delta 20$ -16.

Viable larvae hatched from thermally shocked eggs were examined for sublethal effects in two experiments. Significant differences were found, in the late embryo experiment, occurring between experimental and control samples between  $\Delta 14-4$  and  $\Delta 14-8$ . Another significant difference, for this experiment, was found to occur between  $\Delta 16-2$  and  $\Delta 16-4$ . The results for the larvae hatched from eggs used in the cleavage experiment were inconclusive.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		raye
Abstract	 	i
Table of Contents	 	ii
List of Figures	 	vi
List of Tables	 	vii
Acknowledgements	 	xi
INTRODUCTION	 	1
BIOLOGY OF THE SUMMER FLOUNDER	 	5
General Statements	 	5
Seasonal Movements	 	5
Spawning	 	7
Juvenile Populations	 	8
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	 	10
MATERIALS AND METHODS	 	11
Fish Capture and Laboratory Handling	 	11
Hormone Induced Spawning and Egg Handling	 	13
Experimental Procedure	 	17
Primary Separation of Samples	 	18
Eggs	 	18
Larvae	 	19
Statistical Method	 	30
Thermal Mortality	 	30
Sublethal Effects	 	46

	Page
RESULTS	52
Thermal Mortality	52
Cleavage Stage Experiment	54
Comparison I A. Viable larvae versus shocked eggs	54
Comparison II A. Viable larvae versus non-viable larvae	56
Comparison III A. Viable larvae versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae).	56
Comparison I P. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus shocked eggs	59
Comparison II P. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus non-viable larvae	59
Comparison III P. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae)	59
Early Embryo Stage	65
Comparison I A. Viable larvae versus shocked eggs	65
Comparison II A. Viable larvae versus non-viable larvae	65
Comparison III A. Viable larvae versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae).	65
Comparison I P. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus non-viable larvae	69
Comparison II P. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus non-viable larvae	69
Comparison III P. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus	

.

# Page

non-viable larvae)	69
Late Embryo Stage	73
Comparison I A. Viable larvae versus shocked eggs.	73
Comparison II A. Viable larvae versus non-viable larvae	73
Comparison III A. Viable larvae versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae)	73
Comparison I P. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus shocked eggs	76
Comparison II P. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus non-viable larvae	76
Comparison III P. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus non- viable larvae)	79
Sublethal Effects	79
Cleavage Experiment	79
Late Embryo Experiment	83
DISCUSSION	86
Thermal Mortality	86
Cleavage Experiment	89
Early Embryo Experiment	91
Late Embryo Experiment	91
Sublethal Effects	92
Cleavage Experiment	95
Late Embryo Experiment.	95

																									Page
CONCLUSIONS	A	ND	R	EC	OM	IME	NC	A	IC	)NS	5.	÷	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	96
REFERENCES					•						ų,			•				•	•	•	•	•		•	98

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Schematic diagram of a typical power plant showing sites of various stresses	3
2	Area of fish capture	12
3	Hatching jar; clear acrylic cylinder and PVC rim	14
4	Hatching box; PVC frame covered with monofilament bolting cloth, Nitex, 243 µm mesh opening. Strips of polyethelene foam provided floatation	16
5	An aborted egg	20
6	A shocked egg	21
7	A delayed hatched egg	22
8	Larvae exhibiting kyphosis	23
9	Larvae exhibiting lordosis	24
10	Larva exhibiting a tail anomaly	25
11	Larva exhibiting a tail not fully extended	26
12	Larvae exhibiting flexture of the head region with slight tail anomaly	27
13	Normal larvae	28
14	Non-viable larvae	29
15	Three embryological stages tested	53

## LIST OF TABLES

Ta	ble No	) <u>.</u>	Page
	1	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT. The △T-t Level Counts of Summer Flounder Larvae Characterized by Abnormality Traits	32
	2	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT. The $\Delta T$ -t Level Counts of Summer Flounder Larvae Expressed as Percent- ages of Larvae Characterized by Various Abnormality Traits	36
	3	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT. Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae for the $\Delta T$ -t Levels Held in Small and Large Tanks (S, L)	38
	4	EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT. Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae with Replication (A,B) for the AT-t levels	39
	5	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT. Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae for the $\Delta T$ -t levels	40
	6	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT. Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae Expressed as Percentages of the Total Count for the $\Delta T$ -t Levels Held in Small and Large Tanks (S, L).	41
	7	EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT. Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae Expressed as Percentages of the Total Count for the $\Delta T$ -t Levels	42
	8	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT. Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae Expressed as Percentages of the Total Count for the $\Delta T$ -t Levels	43
	9	EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT. Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae Expressed as Percentages of the Total Count for T-t Levels from $\Delta 18-16$ to $\Delta 20-16$ .	44
	10	Percentage of the Mean of Replicates of the Cleavage, Early Embryo, and Late Embryo Experiments	45
	11	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT. The <i>AT-t</i> Level Counts of Summer Flounder Larvae Expressed as Percentages of Larvae Characterized by Various Abnormality Traits	48

# Table No.

12	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT. The <b>\Delta:</b> T-t Level Counts of Summer Flounder Larvae Expressed as Percentages of Larvae Characterized by Various abnormality Traits	50
13	Comparisons used in Evaluating Different Mortalities with Actual and Potential Hatching Success	52
14	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs	55
15	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Value for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Non-viable Larvae	57
16	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae)	58
17	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs	60
18	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Non-Viable Larvae	61
19	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae).	62
20	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Hatching Success Expressed as a Percentage of the Controls	64
21	EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs	
	Controls 1A, 2A*, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A*, and 2B (Case II)	66

## Table No.

22	EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Non-viable Larvae for Controls 1A, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A*, and 2B (Case II)	67
23	EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae) for Controls IA, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls IA, 2A*, and 2B (Case II)	68
24	EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs for Controls 1A, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A*, and 2B (Case II).	70
25	EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Non- viable Larvae for Controls 1A, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A*, and 2B (Case II)	71
26	EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae) for Controls 1A, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A*, and 2B (Case II)	72
27	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs	74
28	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Non-viable Larvae	75
29	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae)	77

Page

## Table No.

30	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs	78
31	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Non-viable Larvae	80
32	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae)	81
33	CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts for Normal Larvae Compared with Counts of Abnormal Larvae	82
34	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Normal Larvae Compared with Counts of Abnormal Larvae	84
35	LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT. Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Normal Larvae Compared with Those Larvae Whose Tail Did Not Fully Extend	85

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank J. L. McHugh for critically reviewing the manuscript. We are indebted to Donald C. Miller for allowing us to conduct the experimental phase of this research at the Environmental Protection Agency, Narragansett, Rhode Island, and to Grace K. MacPhee for supplying fertilized summer flounder ova. Geoffrey C. Laurence, National Marine Fisheries Service, Narragansett, Rhode Island, made available unpublished time-temperature to hatching data. We also thank Blair Kinsman for his editorial comments and advice on statistical analyses. We thank Connie Hof for typing the final manuscript, and Carol Cassidy and Mitzi Eisel for drawing the figures. Permission to use Fig. 1, A Typical Power Plant, was granted by Academic Press.

This research was supported by the New York State Energy Research Administration, the Long Island Lighting Company, and the New York Sea Grant Institute. This study was conducted as part of an M.S. thesis by Norman Itzkowitz submitted to the Marine Sciences Research Center of the State University of New York at Stony Brook. xi

#### INTRODUCTION

In estuaries and coastal areas productivity is high and a wide diversity of biological life exists. Many of our commercially important fishes use these zones for spawning or nursery areas. McHugh (1966) concluded that approximately 64%, by value, of the total commercial catch of fish and invertebrates on the Atlantic coast of the United States, consisted of estuarinedependent species.

According to Clark and Brownell (1973), within estuaries or coastal waters, 147 operational or proposed steam electric generating plants are situated and 140 of them use once-through cooling. Once-through cooling is the most economical method for condensing exhaust steam from the turbines of a steam electric generating plant but it involves passage of large quantities of water through steam condensers (Coutant, 1970; Committee on Entrainment, 1978). The water used to cool the condensers of steam electric generating plants with once-through cooling systems was increased in temperature ( $\Delta T$ ) from 5.5° to 23.3 °C (Committee on Entrainment, 1978). Characteristically, water is drawn from the aquatic body and is pumped through 25 mm (1 inch) diameter tubes surrounded by exhaust steam. Heat energy in the form of high pressure steam drives the turbines and generators of a power plant to produce electricity. The excess steam in the form of "waste" heat is returned to the cooling water which is

then returned to the water body from which it was drawn (Coutant, 1970; Committee on Entrainment, 1978). Figure 1 illustrates a typical power plant. Recirculation of the discharged water is avoided by following the natural flow pattern of the water body, or by construction of engineering devices (Coutant, 1970).

The probability of an organism being entrained in the cooling system of a power plant depends upon a variety of factors including: the rate of withdrawal of cooling water compared with the rate of renewal of the water body; the mesh size of the screens covering the intake pipe, usually 9 to 13 mm; and the distribution of organisms in the environment. The movements of the tides back and forth past an intake structure maximizes entrainment with the same water mass moving to and fro and subjecting planktonic organisms to multiple hazards of entrainment (Committee on Water Quality Criteria, 1973).

Entrained organisms encounter three forms of stress in their passage through the condenser cooling system of a power plant: thermal (temperature rise across the condenser), mechanical (pressure changes, shear forces, acceleration forces, and abrasion), and chemical (chlorine gas,  $Cl_2$ ) or sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl). As a result of these stresses, and the mortalities which they cause, a power plant acts like a "predator". In addition, some of the surviving organisms become debilitated and may be vulnerable to increased natural predation (Coutant, 1973;

## STEAM ELECTRIC GENERATING STATION

1 21



Fig. 1 - Schematic diagram of a typical power plant showing sites of various stresses

w

Yokom and Edsall, 1974). Figure 1 illustrates where various stresses occur. Site studies have been made to assess the effects of entrainment, but they generally do not distinguish between the effects of the several stresses. An exception is the work done by Marcy (1973) at Connecticut Yankee. Other cases were cited by Beck and Lackie (1974), Beck and Miller (1974), and Beck and the Committee on Entrainment (1978). Marcy (1973) indicated that 80% of the mortality encountered by nine species of young fish could be attributed to mechanical damage and 20% to thermal shock and prolonged thermal exposure in the 1.8 km (1.1 mile) discharge canal. He found that chlorination, in the form of sodium hypochlorite, had no measurable effect.

The objective of our study is to determine the effects of various time-temperature combinations on hatching success of summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*) eggs and sublethal effects on individual larvae that hatched from these eggs.

#### BIOLOGY OF THE SUMMER FLOUNDER

General Statements

Summer flounder, or fluke, *Paralichthys dentatus* (Linnaeus), is an important commercial and recreational fish. It ranges from the Gulf of Maine to the east coast of Florida (Gutherz, 1967; Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953), but is most abundant from south of Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras (Gutherz, 1967)--the Middle Atlantic Bight.

Summer flounder are described by their left-handedness, that is, both eyes are on the left side, while it lies on the bottom on its right side. It has the ability to adapt its coloration to the background on which it rests and can assume a wide range of tints and hues of brown and gray (Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953) with numerous ocellated spots (Ginsburg, 1952). The most conspicuous spots are generally located caudally (Ginsburg, 1952). The mouth is large and has relatively large teeth (Ginsburg, 1952; Lux et al, 1966). They feed on small fishes and macroinvertebrates (Poole, 1964; Smith and Daiber, 1977).

Seasonal Movements

When the inshore waters warm in late spring (April or May), summer flounder begin to migrate into relatively shallow

water of 4 to 37 m (2 to 20 fathoms) (Ginsburg, 1952). In the New York Bight area, by June they are found in bays, estuaries, and shallow coastal waters (Murawski, 1970), where they are vulnerable to capture by sport fisherman, commercial traps (fyke and pound nets), seines, and trawls (Lux et al., 1966). In fallwinter (late September-December or January), dependent on latitude, as the water column cools, summer flounder begin to migrate south and east into waters of 36 to 146 (20 to 80 fathoms) (Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953), or 183 m (100 fathoms) in depth to the edge of the continental shelf (Ginsburg, 1952; Murawski, 1970), where they are accessible to the commercial trawl fishery (Lux et al., 1966). Tagging experiments conducted by Poole (1962) and Murawski (1970) indicate that the migration pattern of the summer flounder is not extensive and that there is a strong tendency for adults to return to the same summer grounds in subsequent years. The remaining fish move to the northeast. In the New York Bight, the major spawning area (Smith, 1973), 3,423 metric tons were caught in 1975 by commercial fishermen (McHugh, 1977; McHugh and Williams, 1976; McHugh and Ginter, 1978). The sport fishery for summer flounder, during the same year, probably caught an amount equal to or exceeding the commercial catch (Lux et al., 1966; J.L. McHugh, personal communication).

