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#### Abstract

The statistical parallax technique is applied to a sample of 262 RRab Lyrae variables with published photoelectric photometry, metallicities, and radial velocities and with measured absolute proper motions. Hipparcos, PPM, NPM, and the Four-Million Star Catalog (Volchkov et al. 1992) were used as the sources of proper motions; the proper motions from the last three catalogs were reduced to the Hipparcos system. We determine parameters of the velocity distribution for halo $\left[\left(U_{0}, V_{0}, W_{0}\right)=(-9 \pm 12,-214 \pm 10,-16 \pm 7) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right.$ and $\left.\left(\sigma_{U}, \sigma_{V}, \sigma_{W}\right)=(164 \pm 11,105 \pm 7,95 \pm 7) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right]$ and thick-disk $\left[\left(U_{0}, V_{0}, W_{0}\right)=(-16 \pm 8,-41 \pm 7,-18 \pm 5) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right.$ and $\left.\left(\sigma_{U}, \sigma_{V}, \sigma_{W}\right)=(53 \pm 9,42 \pm 8,26 \pm 5) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right]$ RR Lyrae, as well as the intensity-averaged absolute magnitude for RR Lyrae of these populations: $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle=0.77 \pm 0.10$ and $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle=+1.11 \pm 0.28$ for the halo and thickdisk objects, respectively. The metallicity dependence of the absolute magnitude of RR Lyrae is analyzed $\left(\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle=(0.76 \pm 0.12)+(0.26 \pm 0.26) \cdot([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]+1.6)=1.17+0.26 \cdot[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\right)$. Our results are in satisfactory agreement with the $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle(\mathrm{RR})-[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ relation from Carney et al. $(1992)\left(\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle(\mathrm{RR})=1.01+0.15 \cdot[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\right)$ obtained by Baade-Wesselink's method. They provide evidence for a short distance scale: the LMC distance modulus and the distance to the Galactic center are $18.22 \pm 0.11$ and $7.4 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kpc}$, respectively. The zero point of the distance scale and the kinematic parameters of the RR Lyrae populations are shown to be virtually independent of the source of absolute proper motions used and of whether they are reduced to the Hipparcos system or not. © 2001 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica".
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## INTRODUCTION

RR Lyrae variables are among the tools of greatest value for determining the distances to old stellar systems both in our Galaxy and beyond, to globular clusters, Galactic center, and Local-Group galaxies. These stars are so important as one of the distance indicators in the Universe, because they have very similar luminosities [the dispersion of absolute magnitudes of RR Lyraes with the same metal abundance does not exceed $0^{m} .15$ (Sandage 1990)], are easy to discover (because of substantial variability), and can be reliably classified (by low metallicity, period, and the shape of the light curve). Thus the main task to be resolved in order to successfully use these stars to confidently measure the distances is to set the zero point of their distance scale, i.e., determine the mean absolute magnitude of RR Lyraes. Because of its high homogeneity, the subsystem of RR Lyraes in our Galaxy proves to be an ideal object for the application of the statistical parallax technique, which can yield not only the kinematic parameters of a stellar population but also to constrain with high accuracy the mean absolute magnitude of its constituent stars. The latter quantity is one of the fun-

[^0]damental parameters that form the basis of modern distance scale in the Universe.

Almost half a century ago, Pavlovskaya (1953) published her pioneering work where she used for the first time the statistical parallax technique to determine the absolute magnitude of RR Lyrae stars (with a standard error of $\sim 0^{m} .2$ ). Pavlovskaya's result made it necessary to reduce by $\sim 0^{m} .5$ the mean absolute magnitude of RR Lyraes adopted at that time and has led to a radical revision (reduction by a factor of $\sim 1.25$ ) of the then adopted distance scale. Later, as the amount of observational data increased, many other authors applied the statistical parallax technique to RR Lyrae stars (van Herk 1965; Hemenway 1975; Clube and Dawe 1980a; Hawley et al. 1986; Strugnell et al. 1986; Layden et al. 1996; Popowski and Gould 1998a, 1998b; Fernley et al. 1998, and Tsujimoto et al. 1998), and, in spite of some scatter in the results obtained, the latter invariably favored the short distance scale. A number of authors tried to increase the accuracy of the method. To this end, they abandoned numerous simplifying assumptions by applying the maximum likelihood method (Rigal 1958; Heck and Lakaye 1978; Clube and Dawe 1980a). However, only Hawley et al. (1986) and Strugnell et al. (1986) were the first to use this technique correctly. The latter two groups used the rigorous algorithm of multi-
dimensional minimization, also referred to as the simplex method and based on the method of Nedler and Mead (1965). Layden (1994) and Layden et al. (1996) used both their own observational material and the data by other authors to increase substantially the number of RR Lyrae stars with known metallicities, magnitudes, radial velocities, and proper motions. The above authors increased substantially the quality of the material used and applied the statistical parallax technique to the sample thus obtained (Layden et al. 1996). These efforts allowed the accuracy of both the inferred kinematical parameters of RR Lyrae type star population and the mean absolute magnitude of these stars to be increased: the standard error of the latter has been reduced to $0{ }^{m} .12$. The result of Layden et al. (1996) was recently slightly refined by Popovski and Gould (1998a) (who used the same observational data) and also by Gould and Popovski (1998), Fernley et al. (1998), and Tsujimoto et al. (1998) [the latter three works made use of the proper motions measured by the Hipparcos satellite (ESA 1997)]. Note that all works published before Layden et al. (1996) used relative proper motions of RR Lyraes exclusively absolutized via statistical methods. The results thus obtained (especially, the kinematic parameters) could therefore depend on the assumptions used in absolutization (in particular, those concerning the kinematics of the reference stars). However, even Layden et al. (1996) were forced to use relative proper motions to obtain a balanced sample, because their main source of absolute proper motions-the NPM1 catalog (Klemola et al. 1993)-contains neither southern-sky stars (located south of $\delta=-23^{\circ}$ ) nor stars located near the Galactic equator.

In recent years, the Astrometry Division of the Sternberg Astronomical Institute has created the Four-Million Catalog of positions and proper motions of stars (Volchkov et al. 1992), hereafter referred to as the 4M catalog for the sake of brevity. The proper motions of more than four million stars in this catalog were determined from the differences of coordinates given in the Astrographic Catalogue (Carte du Ciel) and the Hubble Space Telescope Guide Star Catalogue, and then reduced to the proper-motion system of the PPM cata$\log$ (Roser et al. 1991). Below, we give the reasons why it has become necessary, in our opinion, to apply once more the statistical parallax technique to RR Lyrae stars in order to further refine the mean absolute magnitude of these objects.

First, the 4 M catalog gives us the so far largest sample ( 236 objects) of RR(ab) Lyraes for which the proper motions determined in a homogeneous system are available along with photoelectric magnitudes, metallicities, and radial velocities. Note for comparison that Layden et al. (1996) obtained their results from a sample of 213 stars using proper motions adopted from two sources (NPM1 catalog for 171 stars and a compilation of statistically absolutized proper motions for 52 stars).

Second, the 4M catalog, which covers the entire sky, makes it possible to abandon completely the use of statistically absolutized proper motions.

Third, we can now estimate to what degree the results obtained via statistical parallaxes depend on the nature and source of the adopted proper motions: statistically absolutized proper motions, absolute proper motions computed on a reference frame defined by distant galaxies (NPM1 catalog), absolute proper motions measured on board the Hipparcos satellite on a short time interval ( $\sim 3$ years), and absolute proper motions from the 4M catalog determined from two to four photographic positions spanning a time interval of $\sim 100$ years.

Fourth, the Hipparcos catalog (ESA 1997), which contains almost 120000 stars with high-precision coordinates and proper motions allows an inertial reference frame and the corresponding system of proper motions to be determined with high accuracy. The latter can be used to reduce the proper motions adopted from other catalogs and to subsequently average the reduced proper motions in order build the so far largest and at the same time homogeneous sample of RR Lyraes with known metallicities, kinematic, and photometric (photoelectric) parameters.

## THE METHOD

The essence of the statistical parallax technique consists in balancing the mean kinematic parameters of a stellar group as inferred from radial velocities and from the velocity vector components perpendicular to the line of sight, i.e., in the end, from the components of proper motion. The point is that the line-of-sight velocity component (i.e., radial velocity) is obtained directly from observations, whereas the components perpendicular to the line of sight are determined by multiplying the corresponding proper-motion components by a provisional distance to the star (usually the photometric distance determined from the observed magnitude, interstellar extinction, and an assumed absolute magnitude) and are therefore directly proportional to the adopted distance scale. This fundamental difference between the line-of-sight component of the full velocity vector and the two components perpendicular to it allows a correction factor to the adopted distance scale of objects under study to be estimated. The optimum factor is considered to be the value that ensures the best agreement of the inferred kinematic parameters with both observed radial velocities and proper motions of stars.

