## STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER

## CONFIDENTIAL PEER REVIEW DOCUMENT

Procedural/Surgical Evaluation Form

This form is to be completed by the proctor and submitted to the Department Credentials Committee								
Name of practitioner being reviewed:	MRN:	Procedure Date:						
Name of proctor conducting review:	Review Type 🗆 Prospective 🗆	Concurrent 🛛 Retrospective						
Signature of proctor: Date:	Diagnosis:							
Procedure:								
Complications:								

PLEASE ANSWER ALL OF THE FOLLOWING: If the answer to any of the following is "no", please attach an explanation

Yes	No	N/A									
			Was the indication for the procedure appropriate and documented?								
			Was the practitioner's documentation appropriate and informative? If NO,								
				Documentation not present				Documento	tation timed and dated		
	$\rightarrow$			Documentation r	not adequate			Documenta	ation illegible		
			Documentation does not substantiate clinical course & treatment Documentation not timely								
	Was the use of diagnostic services (e.g., lab, x-ray, invasive diagnostic procedures) appropriate?										
			Was the practitioner's proposed procedural technique appropriate?								
			Were the practitioner's contingency plans appropriate?								
			Was	there documentation of site marking/time out?							
			Did t	he pre-operativ	e diagnosis coinci	de with	postoperative findings?				
			Was	postoperative co	are adequate?						
			Was	the operative re	eport complete, a	ccurate	, and timely?				
			Were complications, if any, recognized and managed appropriately?								
			Did the practitioner interact and communicate appropriately with the patient, family and staff?								
						OUTCOME					
			Was there an adverse outcome? If YES, minor adverse outcome (complete recovery expected)								
$\rightarrow$											
,			major adverse outcome (complete recovery NOT expected)								
			🗆 death								
			OVERALL IMPRESSION OF CARE PROVIDED								
	→ Were you comfortable with all aspects of care provided by the practitioner? If NO, attach comments										
			Practitioner's skill & competence 🛛 Acceptable 🗆 Unacceptable 🗅 Unable to evaluate								
Basic	Assa	sment		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A	Basic Assessment	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A	
Basic Assessment Basic medical knowledge			vledge	Carisfactory	Charistactory		Communication skills	Gunstuctory	Charlstactory		
	Technical/Clinical skills						Professionalism				
Clinical judgment							Use of consults			05 /0	
Inte	rperso	onal skil	s							05/01/09	