#### Spawning

The offshore migration is associated with spawning. Presumably both events are associated with environmental factors, temperature and light, and physiological factors, such as secretions by the endocrine glands (Woodhead, 1975; Woodhead and Woodhead, 1965). As summer flounder leave the summer grounds, gonadal maturation occurs in fish greater than 37 cm long, 2-3 years old (Murawski, 1964; Poole, 1966). Smith (1973) observed a seasonal progression of spawning from north to south. North of Chesapeake Bay, spawning occurs from September to December, the peak in spawning activity occurs in October. South of Chesapeake Bay, spawning peak occurs in November, spawning taking place from November to April. For the New York Bight, the spawning period has been confirmed by Murawski (1965) who observed that during the first half of October there was a sharp increase in gonodal size and running ripe females were found during late September through early November. Smith (1973) considers the New York Bight to be the most productive spawning ground for summer flounder. Murawski (1964) located spawning areas in the Bight from 27 m (15 fathoms) off Long Island to 101 m (55 fathoms) East-South-East of Cape May, New Jersey.

Studies by Powell (1974) indicate that summer flounder are highly fecund and females measuring 50.6 to 68.2 cm contain 1.0 to 1.7 million eggs. Spawning takes place at temperatures of 12

to 19°C (Smith, 1973). When fertilized the egg chorion meaures 0.9 - 1.1 mm (Smith and Fahay, 1970). The fertilized egg is pelagic and can withstand a wide range of temperatures from 9.1 to 22.9°C (Smith, 1973; Smith et al., 1975), while drifting passively with the prevailing surface currents. During fall, surface currents carry the eggs and larvae shoreward to the south and southwest (Bumpus and Lauzier, 1965). Most larvae are transported to the sounds of North Carolina, Chesapeake Bay, and to the bays on the eastern Virginia shore--the nursery grounds of juveniles (Poole, 1966).

Metamorphosis is completed by the time the larva has grown to 12 or 13 mm (Smith and Fahay, 1970). From this time the larva spends the remainder of its life on or close to the bottom (Lux et al., 1966).

#### Juvenile Populations

Local populations of juveniles have been described by Poole (1961) and Murawski (1964; 1965; 1966). Poole (1961; and personal communication) encountered young-of-the-year and juvenile summer flounder in Great South Bay. Murawski (1964; 1965; 1966) captured young-of-the-year and juveniles in New Jersey estuaries (Manasquan, Cunning, and Shark Rivers) indicating that the prevailing currents transport some larvae into New Jersey estuaries during the fall. Murawski (1964) caught young-of-the-year and

juveniles from the end of October through the end of December in New Jersey estuaries, indicating that the fry did not move offshore but remained in the estuaries over the winter. The behavior of the young-of-the-year and juveniles was probably similar to that observed by Powell (1974); Powell and Schwartz (1977) for the fry which inhabit North Carolina estuaries as nursery grounds. Powell (1974); Powell and Schwartz (1977) concluded that both of the first two year classes were present in the estuaries from spring to mid-summer, but thereafter juveniles moved into the ocean while young-of-the-year remained in the estuaries.

#### EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

The experimental design is a randomized complete block design with 7 levels of excess temperatures and 4 exposure times, with controls.

Excess Temperature, △T (°C): 0 8 10 12 14 16 18 20
Exposure Time, (min): 0 2 4 8 16

The 7 excess temperature levels selected span the range of temperature rises experienced across the condenser tubes of proposed and operating power plants with once-through cooling systems (Committee on Entrainment, 1978). The exposure times were chosen to span the range of residence times at high excess temperatures within typical cooling systems (Coutant, 1970), without long discharge canals.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish Capture and Laboratory Handling

Summer flounder were collected from the West Passage of Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island, between Wickford Harbor and the Jamestown Bridge (Fig. 2) on August 24 and September 18, 1977. Tows were made for 20-30 min, at a speed of approximately 4 knots, using a small otter trawl. The fish were transferred first from the trawl to a tray containing seawater where they were sorted and then to a fiberglass tank with running seawater. They were transported from the ship to the Environmental Research Laboratory-Narragansett (Rhode Island), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, in the fiberglass tank of seawater and aerated with portable air pumps.

The laboratory holding tank was a fiberglass cylinder 2.4 m (8 ft) in diameter and 1.2 m (4 ft) high equipped with running seawater at ambient temperature. The fish were fed chopped hard clams (*Mercenaria mercenaria*) every third day. Live killifish (*Fundulus* spp.) and silversides (*Menidia* spp.) were maintained in the same tank as live food. The fish were treated with Furance (Nifurpirinol, Abbot), a prophylactic against vibro disease (G.K. MacPhee, personal communication).





Hormone Induced Spawning and Egg Handling

The failure of summer flounder to spawn naturally when held in aquaria (Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953; Smigielski, 1975), necessitates hormonal treatment to induce spawning (Smigielski, 1975). Freeze-dried carp pituitary hormone in saline solution was injected intramuscularly into the back muscle below the dorsal fin. The dosages given to individual flounders were proportional to their weight (Smigielski, 1975). The hormonal treatment was repeated daily, until the mature eggs were released. The fish were then stripped by hand.

The eggs for these experiments were stripped from a single female and fertilized with milt from several males. The eggs and milt were placed in a plastic bowl containing seawater to ensure maximum fertilization. The bowl was allowed to float in an acclimation tank for approximately 30 min. The fertilized eggs were placed on a 550 µm mesh plastic screen and the excess milt washed off. The eggs were returned to the plastic bowl and replaced in the acclimation tank. A subsample of the fertilized eggs was removed and inspected under a dissecting microscope to determine the percentage of fertilization. The percentage of eggs fertilized varied between experiments: only 20-25% for the cleavage experiment, but 90-95% for the early and late embryo experiments. The fertilized eggs used in the late embryo experiment were placed in a hatching jar (Fig. 3) where they remained



Fig. 3 - Hatching jar; clear acrylic cylinder and PVC rim

until they were placed in hatching boxes (Fig. 4) just before the
experiment was begun. The eggs for the other experiments were
placed in hatching boxes a few hours after fertilization.

The salinity of the water used in the experiments was  $32 \pm 2$  <sup>O</sup>/oo and the ambient temperature of the seawater in the acclimation tank was controlled by regulating the flow of water entering the tank. It was necessary to place some hatching boxes in different acclimation tanks. There was, therefore, some small variation in the range of ambient water temperatures among the several experiments: cleavage (L) 15.5 - 16.3 °C, cleavage (S) 14.5 - 16.0 °C (L and S are abbreviations for large and small tank), early embryo 14.5 - 15.0 °C, late embryo 14.0 - 15.0 °C.

A 60 x 15 mm glass petri dish was used to transfer the fertilized eggs to the hatching boxes. Varying numbers of fertilized eggs were placed in the hatching boxes: cleavage, 34 to 320; early embryo, 167 to 1963; late embryo, 66 to 540. Replicates were included when enough eggs were available. Each hatching box consisted of a polyvinyl chloride frame covered with monofilament bolting cloth, Nitex, 243  $\mu$ m mesh opening (Fig. 4), which retained the newly hatched larvae.



#### Experimental Procedure

Before beginning the thermal shock, a subsample was removed from a control hatching box to determine the developmental stage of the eggs. It was assumed that the eggs in the other hatching boxes were in a similar stage of development.

The two control hatching boxes experienced the same physical handling as the experimental boxes except that they were not subjected to the thermal shock. Each hatching box was floated into a plastic container by submerging the container beneath the hatching box in the acclimation tank. At the appropriate time a hatching box was transferred from the acclimation tank (base temperature) to a partially filled 5 gal  $(18.9 \ \text{e})$  aquarium, that was partially submerged in the well of a constant temperature bath (Blue M, model number MR-3220A-1). The aquarium was aerated and was used as the experimental chamber. At each  $\Delta T$ , a different hatching box was placed in the experimental chamber for 2, 4, 8, or 16 min. The AT in the initial experiment was 8°C, in subsequent experiments the  $\Delta T$  was increased by an increment of 2°C, until the final  $\Delta T$  of 20°C was attained. An aquarium heater was used to decrease the time required to equilibrate the temperature of the aquarium to that in the constant temperature bath. Following the thermal shock, the experimental subsamples, in their hatching boxes, were quickly returned to the acclimation tank. At the time of the thermal shock the acclimation or base temperatures for

the various experiments were: cleavage experiment 16.0°C, early embryo experiment 14.5°C, late embryo experiment 14.0°C.

The temperatures of the water in the constant temperature bath and in the aquarium were monitored during the experiments using two calibrated thermometers. In all experiments, the temperatures of the bath and aquarium were essentially the same with the exception of the late embryo experiment at  $\Delta 18$  during which the aquarium was 0.5°C higher than the bath for 10-12 min.

After hatching, the larvae were preserved in 10% buffered formalin for later analysis. Approximately 10 days later the 10% solution was replaced with a 5% solution.

Primary Separation of Samples

A dissecting microscope with a 10X (power) ocular was used in segregating the samples.

#### Eggs

The following terminology is used throughout the remainder of this paper.

Aborted eggs were defined as those eggs that stopped developing before the tests were begun. Such eggs were in the cleavage, blastula, or gastrula stage of development. For many of them it was impossible to tell which of the three stages the eggs were in since the cellular material formed an amorphous mass (Fig. 5).

Shocked eggs were defined as those eggs that stopped developing after they were subjected to a thermal shock. They are characterized by an embryonic form in the egg (Fig. 6) or pigmentation spots in the amorphous mass, or pre-hatched larvae that were opaque.

Delayed hatch eggs were defined as those eggs that still had larvae enclosed and were transparent (Fig. 7 ). Those that were opaque were classified with the shocked eggs.

#### Larvae

Viable larvae were defined as those larvae that hatched, were normally formed, and transparent (Fig. 8 - 13).

Non-viable larvae were defined as those larvae that were imperfectly formed or were opaque (Fig. 14).

Further Separation of the Viable Larvae

A dissecting microscope with a 15X ocular was used in segregating the samples of viable larvae. This was done only for the experiments containing complete sets of temperature-time exposures, cleavage and late embryo experiments, and not for the early embryo experiment for which data are missing.

The viable larvae were further subdivided according to whether or not they showed vertebral or other deformities. The





Fig. 6 - A shocked egg



Fig. 7 - A delayed hatched egg


Fig. 8 - Larva exhibiting kyphosis



Fig. 9 - Larva exhibiting lordosis



Fig. 10 - Larva exhibiting a tail anomaly



Fig. 11 - Larva exhibiting a tail not fully extended



Fig. 12 - Larva exhibiting flexture of the head region with slight tail anomaly



Fig. 13 - Normal larva





observable vertebral deformities considered were: kyphosis (Fig. 8 ), lordosis (Fig. 9 ), tail anomalies (Fig. 10 ), tail not fully extended (Fig. 11 ), and flexures of the head region (Fig. 12 ). Other deformities included abnormal shortness of larvae, yolk-sac disproportional to larval length, and larvae which had just hatched. Larvae having deformities other than those enumerated were considered as a group. Finally, there were the normal larvae which showed no deformities (Fig. 13 ).

If a larva had more than one of the characteristics enumerated above, each characteristic was counted, the number of larvae exhibiting this trait was listed in parentheses in summary tables; the total number of organisms exhibiting this trait is found to the left of this number; and the difference between the numbers is the number of organisms exhibiting this trait once (Tables 1 and 2). Larvae not having the tail fully extended were also enumerated as having tail anomalies.

Statistical Method

#### Thermal Mortality

The samples and their controls were segregated, and counted into the following categories: aborted eggs, shocked eggs, and delayed hatch. The larvae resulting from the hatching of the eggs were placed in one of two categories: viable larvae and non-viable larvae. The number of individuals in each category

30



## TABLE 1

CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT

∆T-t (°C-Min)	Normal Larvae	Abnor- mal Larvae	Ky- phosis	Lor- do sis <sup>4</sup>	Tail Anomali	l ies <sup>4</sup>	He Flex	ead kures <sup>4</sup>
$ \begin{bmatrix} {}^{1}C_{1} \\ {}^{2}C_{2} \\ {}^{3}C_{1} + C_{2} \end{bmatrix} $	2 35 37	15 43 58	3 3 6	0 9 9	7 12 19	(5) (9) (14)	10 28 38	
8-2 8-4 8-8 8-16	0 32 6 7	17 56 16 4	5 7 2 1	1 12 (6) 1 (1) 2	9 20 ( 7 0	(9) (15) (5) (0)	11 38 10 1	
10-2 10-4 10-8 10-16	2 14 27 10	79 66 65 47	37 7 6 12	1 10 7 0	23 ( 31 ( 32 ( 23 (	(23) (17) (22) (17)	31 38 46 29	(4) (4)
12-2 12-4 12-8 12-16	13 12 2 0	94 46 31 59	16 7 2 12	1 4 4 3	46 ( 29 ( 14 ( 27 (	(39) (17) (14) (26)	66 22 24 41	
14-2 14-4 14-8 14-16	11 3 3 0	51 24 22 21	7 2 3 4	2 5 4 4 (2)	16 ( 10 13 ( 12 (	(10) (9) (10) (12)	19 15 11 13	
16-2 16-4 16-8 16-16	0  3 0	40  12 0	17  3 0	1  1 0	17 ( 	(17) (3) (0)	22  7 0	
18-2 18-4 18-8 18-16	1 0 0 0	7 2 1 1	1 1 0 0	2 0 0 0	4 2 1 0	(3) (2) (1) (0)	3 1 0 1	
20-2 20-4 20-8 20-16	2 0 0	2 2 0 7	0 0 0 4	1 0 0 0	0 2 0 1	(0) (1) (0) (1)	0 0 0	

The  $\Delta T$ -t Level Counts of Summer Flounder Larvae Characterized by Abnormality Traits

<sup>1</sup>C<sub>1</sub> is the first control,  $\Delta 0-0$ . <sup>2</sup>C<sub>2</sub> is the second control,  $\Delta 0-0$ . <sup>3</sup>C<sub>1</sub> + C<sub>2</sub> is the first plus the second control,  $\Delta 0-0$ . <sup>4</sup>Number of larvae having multiple abnormality traits in parentheses.