In this paper, we use the modern, most rigorous version of the statistical parallax technique, whose detailed description can be found in Murray's (1986) book (see page 284) and in the paper by Hawley et al. (1986). This method consists in simultaneous determination of the set of kinematic parameters (the vector of the full space velocity and the components of the velocity dispersion tensor) and the correction factor to the initial
distance scale to maximize the likelihood of the actual combination of the observed data (coordinates, relative distances, radial velocities, and proper motions).

## INITIAL DATA <br> Metallicities, Radial Velocities, and Photometry

Our primary sources of metallicities ( $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ ) and radial velocities of RR Lyrae stars were the papers of Layden (1994) and Layden et al. (1996), which we supplemented by the data recently published by Fernley and Barnes (1997), Solano et al. (1997), and Fernley et al. (1998). We used the metallicities from the latter three papers only for the stars absent from Layden's lists [which provides the greatest $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ data set for field RR Lyraes homogeneously reduced to a single abundance scale that matches the globular-cluster metallicity scale of Zinn and West (1984)]. We reduced the corresponding data to the system adopted by Layden using the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]_{\text {Layden }}=[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]_{\text {Fernley and Solano }}-0.16 \pm 0.13 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

derived from 33 common stars.
As the main sources of radial velocities, we, on the contrary, used the lists of Fernley et al. (1998) and Solano et al. (1997), because the corresponding data are more accurate (they rely on original measurements and all earlier published data), supplementing them with the data adopted from Layden et al. (1996) and Layden (1994) for the stars lacking in the two lists mentioned above. Depending on the completeness of observational data available, the above authors determined the mean radial velocities by integrating radial-velocity curves, simple averaging of the measurements of different authors, or just gave the individual radial-velocity measurements (in the cases where only one measurement was available for the star). The resulting radial velocities have errors of less than $5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for 97 stars, from 6 to $10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for 62 stars, from 11 to $15 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for 38 stars, and only 65 stars have their radial velocities measured with errors exceeding $15 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (the maximum error is $53 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ).

Our main source of intensity-mean $\langle V\rangle$ magnitudes was the paper by Fernley et al. (1998), whose initial data were photometric observations taken onboard Hipparcos satellite and then reduced to the scale of $V$ magnitudes of the Johnson system. Following Gould and Popovski (1998), for the remaining stars we used first the $\langle V\rangle$ values inferred using Layden's (1994) technique from the data by Bookmeyer et al. (1977), Schmidt (1991), Schmidt et al. (1995, 1996), and Layden (1993, 1997), whose photometric systems coincide with that of Fernley et al. (1998). In addition, we used the $\langle V\rangle$ magnitudes inferred by Layden et al. (1996) from the photometry by Clube and Dawe (1980b). We transformed the latter to the system of Fernley et al. (1998) using the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle V\rangle_{\text {Ferrley et al. }}=\left(\langle V\rangle_{\text {Clube and Dawe }}-0.146\right) / 0.983 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[see formula (6) of Gould and Popovski (1998)]. Thus, unlike Layden et al. (1996), we did not reduce the magnitudes of RR Lyraes to the system of Clube and Dawe (1980b), because Gould and Popowski (1998) found that it differs systematically not only from the magnitude scale of Bookmeyer et al. (1977), Schmidt (1991), Schmidt et al. (1995), and Schmidt and Seth (1996) [as noted by Layden et al. (1996)], but also from that of Fernley et al. (1998), whereas the magnitude scales of all above authors except Clube and Dawe (1980b) are in excellent agreement with each other. Finally, we left unchanged the $\langle V\rangle$ magnitudes of the remaining stars adopted from Layden (1994). Note that four of these stars have their $\langle V\rangle$ magnitudes determined via a careful recalibration of high-precision photographic photometry [see Layden (1997)], and those of 11 stars were determined by Layden (1994) by recomputing the intensity-mean photographic magnitudes whose photometric systems are rather unclear and must therefore be of not too high an accuracy. We included these stars into our sample, because they were used by Layden et al. (1996), who argue that the magnitudes of all RR Lyraes in their list have been reduced to the scale of photoelectric $\langle V\rangle$ magnitudes of the Johnson system. In any case, the number of these stars is too small (they make up about $4 \%$ of the entire sample) to distort the results substantially [see a discussion in Gould and Popowski (1998)].

## Interstellar Extinction

It is well known that to correctly determine a photometric distance to a star, one must know not only its apparent and absolute magnitudes but also interstellar extinction in the photometric passband used ( $A_{V}$ in our case). We adopted $A_{V}=3.1 E_{(B-V)}$ values from Fernley et al. (1998), Layden (1994), and Layden et al. (1996), who determined most of them using HI absorption maps of Burstein and Heiles (1982) (for stars located more than $10^{\circ}$ from the Galactic equator).

## Proper Motions

The aim of this paper is to determine the kinematic parameters of RR(ab) Lyrae type stars based on the largest sample available and to compare the results obtained using proper motions adopted from different catalogs. That is why we tried to use all mass sources of absolute proper motions available to us. These are, first and foremost, the 4 M catalog of positions and proper motions (Volchkov et al. 1992), including its reference catalog PPM (Roser et al. 1991). The second source is the recently released Hipparcos catalog, which contains the proper motions of about 118000 stars. The proper motions in this catalog were determined from three-yearlong high-precision position observations, and their standard errors (for the RR Lyraes included in the catalog) are on the average equal to 0 ". $002-0$ ". $003 /$ year. Our third source was the NPM1 catalog (Klemola et al. 1993;

Hanson 1994), which contains about 149000 stars north of declination $-23^{\circ}$ (except for the region of low Galactic latitudes, $|b|<10^{\circ}$ ). The proper motions of stars in this catalog were determined in a frame referred to the positions of about 50000 faint galaxies and are therefore completely independent of the proper motions of the Hipparcos and 4M catalogs.

Note, however, that the proper motions in the above catalogs have been determined using different techniques and can therefore differ systematically from each other. Therefore, before applying the statistical parallax technique to the combined sample, we must reduce all proper motions to a single system. As was noted above, we consider the Hipparcos catalog to define the most accurate proper motion system of the three catalogs, and we therefore used it as a reference to reduce the proper motions from the other two catalogs (NPM1 and 4M + PPM).

We reduced the proper motions adopted from the 4M and its reference catalog PPM to the Hipparcos system assuming that within small areas (of radius $2^{\circ}$ ) in the vicinity of each program star the systematic differences $\Delta \mu_{\alpha}=\mu_{\alpha(\text { Hipparcos })}-\mu_{\alpha(4 \mathrm{M})}$ for the stars common to both catalogs depend linearly on coordinates $\alpha$ and $\delta$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \mu_{\alpha} & =a_{\alpha}+b_{\alpha} \Delta \alpha+c_{\alpha} \Delta \delta,  \tag{3}\\
\Delta \mu_{\delta} & =\alpha_{\delta}+b_{\delta} \Delta \alpha+c_{\delta} \Delta \delta, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta \alpha=\alpha(*)-\alpha(\mathrm{st})$ and $\Delta \delta=\delta(*)-\delta(\mathrm{st})$ are the differences of the coordinates of a star in the vicinity of the program star $(*)$ and the program star proper (st). We determined parameters $a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha}, a_{\delta}, b_{\delta}$, and $c_{\delta}$ using the least squares method based on all stars (common to the Hipparcos and 4 M catalogs) within a circle of radius $2^{\circ}$ centered on the program star (except for the program star itself even if it is included in the Hipparcos catalog). We then determined the reduced propermotion components as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{\alpha(\mathrm{Red})}=\mu_{\alpha(4 \mathrm{M})}+a_{\alpha},  \tag{5}\\
& \mu_{\delta(\mathrm{Red})}=\mu_{\delta(4 \mathrm{M})}+a_{\delta} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Unfortunately, this technique cannot be used to reduce the proper motions adopted from NPM1. The point is that this catalog contains only about 13000 stars in common with the Hipparcos catalog of which less than 4000 (3760) are fainter than $V=9^{m} .6$, the magnitude of the brightest RR Lyrae star in the NPM1 catalog. Using brighter stars to reduce the proper motions is undesirable because of a rather strong magnitude equation (no such problem exists in the case of the reduction of the proper motions from the 4 M catalog, because its intersection with the Hipparcos list consists almost exclusively of the stars from the reference catalog PPM used to derive the proper motions of the 4 M catalog). That is why we had to use a different technique to reduce the proper motions from the NPM1 catalog. We analyzed how the differences of proper-motion compo-


Fig. 1. The proper-motion component difference for stars fainter than $V=9^{m} .6$ and common to the NPM1 and Hipparcos catalogs as a function of right ascension, $\alpha_{2000.0}$.
nents of stars fainter than $V=9^{m} .6$ and common to the Hipparcos and NPM1 catalogs depend on the position on the sky. We found (see Figs. 1, 2) $\mu_{\alpha}$ to depend systematically on declination $\delta$ in the $\delta<-7^{\circ}$ region and found no systematic dependence of $\mu_{\alpha}$ on either coordinate and $\mu_{\delta}$ on $\alpha$. We therefore did not correct the NPM1 proper-motion components in right ascension and determined the corrections to $\mu_{\delta}$ as arithmetic means of $\mu_{\delta(\text { Hipparcos })}-\mu_{\delta(\text { NPM1) }}$ to the stars common to the NPM1 and Hipparcos catalogs, fainter than $V=9^{m} .6$, and located within the declination interval from $\delta(*)-0.25^{\circ}$ to $\delta(*)+0.25^{\circ}$. We then determined the reduced values as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{\alpha(\text { Red })}=\mu_{\alpha(\text { NPM1 })},  \tag{7}\\
\mu_{\delta(\text { Red })}=\mu_{\delta(\text { NPM1) }}  \tag{8}\\
+\left\langle\mu_{\delta(\text { Hipparcos })}-\mu_{\delta(\text { NPM1 })}\right\rangle .
\end{gather*}
$$



Fig. 2. The same as Fig. 1 but for declination $\delta_{2000.0}$.