Short o	or Large Yolk- Sac <sup>4</sup>	Have Just Hatched	Tail Not Fully Ex- tended <sup>4</sup>	Others	Total Larvae In Sample
(0) (4) (4)	(0) (0) (0)	0 0 0	(0) (1) (1)	None None	17 78 95
(0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (1) (0)	0 0 0	(0) (0) (0) (0)	None None None None	17 88 22 11
(0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0)	7 0 0 0	(0) (0) (0)	None 1 tail bent > 150° None None	81 80 92 57
(0) (0) (1) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0)	4 0 0 1	(0) (0) (0) (0)	3 tail bent > 150° None 1 tail bent > 150° None	107 58 33 59
(0) (0) (1) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0)	0 0 0 2	(0) (0) (0) (0)	l6 decomposing; l tail bent>150° l tail bent > 150° None None	62 27 25 21
(0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0)	0  0 0	(0) (0) (0)	None large number decomposing None None	40  15 0
(0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0)	0 0 0 0	(0) (0) (0) (0)	None None 1 V-shape None	8 2 1 1
(0) (0) (0) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0)	1 0 0 0	(0) (0) (0) (0)	None None None None	4 2 0 7

(Tables 3, 4, and 5) was converted to percentages of the total sample (Tables 6, 7, and 8). Table 7 was recomputed to exclude aborted eggs, the naturally occurring mortality, because the eggs had been placed in the hatching boxes soon after fertilization and had not been subjected to a thermal shock for two days (Table 9). Control 1, side B, (C1B) of the early embryo experiment, has been excluded from consideration in calculating the mean for the controls, because the percentage of non-viable larvae, 17.32% (Table 9), substantially exceeded the percentages of the mean present in the other controls, 4.40 to 4.43% (Table 10). The range of the other control samples vary from 2.82 to 6.82% (Table 9). Two of the subsamples  $C_2A$  and  $\triangle 20-16$  have been recounted and they are indicated by an asterisk (\*) (Tables 4, 9, and 10). Two cases, Case I ( $C_1A$ ,  $C_2A$ , and  $C_2B$ ) and Case II ( $C_1A$ ,  $C_2A^*$ , and  $C_2B$ ) are now available for analyzing the early embryo data.

Since microscopic examination revealed that the delayed hatch eggs were still viable, the delayed hatch counts were combined with the viable larvae counts to define a measure of "potential" hatching success.

The results were then analyzed using the Chi-square test. The Chi-square test is particularly useful in dealing with enumerative data. It is appropriate for testing whether a set of observed values differs significantly from those which would

34



LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT

The AT-t Level Counts of Summer Flounder Larvae Expressed as Percentages of Larvae Characterized by Various Abnormality Traits

 $^{1}C_{1}$  is the first control,  $\Delta 0-0$ .

 $^{2}C_{2}$  is the second control,  $\Delta 0-0$ .

 ${}^{3}C_{1} + C_{2}$  is the first plus second control,  $\Delta 0-0$ .

<sup>4</sup>Number of larvae having multiple abnormality traits in parentheses.

Short or La Yo Sa	r <b>ge</b> Have rk- Just c <sup>4</sup> Hatched	Tail Not Fully Ex- tended <sup>4</sup>	Others	Total Larvae in Sample
(11) (	1) 2	(9)	None	522
(8) (	1) 1	(7)	2 V-shape	369
(19) (	2) 3	(16)	2 V-shape	891
$ \begin{array}{c} (5) & (\\ \hline (2) & (\\ (7) & (\\ \end{array} $	1)     2           0)     2       5)     1	(5) (2) (2)	None large number decomposing None 1 V-shape	351  334 431
(7) (	0) 2	(6)	None	358
(7) (	6) 6	(6)	None	256
(2) (	1) 5	(0)	None	324
(18) (	1) 1	(8)	1 V-shape; 1 tail bent>150°	474
(5) (	0) 2	(5)	None	341
(9) (	1) 4	(6)	None	405
(7) (	1) 1	(5)	1 tail bent > 150°	159
(1) (	0) 1	(1)	1 tail bent > 150°	122
(7) (	0) 2	(6)	None	169
(9) (	1) 3	(7)	None	265
(5) (	1) 0	(4)	1 tail bent > 150°	155
(4) (	0) 1	(3)	1 decomposing	157
(3) (	0) 0	(3)	None	111
(10) (	0) 0	(9)	1 V-shape; 3 decomposing;	235
(3) (	1) 0	(2)	None	102
(5) (	0) 1	(2)	2 V-shape	166
$ \begin{array}{c} (0) & (\\ (1) & (\\ (4) & (\\ (2) & (\\ \end{array} $	0) 0	(0)	None	54
	0) 3	(0)	None	84
	0) 0	(4)	1 V-shape	104
	0) 0	(0)	1 tail bent > 150°	53
$ \begin{array}{c} (3) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (1) \end{array} $	0) 0	(3)	None	136
	0) 1	(1)	None	52
	0) 0	(0)	None	22
	0) 0	(0)	None	42

## CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT

		E	EGGS		ARVAE		
∆T-t <u>(°C-min)</u>	Tank Size	Shocked (2)	Delayed Hatch (3)	Viable (4)	Non-Viable (5)	Potential Hatch (3) + (4)	Total
<sup>1</sup> C1 <sup>2</sup> C2	S L	17 195	-	17 82	43	17 82	34 320
8-2 8-4 8-8 8-16	S L L L	51 169 96 33	2 - -	13 79 20 12	8 26 21 15	15 79 20 12	74 274 137 60
10-2 10-4 10-8 10-16	S S S	174 115 78 225	16 - -	80 89 98 55	11 4 2 3	96 89 98 55	281 208 178 283
12-2 12-4 12-8 12-16	S L L S	127 79 171 79	1 - 2	113 58 38 56	6 2 2 5	114 58 38 58	247 139 211 142
14-2 14-4 14-8 14-16	S L S S	45 17 24 121	- - 3	63 28 30 23	2 1 1 1	63 28 30 26	110 46 55 148
16-2 16-4 16-8 16-16	S S S S	161 232 65 29	13 1	32 63 14	15 6 2 -	32 76 15	208 314 82 29
18-2 18-4 18-8 18-16	S S S S	125 209 58 184	-	8 1 1	33 8 1 1	8 1 1 1	166 218 60 186
20-2 20-4 20-8 20-16	S S S	126 306 75 307	-	4 2 - 7	1 2	4 2 7	131 308 77 314

Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae for the  $\Delta T-t$  Levels Held in Small and Large Tanks (S, L).

 ${}^{1}C_{1}$  is the first control, A0-0.

 $^{2}\text{C}_{2}$  is the second control,  $\varDelta0\text{-}0\text{.}$ 

## EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT

	EGGS			L	ARVAE		
∆T-t (° <u>C-min)</u>	Aborted (1)	Shocked (2)	Delayed Hatch (3)	Viable (4)	Non-Viable (5)	Potential Hatch (3) + (4)	Total .
<sup>1</sup> C1A <sup>1</sup> C1B <sup>2</sup> C2A <sup>2</sup> C2A <sup>2</sup> C2A* <sup>2</sup> C2B	333 162 150 140 139	85 48 25 27 23	54 9 16 16 4	1445 363 850 788 711	46 88 49 45 54	1499 372 866 804 715	1963 670 1090 1016 931
8-2A 8-2B 8-4A 8-4B	3 25 141 77	1 5 3 3	-	31 7 8 105	290 130 145 483	31 7 8 105	325 167 297 591
16-8A 16-8B	80 14	4 3	-	10 2	525 311	10 2	619 330
18-2A 18-2B 18-4A 18-4B 18-8A 18-8B 18-16A 18-16B	205 143 95 210 77 101 223 34	12 2 3 10 10 23 17 1		35 141 73 83 336 296 998 581	406 504 511 436 188 285 29 3	35 141 73 83 336 296 1000 581	658 790 682 739 611 705 1269 619
20-2A 20-2B 20-4A 20-4B 20-8A 20-8B 20-16A 20-16A 20-16B	40 36 32 24 133 98 197 188 297	9 6 1 5 21 9 24 57 36	- - 1 3 4 56 43 43	324 428 372 405 418 865 559 571 683	54 40 - 6 5 1 2 2	324 428 372 406 421 869 615 614 726	427 510 405 441 580 977 838 861

Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae with Replication (A,B) for the AT-t levels.

\*Sample.was .recounted

 $\boldsymbol{C}_1$  is the first control,  $\boldsymbol{\Delta}0\text{-}0.$ 

 $\mathrm{C}_2$  is the second control,  $\Delta 0\text{-}0.$ 

# LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT

		EGGS			ARVAE			
∆T-t (°C-min)	Aborted (1)	Shocked (2)	Delayed Hatch (3)	Viable (4)	Non-Viable (5)	Potential Hatch (3) + (4)	Total	
<sup>1</sup> C1 <sup>2</sup> C2	3	1	1 1	527 375	8 4	528 376	540 381	
8-2 8-4 8-8 8-16	:	1 - -	1 - 2	361 270 336 431	1 4 3 1	362 270 336 433	364 274 339 434	
10-2 10-4 10-8 10-16	-	-	- - 3	368 257 314 453	3 3 1 1	368 257 314 456	371 260 315 457	
12-2 12-4 12-8 12-16	2	-	1 - -	361 456 162 124	2 2 5 4	362 456 162 124	364 460 167 129	
14-2 14-4 14-8 14-16	Ē	- - 1	2 3 2	172 273 157 169	- 2 6 1	174 276 159 169	174 278 165 171	
16-2 16-4 16-8 16-16		1 - -	2 1 1	106 230 122 173	49 5 10 1	108 231 123 174	158 236 133 175	
18-2 18-4 18-8 18-16	- 1	5 10 4 18	1 1 -	64 86 111 53	1 6 1	65 87 111 53	70 98 122 72	
20-2 20-4 20-8 20-16	2 - 1	5 24 44 34	1 - 2	142 64 22 51	13 5 2	142 65 22 53	162 94 66 90	

Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae for the  $\Delta T$ -t levels.

 ${}^{1}C_{1}$  is the first control,  $\Delta 0-0$ .

 $^2\text{C}_2$  is the second control,  $\varDelta\text{O-O.}$ 

#### CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT

		EG	GS			
∆T-t (°C min)	Tank Size	Shocked (2)	Delayed Hatch (3)	Viable (4)	Non-Viable (5)	Potential Hatch (3) + (4)
<sup>1</sup> C1 <sup>2</sup> C2	S L	50.00 60.94	-	50.00 25.63	13.44	50.00 25.63
8-2 8-4 8-8 8-16	S L L L	68.92 61.68 70.07 55.00	2.70	17.57 28.83 14.60 20.00	10.81 9.49 15.34 25.00	20.27 28.83 14.60 20.00
10-2 10-4 10-8 10-16	S S S	61.92 55.29 43.82 79.51	5.69 _ _ _	28.47 42.79 55.06 19.44	3.91 1.92 1.12 1.06	34.16 42.79 55.06 19.44
12-2 12-4 12-8 12-16	S L S	51.42 56.84 81.04 55.63	0.41  1.41	45.75 41.73 18.01 39.44	2.43 1.44 0.95 3.52	46.15 41.73 18.01 40.85
14-2 14-4 14-8 14-16	S L S S	40.91 36.96 43.64 81.76	2.03	57.27 60.87 54.55 15.54	1.82 2.17 1.82 0.68	57.27 60.87 54.55 17.57
16-2 16-4 16-8 16-16	S S S	77.40 73.89 79.27 100.00	4.14	15.39 20.06 17.07	7.21 1.91 2.44	15.39 24.20 18.29
18-2 18-4 18-8 18-16	S S S	75.30 95.87 96.67 98.93	- - -	4.82 0.46 1.67 0.54	19.88 3.67 1.67 0.54	4.82 0.46 1.67 0.54
20-2 20-4 20-8 20-16	S S S	96.18 99.35 97.40 97.77		3.05 0.65 2.23	0.76	3.05 0.65 2.23

Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae Expressed as Percentages of the Total Count for the  $\Delta T$ -t Levels Held in Small and Large Tanks (S, L).

 ${}^{1}\text{C}_{1}$  is the first control,  $\Delta0\text{-}0.$ 

 $^{2}\text{C}_{2}$  is the second control,  $\varDelta0\text{-}0.$ 

## EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT

		EGGS			LARVAE		
∆T-t (°C min)	Aborted (1)	Shocked (2)	Delayed Hatch (3)	Viable (4)	Non-Viable (5)	Potential Hatch (3) + (4)	
C1A C1B C2A C2A* C2B	16.94 24.18 13.76 13.78 14.93	4.33 7.16 2.29 2.66 2.47	2.75 1.34 1.47 1.58 0.43	73.61 54.18 77.98 77.56 76.37	2.34 13.13 4.50 4.43 5.80	76.36 55.52 79.45 79.13 76.80	
8-2A 8-2B 8-4A 8-4B	0.92 14.97 47.47 13.03	0.31 2.99 1.01 0.51	:	9.54 4.19 2.69 17.77	77.84 77.84 48.82 81.73	9.54 4.19 2.69 17.77	
16-8A 16-8B	12.92 4.24	0.65 0.91	-	1.62 0.61	84.81 94.24	1.62 0.61	
18-2A 18-2B 18-4A 18-4B 18-8A 18-8B 18-16A 18-16B	31.15 18.10 13.93 28.42 12.60 14.33 17.57 5.49	1.82 0.25 0.44 1.35 1.64 3.26 1.34 0.16	- - - 0.16	5.32 17.85 10.70 11.23 54.99 41.99 78.65 93.86	61.70 63.80 74.93 59.00 30.77 40.43 2.29 0.49	5.32 17.85 10.70 11.23 54.99 41.99 78.80 93.86	
20-2A 20-2B 20-4A 20-4B 20-8A 20-8B 20-16A 20-16A* 20-16B	9.37 7.06 7.90 5.44 22.93 10.03 23.51 21.84 27.97	2.11 1.18 0.25 1.13 3.62 0.92 2.86 6.62 3.39	- 0.23 0.52 0.41 6.68 4.99 4.05	75.88 83.92 91.85 91.84 72.07 88.54 66.71 66.32 64 31	12.65 7.84 - 1.36 0.86 0.10 0.24 0.23 0.28	75.88 83.92 91.85 92.06 72.59 88.95 73.39 71.31 68.36	

Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae Expressed as Percentages of the Total Count for the  $\Delta T$ -t Levels.

\*Sample was recounted.

 $C_1$  is the first control,  $\Delta 0-0$ .

 ${\rm C}_2$  is the second control,  ${\scriptstyle \Delta 0-0.}$ 

## LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT

# Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae Expressed as Percentages of the Total Count for the $\Delta T$ -t Levels.

		EGGS		LARVAE		_	
∆T-t (°C min)	Aborted (1)	Shocked (2)	Delayed Hatch (3)	Viable (4)	Non-Viable (5)	Potential Hatch (3) + (4)	
<sup>1</sup> C1 <sup>2</sup> C2	0.56	0.19 0.26	0.19 0.26	97.59 98.43	1.48 1.05	97.78 98.69	
8-2 8-4 8-8 8-16	-	0.27	0.27	99.18 98.54 99.12 99.31	0.27 1.46 0.89 0.23	99.45 98.54 99.12 99.77	
10-2 10-4 10-8 10-16	-	-	- - 0.66	99.19 98.85 99.68 99.13	0.81 1.15 0.32 0.22	99.19 98.85 99.68 99.78	
12-2 12-4 12-8 12-16	0.44	- - 0.78	0.28 - -	99.18 99.13 97.00 96.12	0.55 0.44 2.99 3.10	99.45 99.13 97.00 96.12	
14-2 14-4 14-8 14-16		- - 0.59	1.15 1.08 1.21 -	98.85 98.20 95.15 98.83	0.72 3.64 0.59	100.00 99.28 96.36 98.83	
16-2 16-4 16-8 16-16	-	0.63 - -	1.27 0.42 0.75 0.57	67.09 97.46 91.73 98.86	31.01 2.12 7.52 0.57	68.35 97.88 92.48 99.43	
18-2 18-4 18-8 18-16	0.82	7.14 10.20 3.28 25.00	1.43 1.02	91.43 87.76 90.98 73.61	1.02 4.92 1.39	92.86 88.78 90.98 73.61	
20-2 20-4 20-8 20-16	1.24 - 1.11	3.09 25.53 66.67 37.78	1.06	87.65 68.08 33.33 56.67	8.03 5.32 2.22	87.65 69.15 33.33 58.89	

 ${}^{1}C_{1}$  is the first control,  $\Delta 0-0$ .

 $^{2}\mathrm{C}_{2}$  is the second control,  $\Delta0\text{-}0.$ 

#### EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT

	E	GGS		LARVAE		
	Shocked (2)	Delayed Hatch (3)	Viable (4)	Non-Viable (5)	Potential Hatch (3) + (4)	
C <sub>1</sub> A C1B C2A C2A* C2B	5.22 9.45 2.66 3.08 2.90	3.31 1.77 1.70 1.83 0.51	88.65 71.46 90.43 89.95 89.77	2.82 17.32 5.21 5.14 6.82	91.96 73.23 92.13 91.78 90.28	
18-16A 18-16B	1.63 0.17	0.19	95.41 99.32	2.77 0.51	95.60 99.32	
20-2A 20-2B 20-4A 20-4B 20-8A 20-8B 20-16A 20-16A*	2.33 1.27 0.27 1.20 4.70 1.02 3.74 8.47	0.24 0.72 0.46 8.74 6.39	83.72 90.30 99.73 97.12 93.46 98.41 87.21 84.84	13.95 8.44 1.44 1.12 0.11 0.31 0.30	83.72 90.30 99.73 97.36 94.18 98.86 95.94 91.23	

Counts of Summer Flounder Eggs and Larvae Expressed as Percentages of the Total Count for T-t Levels from  $\Delta 18-16$  to  $\Delta 20-16$ .

\* Sample was recounted.

 $C_1$  is the first control,  $\Delta 0-0$ .  $C_2$  is the second control,  $\Delta 0-0$ .

Percentage of the Mean of Replicates of the Cleavage, Early Embryo, and Late Embryo Experiments.

	EC	GGS	L	ARVAE			
∆T-t (°C-min)	Shocked (2)	Delayed Hatch (3)	Viable (4)	Non-Viable (5)	Potential Hatch (3) + (4)	Total Mortality (2) + (5)	
Cleavage							
Controls	59.89	0.00	27.97	12.15	27.97	72.03	
Early Embryo							
Controls (Case I)	3.96	2.20	89.41	4.43	91.61	8.09	
Controls (Case II)	4.09	2.24	89.27	4.40	91.51	8.49	
18-16	1.10	0.12	96.81	1.96	96.93	3.07	
20-2	1.74	0.00	87.34	10.92	87.34	12.66	
20-4	0.76	0.13	98.35	0.76	98.48	1.52	
20-8	2.26	0.53	96.76	0.45	97.28	2.72	
20-16	4.27	7.04	88.34	0.36	95.38	4.62	
20-16*	6.47	5.98	87.20	0.35	93.18	6.82	
Late Embryo							
Controls	0.22	0.22	97.94	1.30	98.15	1.52	

\*Sample was recounted.

occur if some specified hypothesis were true (Johnson, 1949). Our hypothesis is that there is no difference between mortalities in the experimental and control groups.

## Sublethal Effects

The raw data (Tables 1 and 2) were converted to percentages of the total sample as well as the means of the controls (Tables 11 and 12).

These forms are equally amenable to the Chi-square test. Again, the hypothesis is that there is no difference between abnormalities found in the experimental samples and those found in the controls.



#### TABLE 11

## CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT

				and the latter of the latter o		
∆T-t (°C-Min)	Normal Larvae	Abnormal Larvae	Kyphosis	Lordosis <sup>4</sup>	Tail Anamalies <sup>4</sup>	Head Flexures <sup>4</sup>
$^{1}C_{1}$	11.76	88.24	17.64	0.00	14.18 (29.41)	58.82
$^{2}C_{2}$	44.87	55.12	3.84	11.54	15.39 (11.54)	35.90
$^{3}C_{1}$ + C <sub>2</sub>	38.94	61.05	6.32	9.47	20.00 (14.74)	40.00
8-2	0.00	100.00	29.41	5.88	52.94 (52.94)	64.70
8-4	36.36	63.64	7.96	13.64 (6.82)	22.72 (17.04)	43.18
8-8	27.27	72.72	9.09	4.54 (4.54)	31.82 (22.72)	45.46
8-16	63.64	36.36	9.09	18.18	0.00 (0.00)	9.09
10-2	2.46	97.53	45.68	1.24	28.40 (28.40)	38.27
10-4	17.50	82.50	8.75	12.50	38.75 (21.25)	47.50 (5.00)
10-8	29.34	70.65	6.52	7.61	34.78 (23.91)	50.00 (4.34)
10-16	17.54	82.46	21.05	0.00	40.35 (29.82)	50.88
12-2	12.15	87.85	14.95	0.96	42.99 (36.44)	61.68
12-4	20.69	79.31	12.06	6.90	50.00 (29.31)	37.93
12-8	6.06	93.94	6.06	12.12	42.42 (42.42)	72.73
12-16	0.00	100.00	20.34	5.08	45.76 (44.06)	69.49
14-2	17.74	82.26	11.29	3.22	25.80 (16.12)	30.64
14-4	11.11	88.88	7.40	18.52	37.04 (3.33)	55.56
14-8	12.00	88.00	12.00	16.00	52.00 (40.00)	44.00
14-16	0.00	100.00	19.04	19.04 (9.52)	57.14 (57.14)	61.90
16-2 16-4 16-8 16-16	0.00	100.00 80.00 0.00	42.50 20.00 0.00	2.50 6.66 0.00	42.50 (42.50) 53.33 (46.66) 0.00 (0.00)	55.00 46.66 0.00
18-2	12.50	87.50	12.50	25.00	50.00 (37.50)	37.50
18-4	0.00	100.00	50.00	0.00	50.00 (50.00)	50.00
18-8	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	100.00(100.00)	0.00
18-16	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 (0.00)	100.00
20-2	50.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	0.00 (0.00)	0.00
20-4	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	100.00 (50.00)	50.00
20-8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 (0.00)	0.00
20-16	0.00	100.00	57.14	0.00	14.28 (14.28)	42.86

The AT-t Level Counts of Summer Flounder Larvae Expressed as Percentages of Larvae Characterized by Various Abnormality Traits

 ${}^{1}C_{1}$  is the first control,  $\Delta 0-0$ .

 $^{2}C_{2}$  is the second control,  $\Delta 0-0$ .

 ${}^{3}C_{1} + C_{2}$  is the means of the controls,  $\Delta 0-0$ .

<sup>4</sup>Percentage of larvae having multiple abnormality traits in parentheses.

	Short or Lar Yol Sac	ge Have <- Just <sup>+</sup> Hatched	Tail Not Fully Extended <sup>4</sup>	Others
	(0.00) (0.00 (5.12) (0.00 (4.21) (0.00	0) 0.00 0) 0.00 0) 0.00	(0.00) (1.28) (1.05)	None None None
	(0.00) $(0.00)(0.00)$ $(0.00)(0.00)$ $(4.54)(0.00)$ $(0.00)$	0)       0.00         0)       0.00         4)       0.00         0)       0.00	(0.00) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00)	None None None
	$\begin{array}{c} (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \end{array}$	0)     8.64       0)     0.00       0)     0.00       0)     0.00	(0.00) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00)	None 1.25 tail bent > 150° None None
	$\begin{array}{c} (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \end{array}$	0)3.740)0.000)0.000)1.70	(0.00) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00)	2.80 tail bent > 150° None None None
the second se	(0.00) $(0.00)(0.00)$ $(0.00)(4.00)$ $(0.00)(0.00)$ $(0.00)$	$\begin{array}{c c} 0 & 0.00 \\ 0 & 0.00 \\ 0 & 0.00 \\ 0 & 0.00 \\ 0 & 9.52 \end{array}$	(0.00) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00)	25.80 decomposing; 1.61 tail bent > 150° 3.70 tail bent > 150° None None
	(0.00) (0.00 (0.00) (0.00 (0.00) (0.00	$\begin{array}{c c} 0 & 0.00 \\ \hline 0 & 0.00 \\ 0 & 0.00 \\ \hline 0 & 0.00 \end{array}$	(0.00) (0.00) (0.00)	None large number decomposing None 100% mortality thermal shock
	$\begin{array}{c} (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	(0.00) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00)	None None 100% V-shape None
	$\begin{array}{c} (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \\ (0.00) & (0.00) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	(0.00) (0.00) (0.00) (0.00)	None None 100% mortality thermal shock None