## Errors of Proper Motions

To apply statistical parallax technique, we must not only know the proper-motion components but also correctly estimate (in the statistical sense) the standard errors of the latter. Of all sources mentioned above, confident individual estimates of the standard errors of proper motions are available only for the stars in the Hipparcos catalog. At the same time, only mean errors averaged over the entire catalog are available for NPM1 and 4 M . However, the random errors in the propermotion components given by these catalogs can and are likely to be magnitude dependent, and the mean standard error can therefore depend on the magnitude distribution of the sample considered. And this distribution for the RR Lyraes in a certain catalog can differ substantially from the magnitude distribution for the entire catalog. Moreover, even if we adopt the standard errors of the proper motions quoted by the catalog authors, these errors can characterize only the nonreduced proper motions and in the general case tell nothing about the accuracy of the corresponding reduced
motions. In view of the above, we estimated the standard errors of proper motions as follows. We determine only the mean (i.e., not individual) errors for each particular catalog averaged over the corresponding subset of our combined sample of RR Lyraes. To this end, we determine (using the stars common to the Hipparcos and the catalog considered) the root mean square differences of proper-motion components $\sigma\left(\mu_{\alpha(\mathrm{Hip})}-\mu_{\alpha(\text { Cat) }}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(\mu_{\delta(\mathrm{Hip})}-\mu_{\delta(\mathrm{Cat})}\right)$, and then assume that the propermotion errors of the two catalogs are independent to obtain the following error estimates for the catalog under study:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma\left(\mu_{\alpha(\text { Cat })}\right)^{2} & =\left\langle\left(\mu_{\alpha(\text { Hipparcos })}-\mu_{\alpha(\text { Cat })}\right)^{2}\right\rangle  \tag{9}\\
& -\left\langle\sigma\left(\mu_{\alpha(\text { Hipparcos })}\right)^{2}\right\rangle, \\
\sigma\left(\mu_{\delta(\text { Cat })}\right)^{2} & =\left\langle\left(\mu_{\delta(\text { Hipparcos })}-\mu_{\delta(\text { Cat })}\right)^{2}\right\rangle  \tag{10}\\
& -\left\langle\sigma\left(\mu_{\delta(\text { Hipparcos })}\right)^{2}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Here we substituted $\sigma\left(\mu_{\alpha(\text { Hipparcos })}-\mu_{\alpha(\text { Cat })}\right)^{2}$ and $\sigma\left(\mu_{\delta(\text { Hipparcos })}-\mu_{\delta(\text { Catt })}\right)^{2}$ for $\left\langle\left(\mu_{\alpha(\text { Hipparcos })}-\mu_{\alpha(\text { Cat) })}\right)^{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\left(\mu_{\delta(\text { Hipparcos })}-\mu_{\delta(\text { Cat })}\right)^{2}\right\rangle$, respectively, to allow for eventual zero offsets.

Table 1 gives the standard errors of (nonreduced and reduced) proper-motion components of the NPM1 and 4M catalogs. It is evident from this table that our reduction indeed improved the initial proper motions (the errors of reduced motions are lower than those of the nonreduced motions).

## Averaged Proper Motions

We seem to have all grounds to believe that the reduced proper motions of stars common to the 4 M and NPM1 catalogs are independent of each other and of the proper motions of the Hipparcos catalog. We therefore considered it possible to determine the weighted averages of $\mu_{\alpha}$ and $\mu_{\delta}$ and the corresponding covariance matrix for the stars with proper motions available from several sources. We computed the resulting covariance matrix taking into account the correlation between the errors of $\mu_{\alpha}$ and $\mu_{\delta}$ given in the Hipparcos catalog and assuming the corresponding correlation coefficients to be zero for the other two catalogs. As a result, we obtained a combined sample of RR Lyraes containing a total of 262 stars.

## INITIAL DISTANCES: DISK AND HALO STARS

Layden (1995) and Layden et al. (1996) showed that the kinematic population of RR Lyraes in our Galaxy breaks conspicuously into two subclasses: halo and thick-disk stars. At first approximation, the two subclasses can be separated by the metallicity value $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=$ -1.0 : the overwhelming majority of more metal-deficient stars exhibit a kinematic behavior typical of the objects of the Galactic halo, whereas the kinematics of
more metal-rich stars is more similar to that of the Galactic disk.

Following Layden et al. (1996), we subdivided our sample into the halo and thick-disk subsamples in three ways using three definitions of the disk and halo (by metallicity and velocity components). To this end, we computed velocity components $U, V$, and $W$ in the Cartesian Galactic system with allowance for the solar motion relative to the Local Standard of Rest $(+9,+12$, and +7 ) $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (Mihalas and Binney 1981) and the rotation of the Sun around the Galactic center [ $220 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, Kerr and Lynden-Bell (1986)], and transformed them into the velocity components in the Galactocentric cylindrical system $\left(V_{R} V_{\theta} V_{Z}\right)$. To transform the proper motions into the space-velocity components, we used the provisional star distances computed using the abso-lute-magnitude calibration $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle(\mathrm{RR})-[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ of $\mathrm{Car}-$ ney et al. (1992):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle(\mathrm{RR})=1.01+0.15[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then followed the procedure described in Table 2, which gives modified definitions of the halo and disk subsamples from Layden et al. (1996) (see Table 3 in that paper). (We consider RW Col and IK Hya to be halo stars based on their space velocities, although they formally match D-1 and D-3 criteria of the paper mentioned above, and thus add them to AO Peg and FU Vir as exceptions to the general rule.)

## Final Sample

Our final sample of RR Lyrae stars consists of 262 stars for which all five types of initial data, proper motions, radial velocities, metallicities, interstellar extinction, and photoelectric or CCD intensity-mean $\langle V\rangle$ magnitudes, are available. Our list thus contains substantially more stars than any other sample previously used to this end. Moreover, the proper motions from the 4 M catalog allowed us to do without statistically absolutized proper motions.

Table $3^{1}$ gives the list of the 262 RR Lyraes used in this paper together with their parameters. The latter include the accurate equatorial coordinates for the epoch and equinox of J2000.0; proper-motion components in right ascension and declination adopted from the Hipparcos (with the corresponding standard errors and the correlation coefficient), $4 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{PPM}$, and NPM1 catalogs; the $4 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{PPM}$ and NPM1 proper-motion components reduced to the Hipparcos system; inten-sity-mean $\langle V\rangle$ magnitudes; $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$; and mean radial velocities and their standard errors. For three stars AE Dra, BD Dra, and BK Eri, we adopted the NPM1 proper motions refined by Layden et al. (1996). Finally, we excluded from our sample a number of stars that are classified as RR Lyraes in the GCVS, but must actually

[^1]Table 1. Standard errors of the proper motions estimated from stars common with the Hipparcos catalog

| Catalog | Errors of nonreduced proper <br> motions, 0."001/year |  | Errors of reduced proper <br> motions, 0"001/year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sigma\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)$ | $\sigma\left(\mu_{\delta}\right)$ | $\sigma\left(\mu_{\alpha(\text { Red })}\right)$ | $\sigma\left(\mu_{\delta(\mathrm{Red})}\right)$ |
| PPM | 6.34 | 5.97 | 4.62 | 5.35 |
| 4M | 5.95 | 7.40 | 5.15 | 5.92 |
| NPM1 | 5.40 | 7.15 | 5.40 | 6.30 |

Table 2. Definitions of disk and halo RR Lyrae subsamples

| Definition | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| Disk-1 | All stars with $V_{\theta}>-400 \cdot[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]-300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ except <br> AO Peg, FU Vir, RW Col, and IK Hya <br> All other stars |
| Halo-1 | All stars with $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \geq-1.0 V_{\theta}>80 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ except <br> AO Peg <br> Halo-2 |
| All other stars |  |

be of different variability types [see comments to Table 3 of this paper and to Table 1 of Fernley et al. (1998)].