## TABLE 12

## LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT

and the second						
∆T-t (°C-Min)	Normal Larvae	Abnormal Larvae	Kyphosis	Lordosis	Tail Anamalies <sup>4</sup>	Head Flexures
$\frac{{}^{1}C_{1}}{{}^{2}C_{2}}$ ${}^{3}C_{1} + C_{2}$	84.29 78.86 82.04	15.71 21.14 17.96	2.30 1.08 1.80	0.19 0.00 0.11	9.77 (3.83) 13.28 (2.16) 11.22 (3.14)	6.70 5.42 6.17
8-2	87.75	12.25	1.70	0.00	5.70 (1.42)	5.41
8-4 8-8 8-16	86.53 93.50	13.47 6.50	2.10 0.00	0.60 0.00	4.19 (1.50) 3.48 (0.93)	5.99 2.32
10-2	86.31	13.68	1.12	0.84	7.82 (1.12)	5.02
10-4	83.98	16.02	2.73	0.78	6.25 (1.56)	5.08
10-8	83.02	16.98	0.00	0.00	7.10 (3.08)	11.72
10-16	75.10	24.90	1.90	0.63	5.48 (1.90)	18.56
12-2	87.98	12.02	1.17	0.29	6.74 (2.05)	5.28
12-4	83.21	16.79	2.47	0.24	7.40 (4.69)	10.12
12-8	73.58	26.42	3.77	1.88	13.20 (5.66)	11.32
12-16	70.49	29.50	3.28	0.00	12.30 (5.73)	18.03
14-2	85.80	14.20	1.78	0.00	8.88 (5.32)	7.69
14-4	76.60	23.40	1.51	1.13	6.42 (4.90)	17.74
14-8	52.26	47.74	3.87	0.64	9.03 (5.80)	39.36
14-16	60.51	39.49	2.54	0.00	10.19 (7.64)	33.12
16-2	70.27	29.73	1.80	0.00	8.10 (6.30)	26.12
16-4	60.00	40.00	1.70	0.42	8.51 (5.53)	32.76
16-8	73.52	26.47	0.00	0.00	7.84 (4.90)	22.55
16-16	36.14	63.86	4.22	1.80	22.89 (12.65)	45.73
18-2	20.37	79.63	7.40	5.56	14.82 (9.26)	61.11
18-4	26.19	73.81	10.71	2.83	13.10 (7.14)	51.19
18-8	21.15	78.84	7.69	0.96	16.34 (15.38)	69.23
18-16	69.81	30.18	0.00	0.00	7.54 (5.66)	26.42
20-2	23.52	76.47	2.94	0.74	14.70 (9.56)	67.64
20-4	25.00	75.00	23.08	3.84	21.15 (17.30)	42.30
20-8	13.63	86.36	22.72	0.00	22.72 (13.63)	54.54
20-16	19.04	80.95	7.14	0.00	28.57 (11.90)	61.90

The AT-t Level Counts of Summer Flounder Larvae Expressed as Percentages of Larvae Characterized by Various Abnormality Traits

 $^{1}\text{C}_{1}$  is the first control,  $\Delta0\text{-}0.$   $^{2}\text{C}_{2}$  is the second control,  $\Delta0\text{-}0.$   $^{3}\text{C}_{1}$  + C<sub>2</sub> is the means of the controls,  $\Delta0\text{-}0.$   $^{4}\text{Percentage of larvae having multiple abnormality traits in parentheses.$ 

Short or Large	Have	Tail Not	Others
Yolk-	Just	Fully	
Sac <sup>4</sup>	Hatched	Extended <sup>4</sup>	
(2.11) (0.19)	0.38	(1.72)	None
(2.16) (0.27)	0.27	(1.90)	0.52 V-shape
(2.13) (0.22)	0.34	(1.80)	0.22 V-shape
$\begin{array}{ccc} (1.42) & (0.28) \\ \hline \\ (0.60 & (0.00) \\ (1.62) & (1.16) \end{array}$	0.57  0.60 0.23	(1.42) (0.60) (0.46)	None large number decomposing None 0.23 V-shape
(1.96) (0.00)	0.56	(1.68)	None
(2.73) (2.34)	2.34	(2.34)	None
(0.62) (0.30)	1.54	(0.00)	None
(3.80 (0.21)	0.21	(1.68)	0.21 V-shape; 0.21 tail bent > 150°
$\begin{array}{c} (1.46) & (0.00) \\ (2.22) & (0.24) \\ (4.40) & (0.62) \\ (0.82) & (0.00) \end{array}$	0.58	(1.46)	None
	0.98	(1.48)	None
	0.62	(3.14)	0.62 tail bent > 150°
	0.82	(0.82)	0.82 tail bent > 150°
(4.14) (0.00)	1.18	(3.55)	None
(3.40) (0.38)	1.13	(2.64)	None
(3.22) (0.64)	0.00	(2.58)	0.64 tail bent > 150°
(2.54) (0.00)	0.63	(1.91)	0.63 decomposing
(2.70) (0.00)	0.00	(2.70)	None
(4.26) (0.00)	0.00	(3.83)	0.42 V-shape;
(2.94) (0.98)	0.00	(1.96)	None
(3.01) (0.00)	0.60	(1.20)	1.20 V-shape
(0.00) (0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	None
(1.19) (0.00)	3.57	(0.00)	None
(3.84) (0.00)	0.00	(3.84)	0.96 V-shape
(3.77) (0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	1.88 tail bent > 150°
$\begin{array}{cccc} (2.20) & (0.00) \\ (1.92) & (0.00) \\ (4.54) & (0.00) \\ (2.38) & (0.00) \end{array}$	0.00	(2.20)	None
	1.92	(1.92)	None
	0.00	(0.00)	None
	0.00	(0.00)	None

#### RESULTS

#### Thermal Mortality

The percentages of successful hatch, actual or "potential", were each compared with the mortalities, in several categories, using the Chi-square test. The purpose of these comparisons was to see whether statistical evidence of a thermal shock effect existed and to determine at what excess temperature and exposure time it began.

#### Table 13

#### Comparisons used in Evaluating Different Mortalities with Actual and Potential Hatching Success.

Comparison	Actual Hatching Success	Mortality
IA	Viable larvae	Shocked eggs
II A	Viable larvae	Non-viable larvae
III A	Viable larvae	Total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae)

Compan	rison	Pote	ential	Hatch	ing Succe	ess	Mortality
I	Р	Viable	larvae	plus	delayed	hatch	Shocked eggs
II	P	Viable	larvae	plus	delayed	hatch	Non-viable larvae
III	Ρ	Viable	larvae	plus	delayed	hatch	Total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae

Three embryological stages were investigated: cleavage (Fig. 15 a), which occurs immediately after fertilization; early embryo (Fig. 15 b), which occurs about two days later; and late embryo (Fig. 15c), which occurs three days after fertilization.



Fig. 15 - Three embryological stages tested

#### Cleavage Stage Experiment

The acclimation temperature was 16.0°C.

Comparison I A. Viable larvae versus shocked eggs.

Significant differences at the 5% level begin to appear at an excess temperature of 8°C after an exposure period of 8 min. The literature for experiments conducted with eggs in the cleavage stage of development (Frank, 1974; Schubel, 1973; Lauer et al., 1974) suggests that no effect on egg mortality, dependent upon species and acclimation temperature, should be experienced for  $\Delta T$ 's of 8°C and 10°C for exposure times of up to 120 min. The tolerance of the later embryological stages exposed to the varying temperature-time combinations indicates that summer flounder eggs have a greater resistance to thermal shock than species previously reported (see Discussion). The scatter present in the data (Table 14) which is manifested in anomalously high P values--values greater than 3.841 (5% level, d.f. = 1)--probably results from the high percentage of eggs that showed abnormal cleavage patterns.

It is not generally considered an acceptable practice to change the significance level selected *a priori*. However, some of the scatter is eliminated when the significance level is lowered to 0.1%. Therefore this was done for comparisons I A through III P. Significant differences at the 0.1% level suggest onset of thermal

CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs.

	Time (min)					
∆T (°C)	2	4	8	16		
8	2,998	0.000	4.939	0.520		
10	0.002	2.734	10.716	3.651		
12	4.476	2.188	4.678	1.827		
14	13.113	17.127	10.571	6.463		
16	5.753	2.567	4.957	37.404		
18	17.782	34.615	31.487	35.404		
20	27.870	35.220	36.521	30.382		

shock in the region between an excess temperature of 16°C and an exposure period of 8 min and an excess temperature of 16°C and an exposure period of 16 min (Table 14). Hereafter, the temperature-time relationships will be denoted by a  $\Delta$ T-t abbreviation, which in this instance would read as the region between  $\Delta$ 16-8 and  $\Delta$ 16-16.

Comparison II A. Viable larvae versus non-viable larvae.

Significant differences at the 0.1% level are present at  $\triangle 10-8$ ,  $\triangle 12-4$ ,  $\triangle 14-2$ ,  $\triangle 14-4$ , and  $\triangle 14-8$ . If the effects of thermal mortality are real, one would expect that after some critical excess temperature and exposure time was exceeded all results would be uniformly significant except for the effect of natural variability. The pattern of significant values in Table 15 is so nonuniform that it is questionable whether an effect has been demonstrated.

Comparison III A. Viable larvae versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae).

Significant differences at the 0.1% level are present for  $\triangle 10-8$ ,  $\triangle 14-2$ ,  $\triangle 14-4$ , and  $\triangle 14-8$ , but it is not until the onset of the thermal shock effect in the region between  $\triangle 16-8$  and  $\triangle 16-16$  that the P values become uniformly significant (Table 16).

## CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Value for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Non-viable Larvae.

	Time (min)					
∆T (°C)	2	4	8	16		
8	0.455	0.299	3.157	5.507		
10	3.445	10.324	15.767	5.021		
12	10.117	11.060	4.766	6.615		
14	14.617	14.776	13.856	4.471		
16	0.018	3.778	2.245	*		
18	15.414	5.594	0.468	0.162		
20	0.226	0.280	5.250	0.947		

\*Mathematically non-computable

## CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae).

	Time (min)						
<u>∆T (°C)</u>	22	4	8	16			
8	2.729	0.018	5.335	1.742			
10	0.116	4.803	15.113	2.012			
12	6.916	4.170	2.683	3.205			
14	17.558	21.921	14.570	4.231			
16	4.662	1.312	3.239	32.518			
18	19.549	31.031	27.397	30.778			
20	23.692	30.434	32.518	25.841			
# <u>Comparison I P</u>. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus shocked eggs.

Significant differences at the 0.1% level suggest that the onset of the termal shock effect is in the region between  $\triangle 16-8$  and  $\triangle 16-16$  (Table 17).

# <u>Comparison II P</u>. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus non-viable larvae.

Significant differences at the 0.1% level are present for  $\triangle 10-8$ ,  $\triangle 12-4$ ,  $\triangle 14-2$ ,  $\triangle 14-4$ , and  $\triangle 14-8$ . If the effects of thermal mortality are real, one would expect that after some critical excess temperature and exposure time was exceeded all results would be uniformly significant except for the effects of natural variability. The pattern of significant values in Table 18 is so non-uniform that it is questionable whether an effect has been demonstrated.

<u>Comparison III P</u>. Viable larvae plus del**ayed hatch versus** total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae).

Significant differences at the 0.1% level are present for  $\triangle 10-8$ ,  $\triangle 14-2$ ,  $\triangle 14-4$ , and  $\triangle 14-8$ , and it is not until the onset of the thermal shock effect in the region between  $\triangle 16-8$  and  $\triangle 16-16$  that the P values become uniformly significant (Table 19).

### CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs.

		Time	(min)	
<u>∆T (°C)</u>	2	4	8	16
8	1.852	0.000	4.939	0.520
10	0.284	2,734	10.716	3.651
12	4.608	2.188	4.678	2.169
14	13.113	17.129	10.571	5.068
16	5.753	1.178	4.229	37.404
18	17.782	34.615	31.487	35.404
20	27.870	35.220	36.521	30.382

P values > 10.827, significant
P values < 10.827, not significant
0.1% level, d.f. = 1</pre>

## CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Non-Viable Larvae.

∆T (°C)		Time (min)				
	2	4	8	16		
8	0.162	0.299	3.157	5.507		
10	4.794	10.324	15.767	5.021		
12	10.225	11.060	4.766	6.967		
14	14.617	14.776	13.856	5.159		
16	0.018	4.990	2.570	*		
18	15.414	5.594	0.553	0.192		
20	0.179	0.280	5.250	0.947		

\*Mathematically non-computable

#### CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae).

	Time (min)				
∆T (°C)	2	4	8	16	
8	1.620	0.018	5.335	1.742	
10	0.895	4.803	15.113	2.012	
12	7.088	4.170	2.802	3.673	
14	17.558	21.921	14.525	3.075	
16	4.662	0.368	2.634	32.518	
18	19.549	31.031	27.397	30.778	
20	23.692	30.434	32.518	25.841	

This interpretation is corroborated by Table 20, which shows the data normalized to the means of the hatching success of the controls for the cleavage experiment. We see that the hatching success at a  $\Delta T$  of 8°C varies from 52.21% to 103.09%. According to the literature (Frank, 1974; Schubel, 1973; Lauer et al., 1974), no effect would be expected until exposure to a  $\Delta T$  of 10°C exceeds 120 min for acclimation temperatures of up to 16.5°C. For this experiment the range of normalized hatching success data at  $\Delta 10$  is 69.51% to 196.88%. Also, according to the literature the ranges of hatching success should not differ at a  $\Delta T$  of 8°C or a  $\Delta T$  of 10°C from those obtained in the controls. The range encountered at  $\Delta 16-2$  through  $\Delta 16-8$ , 61.04% to 71.73%, appears to be acceptable and the region between  $\Delta 16-8$  and  $\Delta 16-16$  must be the region of the onset of egg mortality (Table 20).