## RESULTS

We first applied the statistical parallax technique described above to nine samples of Galactic halo RR Lyraes that differ from each other by the source of proper motions (Hipparcos, NPM1, and 4M + PPM) and the method of separation of halo and thick-disk stars. Table 4 gives the resulting parameters for the RR Lyrae samples thus defined. Column 1 gives the name of the halo sample according to the definition in Table 2; column 2, the source (catalog) of proper motions; column 3, the number of stars in the sample; column 4, the mean $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ averaged over the sample; columns 5 to 7 , the mean velocity components, $U_{0}, V_{0}$, and $W_{0}$ (in the direction to the Galactic center, Galactic rotation, and North Galactic Pole, respectively); columns 8 to 10, the corresponding diagonal components of the velocity dispersion tensor, $\sigma_{U}, \sigma_{V}$, and $\sigma_{W}$ [we assume the nondiagonal components to be equal to zero; see Layden et al. (1996)]; column 11, the inferred correction to the initial mean absolute magnitude $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ given by formula (11); column 12, the mean absolute magnitude $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ at $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-1.60$; and column 13, the corresponding LMC distance modulus. Columns 5 to 13 also give the standard errors of the corresponding parameters for each sample. The results obtained for different samples can be seen to agree excellently with each other: the inferred parameters are virtually independent of both the adopted criterion of halo and disk separation [this result agrees with that of Layden et al. (1996)] and the

Table 4. Kinematic parameters of the subsystem of halo RR Lyraes inferred using nonreduced proper motions

| Sample | Catalog | $N$ | $\langle[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\rangle$ | $\begin{gathered} U_{0}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{0}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{0}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{U}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{V^{\prime}} \\ \mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{W} \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ | $\begin{gathered} \left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle \\ (-1.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DM } \\ (\mathrm{LMC}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halo-1 | Hipparcos | 100 | $-1.568$ | $\begin{aligned} & -19 \\ & \pm 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -217 \\ \pm 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -8 \\ \pm 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 167 \\ & \pm 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 106 \\ \pm 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ \pm 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.08 \\ & \pm 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.69 \\ & \pm 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.30 \\ \pm 0.15 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-2 | Hipparcos | 101 | $-1.558$ | $\begin{aligned} & -17 \\ & \pm 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -213 \\ \pm 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -9 \\ \pm 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166 \\ & \pm 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ \pm 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97 \\ \pm 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.07 \\ & \pm 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.70 \\ & \pm 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.29 \\ \pm 0.15 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-3 | Hipparcos | 95 | $-1.572$ | $\begin{aligned} & -18 \\ & \pm 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -222 \\ \pm 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -9 \\ \pm 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 171 \\ \pm 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106 \\ & \pm 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ \pm 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.08 \\ & \pm 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.69 \\ & \pm 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.30 \\ \pm 0.16 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-1 | $4 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{PPM}$ | 185 | -1.592 | $\begin{array}{r} -4 \\ \pm 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -206 \\ \pm 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -17 \\ \pm 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 170 \\ \pm 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.02 \\ & \pm 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.79 \\ & \pm 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.20 \\ \pm 0.12 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-2 | 4M + PPM | 196 | $-1.564$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4 \\ \pm 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -198 \\ \pm 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -18 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 165 \\ \pm 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.02 \\ & \pm 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.79 \\ & \pm 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.20 \\ \pm 0.12 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-3 | 4M + PPM | 179 | -1.600 | $\begin{array}{r} -5 \\ \pm 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -209 \\ \pm 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -18 \\ \pm 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 172 \\ \pm 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ \pm 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ \pm 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.03 \\ & \pm 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.80 \\ & \pm 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.19 \\ \pm 0.13 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-1 | NPM1 | 134 | -1.593 | $\begin{array}{r} -8 \\ \pm 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -204 \\ \pm 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -13 \\ \pm 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159 \\ & \pm 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101 \\ \pm 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \pm 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.04 \\ & \pm 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.81 \\ & \pm 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.18 \\ \pm 0.14 \end{gathered}$ |
| Halo-2 | NPM1 | 139 | -1.589 | $\begin{array}{r} -9 \\ \pm 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -195 \\ \pm 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -14 \\ \pm 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 157 \\ \pm 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ \pm 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.04 \\ & \pm 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.81 \\ & \pm 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.18 \\ \pm 0.14 \end{gathered}$ |
| Halo-3 | NPM1 | 184 | $-1.593$ | $\begin{array}{r} -7 \\ \pm 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -207 \\ \pm 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -13 \\ \pm 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 160 \\ \pm 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ \pm 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ \pm 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.05 \\ & \pm 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.82 \\ & \pm 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.17 \\ \pm 0.15 \end{array}$ |

proper-motion source used (which we consider to be a fact of greater or at least equal importance).

We then applied the statistical parallax technique to the six RR Lyrae samples with reduced proper motions [two sources ( $4 \mathrm{M}+$ PPM and NPM1 catalogs) and three halo criteria (the proper motions from the Hipparcos cata$\log )$ ], naturally, were not reduced. The corresponding results are summarized in Table 5, which has the same layout as Table 4. Again, the inferred parameters can be seen to agree well with those based on Hipparcos data. Moreover, as one would expect, the agreement is even better than in the case when nonreduced proper motions were used. This result serves to justify the averaging of proper motions from the three catalogs considered (naturally, with weights inversely proportional to the squared errors of the corresponding values) in order to build a combined RR Lyrae sample consisting of a total of 262 stars with absolute proper motions on the Hipparcos system. We subdivided this sample, too, into halo and thick-disk subsamples using three criteria from Layden et al. (1996) in a slightly modified form (see Table 2). We then applied statistical parallax technique to this combined sample to infer three (most accurate) sets of parameter values for both the halo and the thick-disk RR Lyrae populations. The results thus obtained are given in Table 6, whose layout, too, is identical to that of Table 4, except for the second part, which gives the results for the thick-disk RR Lyrae samples: the corresponding absolute magnitudes $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ refer not to $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-1.60$ but to the mean $\langle[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\rangle$ for the corresponding sample as given in column 3 . Based on the same
reasons as Layden et al. (1996), we consider the results obtained using Halo-3 sample to be most representative of the halo population. However, we prefer the Halo-2 sample solution as a more justified option in the case of the thick-disk population, because the corresponding sample is least "contaminated" by halo stars. We thus finally adopt $\left(U_{0}, V_{0}, W_{0}\right)=(-9 \pm 12,-214 \pm 10,-16 \pm 7) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, $\left(\sigma_{U}, \sigma_{V}, \sigma_{W}\right)=(164 \pm 11,105 \pm 7,95 \pm 7) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, and $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle=0.77 \pm 0.10$ for halo objects (201 stars) and $\left(U_{0}, V_{0}, W_{0}\right)=(-16 \pm 8,-41 \pm 67,-18 \pm 5) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, $\left(\sigma_{U}, \sigma_{V}, \sigma_{W}\right)=(53 \pm 9,42 \pm 8,26 \pm 5) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, and $\left\langle M_{y}\right\rangle=+1.11 \pm 0.28$ for thick-disk objects ( 46 stars), which agrees very well with the results of Layden et al. (1996).

## $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}])$ RELATION

Our list is superior in size to all other RR Lyrae samples previously used and it must therefore allow the statistical parallax technique to be used for the first time to obtain confident conclusions about the behavior of the heavy-element abundance dependence of RR Lyrae luminosities. The previous such attempt by Layden et al. (1996) failed: these authors found $\Delta\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle / \Delta[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=$ $+0.09 \pm 0.38$. We subdivided the Halo-2 sample from Table 6 into four approximately equal subsamples with mean metallicities $\langle[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\rangle_{1}=-2.02,\langle[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\rangle_{2}=-1.53$, $\langle[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\rangle_{3}=-1.43$, and $\langle[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\rangle_{4}=-1.17$, and applied the statistical parallax technique to each of them. The results are summarized in Table 7. We thus obtained four halo RR Lyrae absolute-magnitude estimates at four metallicity values. We now add to them the $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$

Table 5. Kinematic parameters of the subsystem of halo RR Lyraes inferred using proper motions reduced to the Hipparcos system

| Sample | Catalog; | $N$ | <[Fe/H]> | $\underset{\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{U_{0}}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{0}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{0},{ }_{2} \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{\sigma_{\text {, }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{V},{ }^{\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{\sigma_{W}}$ | $\Delta\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ | $\begin{gathered} \left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle \\ (-1.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DM} \\ (\mathrm{LMC}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halo-1 | $4 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{PPM}$ | 187 | -1.588 | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \\ & \pm 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -206 \\ \pm 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -16 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166 \\ & \pm 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & \pm 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ \pm 0.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.77 \\ & \pm 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.22 \\ \pm 0.12 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-2 | 4M + PPM | 194 | -1.573 | $\begin{array}{r} -9 \\ \pm 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -199 \\ \pm 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -18 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164 \\ \pm 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \\ & \pm 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.00 \\ & \pm 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.77 \\ & \pm 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 18.22 \\ \pm 0.12 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-3 | 4M + PPM | 176 | -1.605 | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \\ & \pm 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -213 \\ \pm 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -17 \\ \pm 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 171 \\ & \pm 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ \pm 0.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.77 \\ & \pm 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.22 \\ \pm 0.12 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-1 | NPM1 | 136 | $-1.596$ | $\begin{array}{r} -7 \\ \pm 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -204 \\ \pm 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -16 \\ \pm 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 158 \\ \pm 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ \pm 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \pm 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.04 \\ & \pm 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.81 \\ & \pm 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.18 \\ \pm 0.14 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-2 | NPM1 | 138 | -1.593 | $\begin{array}{r} -6 \\ \pm 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -197 \\ \pm 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -16 \\ \pm 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 156 \\ & \pm 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ \pm 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ \pm 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.06 \\ & \pm 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.83 \\ & \pm 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.16 \\ \pm 0.14 \end{array}$ |
| Halo-3 | NPM1 | 130 | $-1.610$ | $\begin{array}{r} -6 \\ \pm 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -208 \\ \pm 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -16 \\ \pm 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 161 \\ \pm 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ \pm 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & \pm 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.04 \\ & \pm 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.81 \\ & \pm 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.18 \\ \pm 0.15 \end{array}$ |

value obtained for thick-disk RR Lyraes (see solution Disk-2 in Table 6) to make up a total of five data points on the $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]-\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ diagram (see Table 7 and Fig. 3), which yield the following least-squares fit:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle=(0.76 \pm 0.12)  \tag{12}\\
+(0.26 \pm 0.26) \cdot([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]+1.6)
\end{gather*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle=1.17 \pm 0.26 \cdot[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is, on the whole, consistent with formula (11) derived for field RR Lyraes using the Baade-Wesseling technique (Carney et al. 1992). We inferred the slope of the $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\left(\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle\right)$ relation one and a half times more accurately than Layden et al. (1996), although, unfortunately, the error remains too high.

## DISTANCE SCALE

As was noted above, the mean absolute magnitude of RR Lyrae type stars forms one of the bases of the distance scale in the Universe and can be used, in particular, to measure the distances to the LMC and the Galactic center, because both objects contain RR Lyraes.

## The Distance to the LMC

If applied to photometric observations of RR Lyraes in seven globular clusters in the LMC (Walker 1992), our calibration of the zero point of the $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\left(\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle\right)$ relation (Halo-2 in Table 6: $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-1.60)=$ $+0.77 \pm 0.10$ ) yields an LMC distance modulus of $D M_{\text {LMC }}=18.22 \pm 0.11$, which is in excellent agreement with the result of Layden et al. (1996) and the value of $18.25 \pm 0.05$ (Berdnikov et al. 1996) obtained using the period-luminosity relation for classical Cepheids (in terms of the short distance scale).

## The Distance to the Galactic Center

Layden et al. (1996) applied their calibration of the $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\left(\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle\right)$ relationship to the data of Walker and Mack (1988) to infer a distance of $R_{0}=7.6 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{kpc}$ to the Galactic center. Our $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ is $0{ }^{m} .06$ fainter than that obtained by Layden et al. (1996) and somewhat more accurate, and we therefore find $R_{0}=7.4 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kpc}$, which agrees excellently with the estimates inferred from (1) the rotation-curve analysis of classical Cepheids based on the short distance scale $\left[R_{0}=7.1 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kpc}\right.$ (Dambis et al. 1995)]; (2) the rotation-curve analysis of a more extensive sample of young Galactic objects, also based on the short distance scale $\left[R_{0}=7.3 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{kpc}\right.$ (Glushkova et al. (1998)]; and (3) the only available


Fig. 3. Metallicity $([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}])$ dependence of the mean absolute magnitude $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ of RR Lyraes. Dots are the values inferred using statistical parallax technique. Solid and dashed lines are the relation of Carney et al. (1992) [formula (11)] and linear least squares fit to our results [formula (13)], respectively.

Table 6. Kinematic parameters of the subsystem of halo RR Lyraes inferred using proper motions reduced to the Hipparcos system and averaged over three catalogs

| Sample | $N$ | $\langle[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\rangle$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{U_{0}}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{0}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{0}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{\sigma_{U}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{V} \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{\sigma_{W}}$ | $\Delta\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ | $\begin{gathered} \left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle \\ (-1.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DM } \\ (\mathrm{LMC}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halo-1 | 211 | $-1.574$ | -9 | -208 | -15 | 161 | 106 | 93 | -0.01 | +0.76 | 18.23 |
|  |  |  | $\pm 11$ | $\pm 10$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 10$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 6$ | $\pm 0.10$ | $\pm 0.10$ | $\pm 0.11$ |
| Halo-2 | 216 | $-1.565$ | -8 | -202 | -15 | 158 | 109 | 92 | +0.01 | +0.78 | 18.21 |
|  |  |  | $\pm 11$ | $\pm 10$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 10$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 6$ | $\pm 0.10$ | $\pm 0.10$ | $\pm 0.11$ |
| Halo-3 | 201 | $-1.585$ | -9 | -214 | -16 | 164 | 105 | 95 | 0.00 | +0.77 | 18.22 |
|  |  |  | $\pm 12$ | $\pm 10$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 11$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 0.10$ | $\pm 0.10$ | $\pm 0.11$ |
| Sample | N | $\langle[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\rangle$ | $\begin{gathered} U_{0}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{0}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{0}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{\sigma_{U}}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{\sigma_{V}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{W}, \\ \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle(\langle[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\rangle)$ |  |
| Disk-1 | 51 | -0.622 | -12 | -34 | -16 | 47 | 35 | 24 | +0.40 | +1.35 |  |
|  |  |  | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 6$ | $\pm 4$ | $\pm 8$ | $\pm 6$ | $\pm 5$ | $\pm 0.28$ | $\pm 0.28$ |  |
| Disk-2 | 46 | $-0.560$ | -16 | -41 | -18 | 53 | 42 | 26 | +0.16 | +1.11 |  |
|  |  |  | $\pm 8$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 5$ | $\pm 9$ | $\pm 8$ | $\pm 5$ | $\pm 0.28$ | $\pm 0.28$ |  |
| Disk-3 | 61 | $-0.740$ | -12 | -49 | -17 | 54 | 47 | 26 | -0.02 | +0.92 |  |
|  |  |  | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 4$ | $\pm 9$ | $\pm 7$ | $\pm 5$ | $\pm 0.23$ | $\pm 0.24$ |  |

Table 7. Kinematic parameters of the subsystems of halo RR Lyraes with different metallicities (subsamples of Halo-2 sample) inferred using proper motions reduced to the Hipparcos system and averaged over three catalogs

| $\min ([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}])$ | $\max ([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}])$ | $\langle[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\rangle$ | $N$ | $U_{0}, \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $V_{0}, \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $W_{0}, \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\sigma_{U}, \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\sigma_{V}, \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\sigma_{W}, \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\Delta\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -2.84 | -1.80 | -2.020 | 46 | -29 | -228 | +4 | 154 | 130 | 89 | -0.07 | +0.64 |
|  |  |  |  | $\pm 21$ | $\pm 23$ | $\pm 13$ | $\pm 20$ | $\pm 16$ | $\pm 11$ | $\pm 0.20$ | $\pm 0.20$ |
| -1.79 | -1.52 | -1.526 | 55 | -25 | -210 | -33 | 174 | 107 | 96 | -0.05 | +0.72 |
|  |  |  |  | $\pm 24$ | $\pm 18$ | $\pm 13$ | $\pm 20$ | $\pm 13$ | $\pm 12$ | $\pm 0.19$ | $\pm 0.19$ |
| -1.51 | -1.33 | -1.434 | 48 | +12 | -201 | -33 | 158 | 86 | 83 | +0.26 | +1.05 |
|  |  |  |  | $\pm 23$ | $\pm 19$ | $\pm 13$ | $\pm 21$ | $\pm 11$ | $\pm 12$ | $\pm 0.22$ | $\pm 0.22$ |
| -1.32 | -0.80 | -1.172 | 57 | +9 | -173 | 0 | 141 | 103 | 89 | -0.16 | +0.67 |
|  |  |  |  | $\pm 19$ | $\pm 18$ | $\pm 13$ | $\pm 19$ | $\pm 13$ | $\pm 11$ | $\pm 0.21$ | $\pm 0.21$ |

direct estimate based on the measurement of the proper motions of an $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ maser $\left[R_{0}=7.2 \pm 1.3 \mathrm{kpc}(\right.$ Reid 1993 $)$ ].