The range of the data normalized to the means of the hatching success of the controls at  $\Delta 16$  is quite broad, 71.73%, and one might expect a much narrower range. The aberrant total mortality experienced in  $\Delta 16$ -16 may be due, in part, to the late spawn which produced eggs of poor quality and were less able to sustain a thermal shock. The onset of thermal mortality may also occur between  $\Delta 16$ -16 and  $\Delta 18$ -2 as shown by the late embryo experiments, or between  $\Delta 18$ -2 and  $\Delta 18$ -4 as suggested by the small range, 1.64% to 5.97%. This is similar to the range for  $\Delta 20$ , 0.0% to 10.91% (Table 20).

## CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Hatching Success Expressed as a Percentage of the Controls.

∆T-t	Percent
8-2	62.83
8-4	103.09
8-8	52.21
8-16	71.52
10-2	101.80
10-4	153.01
10-8	196.88
10-16	69.51
12-2	163.59
12-4	149.22
12-8	64.40
12-16	141.03
14-2	204.78
14-4	217.66
14-8	195.06
14-16	55.57
16-2	55.03
16-4	71.73
16-8	61.04
16-16	0.00
18-2	17.24
18-4	1.64
18-8	5.97
18-16	1.93
20-2	10.91
20-4	2.32
20-8	0.00
20-16	7.97

#### Early Embryo Stage

The acclimation temperature used was 14.5°C.

Comparison I A. Viable larvae versus shocked eggs.

No significant differences at the 5% level are present for excess temperatures and exposure periods used. We conclude that no effect has been demonstrated (Table 21).

Comparison II A. Viable larvae versus non-viable larvae.

No significant differences at the 5% level are present for excess temperatures and exposure periods used. We conclude that no effect has been demonstrated (Table 22).

<u>Comparison III A</u>. Viable larvae versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae).

Significant differences at the 5% level are present at  $\Delta 20-4$ . This P value is statistically significant in both Cases I and II (Table 23) but can not be biologically, because the percentage of shocked eggs and non-viable larvae are lower (1.52%) than that of the controls (8.09% and 8.49%) (Table 10). We conclude that no biological effect has been demonstrated (Table 23).

## EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs for Controls 1A, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A\*, and 2B (Case II).

		Time	(min)	
<u>∆T (°C)</u>	_2	4	8	16
		Case	e I	
18 20	0.788	2.426	0.589	1.804 0.015 (0.631)*
		Case	e II	
18 20	0.868	2.556	0.663	1.921 0.006 (0.560)*

\*Sample was recounted

#### EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Non-viable Larvae for Controls 1A, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A\*, and 2B (Case II).

		Time	(min)	
∆T (°C)	2	4	8	16
		Case	Ι	
18 20	2.666	2.881	3.478	1.125 3.324 (3.298)*
		Case	II	
18 20	2.688	2.856	3.454	1.105 3.301 (3.274)*

\*Sample was recounted

## EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae) for Controls 1A, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A\*, and 2B (Case II).

		Time	(min)	
<u>∆T (°C)</u>	2	4	8	16
		Case	I	
18 20	0.999	4.890	2.938	2.524 0.846 (0.073)*
		Case	II	
18 20	0.818	5.271	3.257	2.824 1.027 (0.134)*

\*Sample was recounted

# Comparison I P. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus shocked eggs.

No significant differences at the 5% level are present for excess temperatures and exposure periods used. We conclude that no effect has been demonstrated (Table 24).

<u>Comparison II P</u>. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus non-viable larvae.

No significant differences at the 5% level are present for excess temperatures and exposure periods used. We conclude that no effect has been demonstrated (Table 25).

> <u>Comparison III P</u>. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae).

Significant differences at the 5% level are present at  $\Delta 20-4$ . This P value is statistically significant in both Cases I and II (Table 26) but can not be biologically, because the percentage of shocked eggs and non-viable larvae are lower (1.52%) than that of the controls (8.09% and 8.49%) (Table 10). We conclude that no biological effect has been demonstrated (Table 26).

#### EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs for Controls 1A, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A\*, and 2B (Case II).

	Time	(min)	
_2	4	8	16
	Case	I	
0.739	2,345	0.577	1.736 0.002 (0.532)*
	Case	II	
0.815	2.475	0.624	1.848 0.000 (0.468)*
	2 0.739 0.815		Time (min)         2       4       8         Case I         0.739       2.345       0.577         Case II         0.815       2.475       0.624

\*Sample was recounted

P values > 3.841, significant

P values < 3.841, not significant

5% level, d.f. = 1

#### EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Non-viable Larvae for Controls 1A, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A\*, and 2B (Case II).

		Time	(min)	
∆ <u>T (°C)</u>	2	4	8	16
		Case	I	
18 20	2.821	2.792	3.402	1.063 3.534 (3.463)*
		Case	II	
18 20	2.844	2.767	3.377	1.047 3.509 (3.439)*

\*Sample was recounted

Ta	bl	e	26

#### EARLY EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae) for Controls 1A, 2A, and 2B (Case I) and for Controls 1A, 2A\*, and 2B (Case II).

	Time	(min)	
2	4	8	16
	Case	I	
1.108	4.740	2.837	2.408 1.023 (0.121)*
	Case	II	
0.919	5.109	3.146	2.697 1.223 (0.197)*
	2 1.108 0.919	<u>2</u> <u>4</u> Case 1.108 4.740 Case 0.919 5.109	Time (min)         2       4       8         Case I       Case I         1.108       4.740       2.837         Case II       Case II         0.919       5.109       3.146

\*Sample was recounted

#### Late Embryo Stage

The acclimation temperature used was 14.0 °C.

Comparison I A. Viable larvae versus shocked eggs.

Significant differences at the 5% level are present at  $\triangle 18$ -2. No significant differences appear for lower excess temperatures. For excess temperatures of 18°C and 20°C and exposure periods of 2, 4, 8, and 16 min, 6 of the 8 P values are significant. Thus, it is apparent that the onset of the thermal shock effect lies in the region between  $\triangle 16$ -16 and  $\triangle 18$ -2 (Table 27).

Comparison II A. Viable larvae versus non-viable larvae.

Significant differences at the 5% level are present at  $\Delta 16$ -2. No significant differences appear for lower excess temperatures. For excess temperatures of 16°C, 18°C, and 20°C and for exposure periods of 2, 4, 8, and 16 min, only 4 of the 12 P values are significant. Thus, the effect at  $\Delta 16$  may be questionable and the existence of an effect does not rest on very convincing evidence (Table 28).

> <u>Comparison III A</u>. Viable larvae versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae).

Significant differences at the 5% level are present at △16-2. No significant differences appear for lower excess

## LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs.

	Time (min)			
∆T (°C)	2	4	8	16
8	0.004	0.221	0.222	0.223
10	0.223	0.222	0.224	0.222
12	0.223	0.222	0.218	0.323
14	0.222	0.220	0.213	0.222
16	0.392	0.219	0.206	0.222
18	6.729	10.116	2.854	27.797
20	2.772	30.157	97.805	48.088

P values > 3.841, significant
P values < 3.841, not significant
5% level, d.f. = 1</pre>

LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Non-viable Larvae.

		Time (min)				
∆T (°C)	2	4	88	16		
8	0.683	0.008	0.081	0.757		
10	0.119	0.010	0.605	0.774		
12	0.311	0.432	0.667	0.753		
14	1.303	0.166	1.148	0.271		
16	33.081	0.197	4.590	0.289		
18	1.206	0.010	2.307	0.083		
20	5.362	4.034	0.441	1.027		

Ρ	values	>	3.841,	significant
Ρ	values	<	3.841,	not significant
			5% leve	el, d.f. = l

temperatures of 16°C, 18°C, and 20°C and for exposure periods of 2, 4, 8, and 16 min, 10 of the 12 P values are significant. Thus,  $\Delta$ 16 may be a "critical" excess temperature with regard to egg mortality, but since only 2 of the P values at  $\Delta$ 16 are significant  $\Delta$ 18 appears to be more firmly established and suggests that the region of the onset of the thermal shock effect lies between  $\Delta$ 16-16 and  $\Delta$ 18-2 (Table 29).

# <u>Comparison I P</u>. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus shocked eggs.

Significant differences at the 5% level are present at  $\triangle 18$ -2. No significant differences appear for lower excess temperatures. For excess temperatures of 18°C and 20°C and for exposure periods of 2, 4, 8, and 16 min, 6 of the 8 P values are significant. Thus, it appears, again, that the effects of the thermal shock are in the region between  $\triangle 16$ -16 and  $\triangle 18$ -2 (Table 30).

<u>Comparison II P</u>. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus non-viable larvae.

Significant differences at the 5% level are present at Δ16-2. No significant differences appear for lower excess temperatures. For excess temperatures of 16°C, 18°C, and 20°C and for exposure periods of 2, 4, 8, and 16 min, only 4 of the 12 P values are significant. Once again, it appears that the

#### LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae).

		Time (min)			
∆T (°C)	2	4	8	16	
8	0.474	0.002	0.170	0.960	
10	0.223	0.054	0.796	0.978	
12	0.462	0.602	0.482	1.115	
14	1.522	0.285	0.909	0.055	
16	33.123	0.100	4.185	0.436	
18	3.867	7.942	4.847	25.601	
20	7.850	31.945	94.035	46.649	

## LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Shocked Eggs.

	Time (min)				
∆T (°C)	2	4	8	16	
8	0.004	0.221	0.222	0.223	
10	0.222	0.221	0.223	0.223	
12	0.223	0.222	0.217	0.324	
14	0.224	0.222	0.216	0.166	
16	0.382	0.219	0.207	0.223	
18	6.640	10.026	2.861	27.854	
20	2.779	29.845	97.963	46.929	

Р	values	>	3.841,	significant
Ρ	values	<	3.841,	not significant
			5% leve	al. d.f. = 1

effect at  $\Delta$ ]6 may be questionable and the existence of an effect does not rest on very convincing evidence (Table 31).

<u>Comparison III P</u>. Viable larvae plus delayed hatch versus total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae).

Significant differences at the 5% level are present at  $\Delta 16$ -2. No significant differences appear for lower excess temperatures. For excess temperatures of 16°C, 18°C, and 20°C and for exposure periods of 2, 4, 8, and 16 min, 9 out of the 12 P values are significant. Thus,  $\Delta 16$  may be a "critical" excess temperature, again, with regard to egg mortality, but since only 2 of the 4 P values at  $\Delta 16$  are significant  $\Delta 18$  appears to be more firmly established. Also, the region of the onset of the thermal shock effect lies between  $\Delta 16$ -16 and  $\Delta 18$ -4 (Table 32).

Sublethal Effects

#### Cleavage Experiment

Significant differences at the 5% level are present at  $\Delta 8$ -2 and all other  $\Delta T$ -t combinations studied in this experiment, except  $\Delta 8$ -4,  $\Delta 8$ -8, and  $\Delta 10$ -8 which do not differ from their controls. The results are therefore inconclusive as to the region, in terms of  $\Delta T$ -t combinations, where the vertebral anomalies become significant (Table 33).

## LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Non-viable Larvae.

		Time (min)			
<u>∆T (°C)</u>	2	4	8	16	
8	0.684	0.009	0.080	0.760	
10	0.118	0.010	0.603	0.779	
12	0.311	0.430	0.671	0.757	
14	1.316	0.171	1.123	0.269	
16	32,653	0.195	4.554	0.292	
18	1.222	0.011	2.315	0.084	
20	5,377	3.962	0.440	0.955	

Ρ	values	3.841, significant
Ρ	values	3.841, not signigicant
		5% level, d.f. = 1

#### LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT WITH SUMMER FLOUNDER EGGS.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Viable Larvae Plus Delayed Hatch Eggs Compared with Counts of Total Mortality (Shocked Eggs Plus Non-viable Larvae).

	Time (min)			
∆T (°C)	2	4	8	16
8	0.474	0.001	0.169	0.964
10	0.221	0.053	0.794	0.984
12	0.463	0.600	0.485	1.121
14	1.537	0.292	0.887	0.001
16	32.692	0.099	4.150	0.440
18	3.793	7.855	4.861	25.657
20	7.8 <b>7</b> 1	31.602	94.192	45.475

5% level, d.f. = 1

## CLEAVAGE EXPERIMENT.

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts for Normal Larvae Compared with Counts of Abnormal Larvae.

2	4	8	16	
48.370	0.143	3.078	12.200	
40.546	11.356	2.052	11.309	
18.879	7.977	31.013	48.370	
11.075	20.649	19.128	48.370	
48.370	*	8.638	+	
18.307	48.370	48.370	48.370	
2.472	48.370	+	48.370	
	2 48.370 40.546 18.879 11.075 48.370 18.307 2.472	2       4         48.370       0.143         40.546       11.356         18.879       7.977         11.075       20.649         48.370       *         18.307       48.370         2.472       48.370	2 $4$ $8$ 48.3700.1433.07840.54611.3562.05218.8797.97731.01311.07520.64919.12848.370*8.63818.30748.37048.3702.47248.370+	

\*Sample not evaluated

+100% thermal mortality

#### Late Embryo Experiment

Significant statistical differences at the 5% level are present at  $\Delta 8$ -16, but this P value is not significant biologically. No other significant differences are present until the onset of the sublethal effect in the region between  $\Delta 14$ -4 and  $\Delta 14$ -8. Another region observed is between  $\Delta 16$ -2 and  $\Delta 16$ -4 (Table 34).