## CONCLUSION

The application of the statistical-parallax technique to the largest sample of RR Lyraes with known kinematic parameters (a total of 262 stars, i.e., a $25 \%$ increase over the largest of such samples used so far) leads us to the following conclusions:
(1) Our analysis provides further and more precise evidence in favor of the short distance scale: the implied LMC distance modulus and the distance to the Galactic center are equal to $18.22 \pm 0.11$ and $7.4 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kpc}$, respectively.
(2) The conclusion about the short distance scale is independent of the proper-motion source used.
(3) The inferred slope of the $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]\left(\left\langle M_{V}\right\rangle\right)$ relation is close to that determined using the Baade-Wesselink method.
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#### Abstract

Observational aspects of the previously found quasi-hourly oscillations of magnetic fragments in sunspot polar coordinates are investigated. The orientation of the oscillations is shown to be azimuthally anisotropic, with their amplitude reaching a maximum in penumbra at a distance of $\sim 0.8$ sunspot radius (the maximum amplitude is estimated to be 3700 km ). Based on the detected deviations of the oscillations from the radial direction, we numerically simulate the horizontal configuration of field lines in the region of the major spots in bipolar groups. © 2001 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica".
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## INTRODUCTION

"Until recently a sunspot has been considered as a single homogeneous structure; at best, a distinction has been made between umbra and penumbra, although evidence for sunspot inhomogeneity has been known for more than a hundred years ... . Available data give the following picture, which, in our view, is most likely: a sunspot is a collection of ropes combined into a single tube, which, however, is not isolated from convec-tion-convection exists inside it, in its lower parts" (Obridko 1985).

The objects under study are represented here by pores and dark features inside sunspots-fragments of white-light images for active regions with horizontal sizes of $\sim 10^{3} \mathrm{~km}$ and a brightness of less than 0.8 of the surrounding background. The lifetime of such fragments ranges from several hours to several days, and their material carriers are local magnetic-field nonuni-formities-flux tube bundles with characteristic magnetic fluxes of $\sim 10^{19}-10^{20} \mathrm{M}$ (Keppens and Martinez 1996). The bundles may belong to an intermediate type of a strong magnetic field between developed sunspots with penumbrae (a scale of $\sim 10^{4} \mathrm{~km}$ ) and elementary ropes ( $\sim 10^{2} \mathrm{~km}$ ).

While studying the horizontal motion of magnetic fragments, we found that it could be more accurately represented as a sum of a linear trend and quasi-periodic oscillations. The slow trend with a mean velocity of $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is probably attributable to supergranulation convection (Nagovitsyna and Nagovitsyn 1999,

[^2]1998a). The component of the dynamics that remains after subtracting the trend is associated with quasihourly spatial tube oscillations with characteristic periods of 40-100 min (Mashnich and Bashkirtsev 1999). This phenomenon was first observed by Bashkirtsev et al. (1983) in longitudinal and torsional prominence oscillations. Subsequently, it was observed by Gopasyuk (1985) in torsional oscillations of sunspots and by Demchenko et al. (1985) in variations of their area. Approximately at the same time, we detected and began to study this phenomenon in latitudinal and longitudinal sunspot oscillations and in radial and azimuthal fragment oscillations. On the one hand, fragment oscillations are not synchronized and reflect asymmetric and nonuniform tube variations, and, on the other hand, the general component corresponding to the oscillations of the tube as a whole can be revealed by averaging (Nagovitsyna 1990).

Based on the observational data used here, we previously investigated the quasi-periodic dynamics of fragments by a harmonic analysis (Nagovitsyna and Nagovitsyn 1998b). Here, we consider it in a nonharmonic approximation by analyzing only spatial parameters, the oscillation amplitude and orientation on the solar surface.

## OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Our observations with the special Pulkovo Observatory photoheliograph on June 24, 1989 (06:30-12:10 UT) yielded a 340 -min-long series of photoheliograms taken at 15 -min intervals on 22 FU- 5 ORWO $\left(9 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ plates. Each plate contains three images of the full solar disk at 2-min intervals. We measured the plates with a
universal two-coordinate microscope (UIM-23). The objects' heliographic latitudes and longitudes were determined with an accuracy of $0.05^{\circ}(\sim 600 \mathrm{~km})$ using the HELICOR computer-based technique (Nagovitsyna and Nagovitsyn 1996). This technique reveals and corrects the image distortions produced by several factors: discrepancy of equatorial mounting, differential atmospheric refraction, lens distortion, instrumental errors of the optical system (plate tilt, tube flexure, etc.), and solar-limb distortions (irradiation, differential extinction, image tremor).

To study the patterns of motion, we use simplexesbipolar and unipolar groups with a simple magnetic configuration; the major spots are well developed, have penumbrae, and generally occupy more than $75 \%$ of the group area. Our observational data cover the heliographic coordinates of 19 sunspot centers in 12 groups and 130 fragments at 22 instants of time for each plate of the series. The main problem is to identify fragments on plates: fragments can appear and disappear repeatedly, changing their apparent brightness. We first identified 143 fragments. However, an analysis of their coordinates revealed 13 cases of characteristic jumps suggesting the disappearance of one fragment and the appearance of another fragment nearby.

We transformed the heliographic coordinates of fragments to polar $r$ and $\theta$ coordinates relative to the sunspot centers. Pointing at the optical center of a sunspot as at the centroid of its umbra, a customary procedure for observers, is more accurate than might seem at first glance. Besides, the dispersion of the center coordinates contributes equally to the dispersions of the relative coordinates of all fragments: it raises the lower dispersion level, but does not form its functional dependence on radial distance.

The fragment azimuth was associated with an absolute coordinate system:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta=0^{\circ} \longrightarrow \text { west }(\mathrm{W}), \quad \theta=90^{\circ} \longrightarrow \text { north }(\mathrm{N}), \\
\theta=180^{\circ} \longrightarrow \text { east }(\mathrm{E}),  \tag{1}\\
\theta=270^{\circ} \longrightarrow \text { south }(\mathrm{S}) .
\end{gather*}
$$

This association enables us to properly allow for asymmetric effects in sunspots, for example, the east-west (E-W) asymmetry of the opposite sign in the preceding ( $p$ ) and following $(f)$ sunspot of a bipolar group attributable to tube curvature above the photosphere (Nagovitsyna and Nagovitsyn 1999, 1998a), or the latitudelongitude asymmetry that shows up in a meridional (N-S) flattening of sunspots.

The mean radial distance $\bar{r}$ of fragments was normalized to the radius $R$ of a sunspot with penumbra, which we define in the symmetric approximation as the
radius of a circle equivalent in area to the observed sunspot:

$$
\rho=\frac{\bar{r}}{R}, \quad \bar{r}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}, \quad R(\mathrm{~km})=984 \sqrt{S \text { (m.s.h.) }},
$$

where $S$ (m.s.h.) is the area of the spot with penumbra, in millionths of the solar hemisphere. The areas were measured on plates with the best images and were assumed to have been constant during the observations. Note that an error in the measured $S$ even by $20 \%$ introduces an error of $\Delta \rho=0.087 \rho$ in the radial distance of a fragment, which is equal to $<0.03 R,<0.09 R$, and $\leq 0.2 R$ in the sunspot umbra, penumbra, and the pore zone, respectively, i.e., an order of magnitude smaller than the sizes of structural sunspot regions. The amount of available data allows a parallel analysis and comparison of the results for three independent samples: the N-hemisphere $p$ sunspots, 41 fragments, six sunspots, and the mean $R=18600 \mathrm{~km}$; the S-hemisphere $p$ sunspots, 49 fragments, six sunspots, and the mean $R=14300 \mathrm{~km}$; and the $f$ spots of both hemispheres, 40 fragments, seven sunspots, and the mean $R=13700 \mathrm{~km}$.

The linear trend of a fragment can be estimated using the most probable radial $\left(V_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ and azimuthal $\left(V_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ velocities by least squares from sequences of fragment $r_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}$ coordinates at times $t_{i}$. The coordinate residuals after trend subtraction,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta r_{i}=r_{i}-V_{r} t_{i}-r_{0}, \quad \Delta \theta_{i}=\theta_{i}-V_{\theta} t_{i}-\theta_{0}, \\
\Delta a_{i}=\frac{\pi}{180} \bar{r} \Delta \vartheta_{i}, \quad i=1-22, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

contain information about the oscillations of interest.

## OSCILLATION AMPLITUDE

The dispersion of the fragment coordinates characterizes the amplitude of their horizontal oscillations. The dispersion (or, more precisely, the unbiased standard deviation) of the coordinates is given by the standard relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma=\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}}, \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta r_{i}^{2}, \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta a_{i}^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

where the radial $\left(\Delta r_{i}\right)$ and azimuthal $\left(\Delta a_{i}\right)$ residuals are given by Eqs. (2). The lower $\sigma$ level is $410 \pm 30 \mathrm{~km}$, as inferred from the three samples. Assuming that it is completely attributable to the total errors of pointing at the sunspot and fragment centers during measurements, we subtract this lower dispersion level from the observational data as noise: $\sigma=\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathrm{obs}}^{2}-410^{2}}$ (which corre-


Fig. 1. Dispersion of the fragment coordinates verses radial distance.
sponds to an upper limit of $410 / \sqrt{2}=290 \mathrm{~km}$ on the error of pointing at the sunspot or fragment center).

In Fig. 1, fragment-coordinate dispersion is plotted against radial distance; this plot was obtained by linear three-point smoothing. The pattern can be described as follows. The umbra: $\sigma$ may decrease insignificantly, from 1100 to 600 km , as one recedes from the center to the umbra boundary ( $\rho \approx 0.45$ ). The penumbra: $\sigma$ monotonically increases, reaching its absolute maximum $\sigma_{\max } \approx 2600 \mathrm{~km}$ at $\rho \approx 0.8$, and then gradually decreases to 400 km in the region of the outer bright ring $(\rho \approx 1.6)$. The pore zone: a double-peak maximum $\left(\sigma_{\max 1} \approx 1100 \mathrm{~km}\right.$ at $\rho \approx 2.2$ and $\sigma_{\max 2} \approx 900 \mathrm{~km}$ at $\rho \approx 2.8$ ) associated with the convective roller surrounding the sunspot (Nagovitsyna and Nagovitsyn 1999, 1998a) is most likely localized here; however, this peak is significant, reaching 1500 km , only for the $f$ sunspots. We also see that the $f$ sunspots have a $\sigma$ maximum in the penumbra that is narrower than that for the $p$ sunspots. This generally reflects a weaker development of the relatively narrower penumbra in the $f$ sunspots.

Assuming a harmonic oscillator, the absolute maximum of the coordinate dispersion in the penumbra $(2600 \mathrm{~km})$ corresponds to the oscillation amplitude

$$
A=\sqrt{2} \sigma \approx 3700 \mathrm{~km}
$$

which accounts for about one-tenth of the sunspot diameter. For a characteristic oscillation period of $T=$ 50 min [the period at which the energy of a harmonic oscillator reaches a maximum in the period range $30-$ 300 min (Nagovitsyna and Nagovitsyn 1998b)], this corresponds to the velocity amplitude

$$
V_{\max }=\frac{2 \pi A}{T} \approx 8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Since the oscillations under consideration are not harmonic, these values are upper limits on the parameters.

The inferred radial variations in fragment oscillation amplitude qualitatively correspond to the variations in the magnitude of the velocity of their linear trends (Nagovitsyna and Nagovitsyn 1999) and to the variations in radial velocity of the matter from the sunspot, which was deduced spectroscopically and is known as the Evershed effect. Such synchronous variations in various characteristics of the horizontal velocity are probably attributable to the three-dimensional magnetic-field structure or, more specifically, to the radial variation in the ratio of its horizontal and vertical components (the penumbra field is known to be virtually horizontal).

## OSCILLATION ORIENTATION

As was noted in the Introduction, the tube oscillations in polar coordinates have both a radial component, which shows up in sunspot area variations, and an azimuthal component, which shows up in torsional matter oscillations. The coordinate method allows the ratio of these components in fragment oscillations to be directly determined, for example, as the angular deviation of these oscillations from the radial direction

$$
d=\arctan b
$$

The most probable value of $b$ can be determined by least squares technique from the system of equations

$$
\Delta a_{i}=b \Delta r_{i}+c, \quad i=1-22
$$

where the experimental radial $\left(\Delta r_{i}\right)$ and azimuthal $\left(\Delta a_{i}\right)$ oscillation components are given by (2). Thus, $d$ is actually the angle between the principal axis of the cloud of data points formed by the dispersion of the fragment coordinates and the radial direction toward the center of this cloud.

Contrary to our expectations, we could not find any definite radial-distance dependence of the orientation of fragment oscillations, much as we did for the orien-
tation of their linear trends. Note that the radial gradient in trend orientation allowed us to detect a spiral macrostructure (Nagovitsyna and Nagovitsyn 1999, 1998a). At the same time, the azimuthal variations, which are related to heliographic coordinates by (1), proved to be most pronounced in the oscillation orientation.

In Fig. 2, angular deviation of the oscillations from the radial direction is plotted against fragment azimuth; the function $d(\theta)$ was obtained by linear seven-point smoothing. We can see that $d(\theta)$ successively passes through four extrema of different amplitude and opposite sign: the positive peaks are located roughly northeast and southwest of the sunspot center, while the negative peaks lie northwest and southeast. According to (1), the positive and negative values of $d$ correspond to counterclockwise and clockwise deviations of the oscillations from the radial direction, respectively.

## MAGNETIC-FIELD STRUCTURE

It would be natural to assume that the azimuthal variations in the orientation of magnetic-fragment oscillations are attributable to the azimuthally anisotropic magnetic-field structure in the sunspot region. The experimentally measured angular deviation $d(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ (Fig. 2) can be used to numerically simulate the horizontal configuration of field lines as follows. For each of the three samples, we fit $d(\theta)$ by second- or third-degree polynomials $p(\theta)$ in four azimuthal sectors containing individual positive or negative extrema of $d$ and then join the polynomials at the ends (dashed line in Fig. 2). The shape of the field line is modeled as the trajectory $\rho(t)$, $\theta(t)$ in polar coordinates that is described by a point moving at angle $p(\theta(t))$ to the radial direction ( $t$ is the time). In this case, we introduce discreteness: the point is assumed to traverse small distances $s$ in time intervals $\Delta t$. Having the polar coordinates $\rho_{i}, \theta_{i}$ at time $t_{i}$, the point then moves at angle $p\left(\theta_{i}\right)$ and passes at time $t_{i+1}=$ $t_{i}+\Delta t$ to the position

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{i+1} & =\sqrt{\rho_{i}^{2}+2 \rho_{i} s \cos p\left(\vartheta_{i}\right)+s^{2}} \\
\vartheta_{i+1} & =\vartheta_{i}+\arctan \frac{s \sin p\left(\vartheta_{i}\right)}{\rho_{i}+s \cos p\left(\vartheta_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The point with the $\rho_{i+1}$ and $\theta_{i+1}$ coordinates will move at angle $p\left(\theta_{i+1}\right)$ and pass to the next position, according to the above recursion relation. Thus, specifying the initial position at $10^{\circ}$ azimuthal intervals, we obtain a family of trajectories in the range $\rho=0.3-3.3$, which corresponds to the observed deviations $d(\theta)$ in Fig. 2 and are assumed to reflect the horizontal shape of field lines in the region of the major spots of bipolar groups (Fig. 3).

As we see from Fig. 3, the highest concentration of field lines, i.e., an increase in magnetic-field strength, takes place along the $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ axis of the group connecting the major spots of opposite polarity-this is a possible


Fig. 2. Angular deviation of fragment oscillations from the radial direction versus azimuth. The dashed line indicates a polynomial fit.
reflection of their opposing inclination due to tube curvature above the photosphere. We can even see that the concentration of field lines for the $p$ sunspots reaches a maximum not in the E direction, but at some deviation $\left(\sim 15^{\circ}\right)$ toward the pole of the hemisphere where the group is located. This result probably reflects the phenomenon that is known as the tilt of the group axis relative to the equator: the $p$ sunspots are mostly located at lower heliographic latitudes than the $f$ sunspots. According to current views, this effect is assumed to be due to the Coriolis force that acts on the expanding $\Omega$-shaped tube of an active region rising from the depth of the convection zone.

The meridional flattening of the sunspot magneticfield structure (clearly seen in Fig. 3) corresponds to the above latitude-longitude asymmetry, which makes the visible sunspots elliptical in shape. Figure 3 shows how this effect bends the field lines and proves its physical reality. However, we cannot yet explain this phenomenon.


Fig. 3. Horizontal magnetic field in the region of the major sunspots of bipolar groups obtained by numerically simulating the shapes of magnetic field lines based on the observed oscillation orientation (corresponds to the dashed line in Fig. 2).

Curiously, the distribution of field lines in Fig. 3 generally matches the distribution of bundles in bipolar groups: pores are more commonly observed between the major spots along the $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ axis of the group, slightly more rarely westward of the $p$ sunspots and eastward of the $f$ sunspots, and rarely at markedly differing latitudes. This relationship may stem from the fact that the horizontal magnetic field of a bipolar group stabilizes the vertical bundles by compressing them in the transverse direction and slowing down their decay.

## CONCLUSION

Our analysis shows that the photospheric spatial oscillations of magnetic fragments allow several fine features of the magnetic-field structure in active regions to be traced:
(1) The functional dependence of the oscillation amplitude on radial distance with a maximum in the sunspot penumbra may reflect radial variations in the ratio of the vertical and horizontal magnetic fields.
(2) The functional dependence of the oscillation orientation on azimuth may reflect meridional flattening of the magnetic-field structure.
(3) The peculiar deviations of oscillations from the radial direction may reflect tube curvature above the surface and a tilt of the axis of a sunspot group to the equator.