Significant differences at the 5% level are also present between normal larvae and larvae whose tail did not fully extend at  $\Delta 18-8$ . No other significant differences were observed for other temperature-time combinations used (Table 35).

## LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Normal Larvae Compared with Counts of Abnormal Larvae.

	Hards ( Set	Time	Time (min)		
<u>∆T (°C)</u>	2	4	<u>8</u>	16	
8	1.271	*	0.760	6.117	
10	0.687	0.133	0.112	1.430	
12	1.384	0.048	2.073	3.681	
14	0.523	0.902	20,102	11.320	
16	3.814	11.801	2.097	43.567	
18	76.108	62.810	74.219	4.091	
20	68.696	65.395	93,746	79.381	

\*Sample not evaluated

#### LATE EMBRYO EXPERIMENT

Chi-Square Probability Values for Counts of Normal Larvae Compared with Those Larvae Whose Tail Did Not Fully Extend.

		Time	(min)	
∆ <u>т (°C)</u>	2	4	8	16
8	0.073	*	0.656	0.970
10	0.012	0.057	1.802	0.000
12	0.062	0.035	0.509	0.231
14	0.482	0.216	0.709	0.470
16	0.338	1.467	0.035	0.122
18	0.445	0.572	6.846	1.517
20	2.297	1.517	0,298	0.416

\*Sample not evaluated.

#### DISCUSSION

Thermal Mortality

Laboratory studies on the effects of short-term thermal shock on fish eggs, have previously been investigated by Schubel (1973, 1974), Schubel and Auld (1972a, 1972b, 1973, 1974), Schubel et al. (1976), Frank (1974), Lauer et al. (1974), and Hopkins and Dean (1975). These investigators subjected fish eggs to time-temperature histories that may be experienced by organisms entrained by power plants with once-through cooling. Their studies generally indicate little or no mortality occurring to the eggs of Alosa pseudoharengus (alewife) (Schubel and Auld, 1972a; Schubel, 1973, 1974), A. aestivalis (blueback herring) (Schubel and Auld, 1973, 1974; Schubel, 1973, 1974; Schubel et al., 1976), A. sapidissima (American shad)(Schubel and Auld, 1972b; Schubel et al., 1976), Morone americana (white perch) (Schubel, 1973, 1974), M. saxatilis (striped bass) (Schubel, 1973, 1974; Schubel and Auld, 1974; Schubel et al., 1976), and Cyprinus carpo (carp) (Frank, 1974) when they were subjected to  $\Delta T$ 's of up to 10°C, for 10 to 180 min and superimposed on average spawning temperatures.

As the  $\Delta T$  and exposure time are increased, the probability of mortality and abnormalities occurring in the development of fertilized ova are increased. When the  $\Delta T$  was 12.5°C, Frank (1974) observed almost complete mortality of carp eggs, base temperature

25°C, for an exposure period of 10 min, in the cleavage and blastual stages of development (0-6 hr post-fertilization) and abnormalities (type not stated) of 13.2% in 1 hr post-fertilized eggs. Although Schubel et al., (1976) did not observe any abnormal morphological development at a  $\Delta T$  of 15°C, they did observe a marked reduction in the hatching success of blueback herring eggs (base temperature 17.9 - 21.1°C) and American shad eggs (base temperature 20.5°C). Striped bass eggs (base temperature 16.6 - 19.6°C) exposed to a similar ∆T were more resistant to acute thermal shock than the other two species and their hatching success was unaffected. Frank (1974) observed complete mortality of eggs exposed to a  $\Delta T$  of 15°C in the cleavage and blastula stages, and a relatively high thermal sensitivity (mortality) in the developmental stages associated with blastopore closure and initiation of organogenesis. The late embryo stage of the carp appears more resistant to thermal shock than the other stages, but at  $\triangle 15$  Frank (1974) observed a sharp rise in the percentage of abnormalities present. At ∆T's of 17.5°C (Frank, 1974) and 20°C (Frank, 1974; Schubel et al., 1976), the eggs of carp and striped bass failed to develop.

Lauer et al. (1974), assessing the effects of a change in the operational mode of Consolidated Edison's Indian Point facility, subjected striped bass eggs in various stages of development, to a  $\Delta T$  of 8.4°C, from base temperature 19.4°C, and exposure periods of up to 120 min. They concluded that the maximum temperature

(base temperature plus  $\Delta T$ ) exceeded the thermal limits of the most sensitive egg stages (cleavage and blastula stages, and the stages preceeding blastopore closure) by approximately 2.8°C for an exposure period of 30 min and by approximately 1.7°C for an exposure period of 15 min, but the later developmental stages were capable of surviving the thermal shock. The most sensitive stages may be able to tolerate exposure periods of only about 10 min.

Hopkins and Dean (1975) investigated the effects of a thermal shock of 20°C, base temperature 20°C, for an exposure period of 5 min, on various developmental stages of the killifish *Fundulus heteroclitus*. Their results indicated that the cleavage stage and the stages before blastopore closure are highly sensitive ones for *Fundulus* eggs. They observed in some eggs, exposed prior to blastopore closure, that the yolk material had expanded and protruded through the opening in the blastopore. The symmetry of the embryonic shield was disturbed within 30 min, becoming a mass of amorphous tissue.

Smith et al. (1979) constructed a thermal resistance curve for summer flounder eggs. Their study, based on the time-temperature data of this study, indicated that the late embryo stages of development of summer flounder eggs may have slightly greater resistance to thermal shock than we found. Eggs in the late embryo stage of development, base temperature 16°C, were exposed for periods of up to 180 min. Their own data showed that an exposure period of between 15-45 min appears to cause significant differences in mortality when a  $\Delta T$  of 19°C is superimposed on the base temperature. Natural variations between different spawnings or the effect of parental temperature experience (the parents were subjected to a higher acclimation temperature causing the eggs to have a greater thermal tolerance) may be factors causing differences between studies.

#### Cleavage Experiment

Much of the variability in the hatching success observed in this experiment can be explained by the low percentage of eggs fertilized, 20-25% compared to 90-95% for the other experiments. Besides the low percentage of fertilization, abnormal cleavage patterns were observed in eggs before they were subjected to thermal shock and may be one of the factors associated with the high percentage of eggs in which development was arrested. The cleavage and blastula stages of development and the stages associated with blastopore closure are highly sensitive to stresses; whether they be metals, organic compounds (pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, etc.), or temperature.

The scatter present in the data at the 5% level (P = 3.841) were reduced considerably when comparisons were made using the 0.1% level of significance (P = 10.821). Before the onset of the thermal shock effect, anomalously high P values are present at temperature-time exposures of  $\Delta 14-2$  and  $\Delta 14-4$ , when the Chi-square test is used to compare viable larvae with shocked eggs. The anomalously high P values can be explained by the greater percentage of viable larvae present in these temperature-time exposures, 57.27 and 60.87% (Table 6) compared with 27.97% (Table 10) found in the controls.

When viable larvae are compared with non-viable larvae, using the Chi-square test, anomalously high P values are present at temperature time exposures of  $\triangle 10-8$ ,  $\triangle 12-4$ ,  $\triangle 14-2$ ,  $\triangle 14-4$ , and  $\triangle 14-8$ . These high P values can be explained by the high percentage of viable larvae, 41.73 to 60.87% and the low percentages of non-viable larvae, 1.12 to 2.17% (Table 6), compared with 27.97% viable larvae and 12.15% non-viable larvae present in the controls (Table 10).

When comparisons are made between viable larvae with total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae), using the Chisquare test, anomalously high P values are present at temperaturetime exposures of  $\triangle 10-8$ ,  $\triangle 14-2$ ,  $\triangle 14-4$ , and  $\triangle 14-8$ . The explanation for these high P values has been given above, where comparisons were made between viable larvae and shocked eggs and between viable larvae and non-viable larvae.

When the delayed hatch is compared with the viable larvae and compared with shocked eggs, non-viable larvae, and total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae), the same temperature-time exposures are significant and the explanations given

above hold for these combinations.

#### Early Embryo Experiment

Although most of this experiment was lost through improper handling techniques the samples that were saved suggest that the early embryo stage of development is highly resistant to thermal shock.

The only anomalous P values, at the 5% level, are present in both case I and II, when comparisons are made using the Chisquare test between viable larvae (plus delayed hatch) with total mortality (shocked eggs plus non-viable larvae). This anomalously high P value at  $\Delta 20-4$  can be explained by the low percentage of eggs affected by the thermal shock, 1.52% (Table 10), compared with a control percentage of 8.09 or 8.49% (Table 10) depending upon which case (I or II) is used in the comparison.

#### Late Embryo Experiment

For this experiment it appears that this embryological stage is less resistant to a thermal shock than the early embryo, described previously.

Anomalous P values, at the 5% level, occur before the onset of the thermal shock at temperature-time exposures of  $\Delta 16-2$ and  $\Delta 16-8$ , when viable larvae (plus delayed hatch) are compared with non-viable larvae and total mortality (shocked eggs and nonviable larvae). At  $\Delta 16-2$  and  $\Delta 16-8$ , the percentages of non-viable larvae are 31.01 and 7.52% respectively (Table 6) compared to a control percentage of 1.30% (Table 10). When the total mortality is compared the percentages are 31.64 and 7.52% (Table 8) compared to a control percentage of 1.52% (Table 10).

Sublethal Effects

Sublethal effects have been defined by Rosenthal and Alderdice (1976) "as those responses to environmental change--that may be induced in one stage of development but expressed in a later stage of organization or development in terms of reduced survival potential." The manifestations of sublethal effects resulting from tissue injury during the course of embryological development include: deformities of the vertebral column; fin defects, which result from stress during incubation; yolk-sac deformities, which include incomplete yolk circulation and patches of necrotic tissue; various forms of eye deformities, involving a reduction in the size of one or both eyes and disorganization of retinal tissue; otic capsule defects, ranging from missing otoliths to absence of otic capsules, causing larvae to be unable to maintain equilibrium; and jaw anomalies, from the absence of the lower jaw to its deformed or delayed formation causing the newly hatched larvae to be unable to capture organisms necessary for its survival and growth (Rosenthal and Alderdice, 1976).

The effect of vertebral deformaties on larvae which have

been hatched from thermally stressed eggs with which this study is concerned, depends upon the severity of the deformity. Vertebral deformaties can range from slight flexures, to spiralling, and partial dedifferentiation of the vertebral column. The resulting effect on the larva is a reduction and disorientation of activity, and swimming ability, which can decrease its ability to capture prey, may increase the probability of it being preyed upon by other organisms thus, reducing its potential of survival in the environment (Rosenthal and Alderdice, 1976; Koo and Johnston, 1978).

The only study, where larval deformities resulting from exposures of fish eggs to a thermal shock were analyzed by Koo and Johnston (1978). Koo and Johnston (1978) subjected striped bass eggs and blueback herring eggs to a thermal shock for exposure periods of up to 180 min, and contend that hatching success is not a good indicator in assessing thermal effects since deformities also reduce chances of larval survival. Striped bass eggs (base temperature 17°C and 18°C) subjected to a  $\Delta T$  of 5°C and exposure periods of 15, 60, 120, and 180 min had, upon hatching, deformities of 0%, 0%, 0%, and 12%, respectively. There were no deformities in the controls. Raising the  $\Delta T$  to 10°C and using exposure periods of 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min, Koo and Johnston (1978) observed deformities of 0%, 6%, 0-17%, 24%, 26%, and 0-60%, while controls had deformities of 0-10%. At a  $\Delta T$ of 15°C striped bass eggs at hatching had deformities of 7-24%, 8%, 13-20%, 95%, 100%, and 100%, while the controls had deformities ranging between 0-10%, for exposure periods of 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min, respectively.

Blueback herring eggs (base temperature  $19^{\circ}$ C), subjected to a  $\Delta$ T of 10°C, had deformities upon hatching of 0-20%, 0-25%, and 0-25%, while their controls varied between 0-5%, for exposure periods of 5, 60, and 180 min, respectively. When the  $\Delta$ T was raised by 5°C to 15°C, the percentage of abnormalities increased to 0-25%, 0-70%, and 100% for similar exposure periods of 5, 60, and 180 min, with control variation of 0-15%. Koo and Johnston (1978) conclude that the percentage and severity of the abnormalities appears to be dependent upon the resistance of the eggs to the thermal dose.

The abnormalities observed in their samples included: shortened bodies, especially the portion caudal to the anus; enlarged fins; uneven resorption of the yolk; occasional splitting off of minute oil droplets (this condition might result from the artificial fertilization of the eggs); and curving and twisting of the spine (Koo and Johnston, 1978).

The swimming behavior of the larvae observed by Koo and Johnston (1978) varied according to the severity of the abnormality. Normal larvae were able to swim almost continuously, while larvae with severe deformaties lost their swimming ability and were limited to sporadic simple jerks, darts, or kicks. Larvae with lesser deformities, those with slightly bent bodies, were only
capable of swimming in a circular path, or making short spurts with long resting periods.

## Cleavage Experiment

Although the cleavage stage of development is highly susceptible to a thermal shock, the abnormalities encountered in the lower  $\Delta T$ 's ( $\Delta T$ 's of 8 and 10°C) when a thermal shock is applied to average spawning temperatures suggests that the vertebral abnormalities were caused by other factors acting synergistically with the thermal shock. It is recommended that the cleavage stage of development be retested.

## Late Embryo Experiment

2

The only anomalous P values, at the 5% level, occurring before the onset of significant vertebral abnormalities occurred at  $\Delta 8$ -16. The occurrence of this high P value can be explained by the high percentage of larvae considered normal, 93.50%, compared with control values of 82.04% (Table 12).

Comparing normal larvae with those larvae in which the tail did not fully extend, the anomalous P value was past the region of the onset of the thermal shock (Tables 27 and 32). It is thought, that if this particular sample had been larger, no significant differences would have been detected since the number of larvae that displayed this condition is relatively small (Table 2).

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The various embryological stages of summer flounder (cleavage, early embryo, and late embryo) are resistant to thermal shock. The thermal shock effect was observed in the region between  $\triangle 16-8$ (°C-min) and  $\triangle 16-16$  for the cleavage experiment and between  $\triangle 16-16$ and  $\triangle 18-2$  for the late embryo experiment. The thermal shock region for the early embryo experiment was found to exceed the highest temperature-time combination used in this study,  $\triangle 20-16$ .

Koo and Johnston (1978) proposed that hatching success should not be used as the only criterion for assessing thermal shock effects on fish eggs. Examination of the viable larvae that hatched from eggs subjected to thermal shock for vertebral and other deformities, supports their suggestion. In this study, two of the three stages were examined for sublethal effects. The experimental samples differed significantly from their controls in the region between  $\triangle 14-4$  and  $\triangle 14-8$ , and between  $\triangle 16-2$  and  $\triangle 16-4$ for the late embryo stage of development. The results for the larvae hatched from eggs used in the cleavage experiment were inconclusive.

Because of limited data produced by this study, it is recommended that additional experiments be conducted with the various embryological stages and that determinations be made of hatching success and of sublethal effects. Additionally, the larval stages should also be evaluated for both thermal mortality

96

and sublethal effects. Summer flounder larvae have been found in local estuarine waters of New York (Poole, 1961) and New Jersey (Murawski, 1964, 1965, 1966) and the species is important commercially and recreationally. Larvae hatched from eggs exposed to thermal stress, and larvae exposed to thermal stress, should be cultured through metamorphosis, which occurs at a length of 12 or 13 mm (Smith and Fahay, 1970), since at metamorphosis a number of other abnormalities may become detectable: incomplete eye migration, hooked dorsal fins, presence of left pectoral fin, ambicoloration, partial or complete albinism, and reversals.

## REFERENCES

- Beck, A.D. and N.F. Lackie 1974. Effects of passage of marine animals through power plant cooling water systems. Presented at: American Fisheries Society 1974 Annual Meeting, Hawaii.
- Beck, A.D. and D.C. Miller. 1974. Analysis of inner plant passage of estuarine biota. Pages 122-226 in Proc. ASCE Power Div. Spec. Conf., Boulder, Colorado, August 12-14.
- Beck, A.D. and Committee on Entrainment. 1978. Cumulative effects a field assessment. Pages 189-210 in J.R. Schubel and B.C. Marcy, Jr., eds. Power Plant Entrainment: A Biological Assessment. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- Bigelow, H.B. and W.C. Schroeder. 1953. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. U.S. Fish Wild. Ser. Fish. Bull. 53(74).
- Bumpus, D.F. and L.M. Lauzier. 1965. Surface circulation on the continental shelf off eastern North America between Newfoundland and Florida. Ser. Atlas Mar. Envir. Amer. Geog. Soc. Folio 7, 8 plates.
- Clark, J. and W. Brownell. 1973. Electric power plants in the coastal zone: Environmental issues. American Littoral Society, Spec. Publ. No. 7.
- Committee on Entrainment. 1978. Introduction. Pages 1-18 in J.R. Schubel and B.C. Marcy, Jr., eds. Power Plant Entrainment: A Biological Assessment. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- Committee on Water Quality Criteria. 1973. Water Quality Criteria 1972. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Ecological Research Series. EPA R3-73-033.
- Coutant, C.C. 1970. Ecological aspects of thermal pollution. I. Entrainment and discharge canal effects. CRC Critical Reviews in Environmental Control 1(3):341-381.
- Coutant, C.C. 1973. Effects of thermal shock on vulnerability of juvenile salmonids to predation. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 30(7):965-973.

- Frank, M.L. 1974. Relative sensitivity of different embryonic stages of carp to thermal shock. Pages 171-176 in J.W. Gibbons and R.R. Sharitz, eds. Thermal Ecology. AEC Symp. Series, Conf. 730505.
- Ginsburg, I. 1952. Flounders of the genus Paralichthys and related genera in American waters. U.S. Fish Wild. Ser. Fish. Bull. 52(71):267-351.
- Gutherz, E.J. 1967. Field guide to the flatfishes of the family Bothidae in the western North Atlantic. U.S. Fish Wild. Ser., Circ. 263.
- Hopkins, S.R. and J.M. Dean. 1975. The response of developmental stages of *Fundulus* to acute thermal shock. Pages 301-318 in F.J. Vernberg, ed. Physiological Ecology of Estuarine Organisms. Belle Baruch Library in Marine Science No. 3.
- Johnson, P.O. 1949. Statistical Methods in Research. Prentice-Hall, INc., New York.
- Koo, T.S.Y. and M.L. Johnston. 1978. Larvae deformity in striped bass, Morone saxatilis (Walbaum), and blueback herring, Alosa aestivalis (Mitchill), due to heat shock treatment of developing eggs. Environ. Poll. 16(1):137-149.
- Lauer, J., W.T. Waller, D.W. Bath, W. Meeks, R. Heffner, T. Ginn, L. Zubarik, P. Bibko, and P.C. Storm. 1974. Entrainment studies on Hudson River organisms. Pages 37-82 in L.D. Jensen, ed. Proc. Sec. Entrain. Intake Screening Workshop, Feb. 5-9, 1973, The John Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md.
- Lux, F.E., P.E. Hamer, and J.C. Poole. 1966. Summer flounder... the middle Atlantic flatfish. Marine Resources Atlantic Coast. Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. Leaflet 6.
- Marcy, Jr., B.C. 1973. Vulnerability and survival of young Connecticut River fish entrained at a nuclear power plant. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 30(8):1195-1203.
- McHugh, J.L. 1966. Management of estuarine fisheries. Pages 133-154 in A symposium on estuarine fisheries. American Fisheries Society, Spec. Publ. No. 6.
- McHugh, J.L. 1977. Fisheries and fishery resources of New York Bight. U.S. Dept. Comm., NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS Circ. 401.

- McHugh, J.L. and J.J.C. Ginter. 1978. Fisheries. MESA New York Bight Atlas Monograph 16. New York Sea Grant Institute, Albany.
- McHugh, J.L. and A.D. Williams. 1976. Historical statistics of fisheries in the New York Bight area. New York Sea Grant Institute, Albany.
- Murawski, W.S. 1964. Fluke investigations. New Jersey Federal Aid Project F-15-R-5 (Completion Report Job 3). N.J. Dept. Cons. Econ. Devel.
- Murawski, W.S. 1965. Fluke investigations. New Jersey Federal Aid Project F-15-R-6 (Completion Report Job 3). N.J. Dept. Cons. Econ. Devel.
- Murawski, W.S. 1966. Fluke investigations. New Jersey Federal Aid Project F-15-R-7 (Completion Report Job 3). N.J. Dept. Cons. Econ. Devel.
- Murawski, W.S. 1970. Results of tagging experiments of summer flounder, *Paralichthys dentatus*, conducted in New Jersey waters from 1960 to 1967. Miscel. Rep. 5M., N.J. Dept. Environ. Prot.
- Poole, J.C. 1961. Age and growth of the fluke in Great South Bay and their significance to the sport fishery. New York Fish Game J. 8(1):1-18.
- Poole, J.C. 1962. The fluke population of Great South Bay in relation to the sport fishery. New York Fish Game J. 9(2):93-117.
- Poole, J.C. 1964. Feeding habits of the summer flounder in Great South Bay. New York Fish Game J. 11(1):28-34.
- Poole, J.C. 1966. A review of research concerning summer flounder and needs for further research. New York Fish Game J. 13(2):226-231.
- Powell, A.B. 1974. Biology of the summer flounder, *Paralichthys dentatus*, in Pamilico Sound and adjacent waters, with comments on *P. lethostigma* and *P. albigutta*. M.S. Thesis. University of North Carolina. Chapel Hill.
- Powell, A.B. and F.J. Schwartz. 1977. Distribution of paralichthid flounders (Bothidae: *Paralichthys*) in North Carolina estuaries. Ches. Sci. 18(4):334-339.

- Rosenthal, H. and D.F. Alderice. 1976. Sublethal effects of environmental stressors, natural and pollutional, on marine fish eggs and larvae. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 33(9):2047-2065.
- Schubel. J.R. 1973. Effects of exposure to time excess temperature histories typically experienced at power plants on the hatching success of fish eggs. Spec. Rep. 32. Chesapeake Bay Institute, The John Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md.
- Schubel, J. 1974. Effects of exposure to time-excess temperature histories typically experienced at power plants on the hatching success of fish eggs. Estuarine and Coastal Mar. Sci. 2(1):105-116.
- Schubel, J.R. and A.H. Auld. 1972a. Thermal effects of a model power plant on the hatching success of alewife (Alosa pseudoharengus) eggs. Spec. Rep. 28. Chesapeake Bay Institute, The John Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md.
- Schubel, J.R. and A.H. Auld. 1972b. Thermal effects of a model power plant on the hatching success of American shad, *Alosa sapidissima*, eggs. S.E. Assoc. Game Fish Comm., Proc. 26:644-648.
- Schubel, J.R. and A.H. Auld. 1973. Effects of exposure to time-excess temperature histories typically experienced at power plants on the hatching success of blueback herring (*Alosa aestivalis*) eggs. Spec. Rep. 31. Chesapeake Bay Institute, The John Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md.
- Schubel, J.R. and A.H. Auld. 1974. Hatching success of blueback herring and striped bass eggs with various time vs. temperature histories. Pages 164-170 in J.W. Gibbons and R.R. Sharitz, eds. Thermal Ecology. AEC Symp. Series, Conf. 730505.
- Schubel, J.R., T.S.Y. Koo, and C.F. Smith. 1976. Thermal effects power plant entrainment on survival of fish eggs and larvae: A laboratory assessment. Spec. Rep. 52. Chesapeake Bay Institute, The John Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md.
- Smigielski, A.S. 1975. Hormone-induced spawning of the summer flounder and rearing of the larvae in the laboratory. Prog. Fish-Cult. 37(1):3-8.



- Smith, C.F., J.R. Schubel, M.P. Greges, N. Itzkowitz, S.J. Di Piero J. Longo, and M.A. Morgan. 1979. Thermal resistance of early life history stages of finfish from Long Island waters. Spec. Rep. 26 of the Marine Sciences Research Center, State University of New York at Stony Brook.
- Smith, R.W. and F.C. Daiber. 1977. Biology of the summer flounder, *Paralchthys dentatus*, in Delaware Bay. Fish. Bull. 75(4):823-830.
- Smith, W.G. 1973. The distribution of summer flounder, Paralichthys dentatus, eggs and larvae on the continental shelf between Cape Cod and Cape Lookout, 1965-66. Fish. Bull. 71(2): 527-548.
- Smith, W.G. and M.P. Fahay. 1970. Description of eggs and larvae of the summer flounder, *Paralichthys dentatus*. U.S. Fish Wild. Serv. Res. Rep. 75.
- Smith, W.G., J.D. Sibunka, and A Wells. 1975. Seasonal distribution of larval flatfishes (Pleuronectiformes) on the continental shelf between Cape Cod, Massachusetts, and Cape Lookout, North Carolina, 1965-66. Dept. of Comm. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS SSRF-691.
- Woodhead, A.D. 1975. Endocrine physiology of fish migration. Pages 287-382 in H. Barnes, ed. Ocean. Mar. Bio. Ann. Rev. 13. George Allen and Unwin Ltd., London.
- Woodhead, A.D. and P.M.J. Woodhead. 1965. Seasonal changes in the physiology of the Barents Sea cod, Gadus morhuaL., in relation to its environment. I. Endocrine changes particularly affecting migration and maturation. Int. Comm. Northwest Atl. Fish. Spec. Publ. No. 6, pp.691-715.
- Yocom, T.G. and T.A. Edsall. 1974. Effects of acclimation temperature and heat shock on vulnerability of fry of lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) to predation. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 31(9):1503-1506.

NUE DATE