The peculiar features of the quasi-periodic dynamics revealed here cannot be accidental in nature, because they are reproduced for three independent samples. They come as no surprise to us, because they are in good agreement with other observational facts. Unfortunately, the spatial oscillations of magnetic fragments have not yet allowed us to reveal the special evidence of the spiral magnetic-field macrostructure found in the linear component of their motion (Nagovitsyna and Nagovitsyn 1999, 1998a).
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#### Abstract

The plane motion of a mass point inside an inhomogeneous rotating ellipsoidal body with a homothetic density distribution is considered. The force function of the problem is expanded in terms of the ellipsoid's second eccentricities up to the fourth order, which are taken as small parameters. We derive an expression for the perturbing function and solve the equations of perturbed motion in orbital elements. © 2001 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica".
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## INTRODUCTION

Abalakin (1959) considered the spatial motion of a mass point inside an inhomogeneous ellipsoidal body with a homothetic density distribution in a stationary coordinate system. Periodic solutions were found, and qualitative studies were carried out. This problem arises, in particular, when studying the motion of an individual star inside a star cluster under the gravity of the entire system. The problem can be solved if semicollisions and collisions between cluster stars and their effects on the motion of this star are disregarded, at least to a first approximation (Abalakin 1959).

Such problems are considered when studying the structures and evolution of galaxies and stellar systems in a rotating coordinate system. Kuzmin (1956) investigated a model of a stationary Galaxy with a gravitational field that, apart from the energy and kineticmomentum integrals, admits the third integral of motion proposed by this author. If the density on the symmetry axis rapidly decreases with increasing distance from the center, then the surfaces of constant density prove to be considerably flattened. In this case, the density distribution and the corresponding gravitational potential are generally consistent with the current view of the Galactic structure.

When studying the structure and evolution of barred spiral galaxies, Freeman (1966a, 1966b, 1966c) and Hunter (1974) showed that, in Hubble's classification, the direction of evolution in conventional notation is $\mathrm{SBc} \longrightarrow \mathrm{SBa}$.

[^3]Of considerable interest are the works by Tim de Zeew and others, who considered various models of triaxial ellipsoidal bodies with gravitational potentials in the form of Stäckel's $(1890,1891)$ potential in ellipsoidal coordinates with separable variables. These models are used to solve problems on the motion of stars inside elliptical, spiral, and lenticular galaxies and other problems (Tim de Zeew and Merrit 1983; Tim de Zeew and Lynden-Bell 1985; Tim de Zeew 1985a, 1985b; Tim de Zeew et al. 1986; Tim de Zeew and Carollo 1996).

In the problem under consideration, our goal is to solve the equations of perturbed motion in orbital elements in a rotating coordinate system. In addition, terms up to the fourth order inclusive relative to the second eccentricities of the ellipsoid in question, which are assumed to be small parameters, are retained in the perturbing function. We also average the perturbing function over the rapidly changing angular variable.

## STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND THE FORCE FUNCTION

Consider an inhomogeneous body $T$ bounded by an ellipsoidal surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}}{A^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{B^{2}}+\frac{z^{2}}{C^{2}}=1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that $A>B>C$.
Let the density $\rho$ of this body be a function of some parameter $p$ and vary continuously from the center to the external surface. We assume that the body has a homothetic (ellipsoidal) density distribution; i.e., its layers of equal density are bounded by similar ellipsoidal surfaces with the same orientation relative to each other. Such a density distribution satisfactorily repre-
sents the density distribution for an ellipsoidal body that is stationary or rotates with a relatively low angular velocity about the polar $O Z$ axis (Abalakin 1959). The constraint imposed on the angular velocity stems from the fact that, at a sufficiently high angular velocity of an inhomogeneous ellipsoidal body, its existence as an equilibrium figure requires that the interfaces between the layers of equal density be confocal ellipsoids (Tisserand 1891).

Consider the motion of a passively gravitating mass point inside a given ellipsoidal body under the attraction from this body alone.

Let a mass point $P$ move inside an ellipsoidal body $T$ rotating at a constant and relatively low angular velocity $m$ about the $O Z$ axis and having a homothetic density distribution. The $x, y, z$ coordinates of this point are referred to a rectangular coordinate system with the origin $O$ at the center of the ellipsoidal body and with the $O X, O Y$, and $O Z$ axes along the corresponding principal axes of the ellipsoid (1).

We can draw an ellipsoidal surface given by the following equation through point $P(x, y, z)$ at each instant of time $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}}{\bar{\alpha}^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{\bar{\beta}^{2}}+\frac{z^{2}}{\bar{\gamma}^{2}}=1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This surface separates the ellipsoidal inhomogeneous body $T$ bounded by the ellipsoidal surface (1) into two parts, $E$ and $I$. Let $V_{e}$ be the potential at point $P$ produced by the ellipsoidal body bounded by the surface (2) and correspond to part $E$ and $V_{i}$ be the potential at the same point $P$ produced by the ellipsoidal layer confined between the surfaces (1) and (2) and correspond to part $I$. Therefore, the total potential $V$ produced by the ellipsoidal inhomogeneous body $T$ at the internal point $P(x, y, z)$ at each instant of time $t$ can be represented as the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V_{e}+V_{i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the potentials $V_{e}$ and $V_{i}$ produced by the inhomogeneous ellipsoidal body and layer at point $P$ can be expressed in terms of the well-known potentials produced by an inhomogeneous ellipsoid at the external and internal points, respectively. To this end, we consider a homogeneous ellipsoid with a density $\rho$ bounded by an ellipsoidal surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{\eta^{2}}{\beta^{2}}+\frac{\zeta^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}=1, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ semiaxes are so far arbitrary. The following expressions in Dirichlet's form are known for the potential of this body:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho V_{e}^{\prime}=\pi f \alpha \beta \gamma \rho \int_{u}^{\infty} L(s) K(s) d s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the potential at the external point $P(x, y, z)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho V_{i}^{\prime}=\pi f \alpha \beta \gamma \rho \int_{0}^{\infty} L(s) K(s) d s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the potential at the internal point in the same notation. Here, $f$ is the gravitational constant, and the functions $L(s)$ and $K(s)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(s)=1-\frac{x^{2}}{\alpha^{2}+s}-\frac{y^{2}}{\beta^{2}+s}-\frac{z^{2}}{\gamma^{2}+s}, \\
& K(s)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\alpha^{2}+s\right)\left(\beta^{2}+s\right)\left(\gamma^{2}+s\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The lower limit $u$ of the integral in (5) is a positive root of the cubic equation for $u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}}{\alpha^{2}+u}+\frac{y^{2}}{\beta^{2}+u}+\frac{z^{2}}{\gamma^{2}+u}=1 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a function of the coordinates of the attracted point $P$ and the ellipsoid semiaxes.

Consider a layer of the inhomogeneous ellipsoidal body $T$ with density $\rho\left(p^{*}\right)$ confined between the surfaces of the ellipsoids with semiaxes $\alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}, \gamma^{*}$ and $\alpha^{*}+d \alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}+d \beta^{*}$, and $\gamma^{*}+d \gamma^{*}$. The semiaxes of these ellipsoids are assumed to be functions of the same parameter $p$ and correspond to its values $p^{*}$ and $p^{*}+$ $d p^{*}$. The elementary potentials produced by this infinitely thin layer at the external and internal points for $\alpha^{*}=\alpha, \beta^{*}=\beta$, and $\gamma^{*}=\gamma\left(p^{*}=p\right)$ are (Subbotin 1941)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d V_{e}=\rho(p) \frac{d V_{e}^{\prime}}{d p} d p, \quad d V_{i}=\rho(p) \frac{d V_{i}^{\prime}}{d p} d p \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $p_{\mathrm{f}}$ correspond to the center and external surface of the ellipsoidal body, respectively, then the total potential $V$ produced by the inhomogeneous ellipsoidal body $T$ at the internal point $P$ can be determined, in view of (3), by integrating and subsequently summing expressions (8) for homothetic layers over $p$. In this case, the integration is performed in the segment [ $p_{c}, \bar{p}$ ] for the potential $\rho V_{e}^{\prime}$ and in the segment $\left[\bar{p}, p_{f}\right]$ for $\rho V_{i}^{\prime}$. Here, $\bar{p}$ is the value of $p$ corresponding to the boundary ellipsoidal surface (2). Consequently, the total potential $V$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\int_{p_{c}}^{\bar{p}} \rho(p) \frac{d V_{e}^{\prime}}{d p} d p+\int_{\bar{p}}^{p_{\mathrm{f}}} \rho(p) \frac{d V_{i}^{\prime}}{d p} d p \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that $\alpha>\beta>\gamma$, we define the second eccentricities $\lambda$ and $\mu$ of the ellipsoid (4) by the equations

$$
\lambda^{2}=\frac{\alpha^{2}-\gamma^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}, \quad \mu^{2}=\frac{\beta^{2}-\gamma^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} ;
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha^{2}=\gamma^{2}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right), \quad \beta^{2}=\gamma^{2}\left(1+\mu^{2}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\left(\mu^{2}<\lambda^{2}<1\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

We take the ratio of the polar semiaxes of the ellipsoidal surfaces (4) and (1) squared as the parameter $p$; i.e., we set

$$
\begin{gather*}
p=\frac{\gamma^{2}}{C^{2}}  \tag{11}\\
\left(0 \leq p \leq 1, \quad p_{\mathrm{c}}=p(0)=0, \quad p_{\mathrm{f}}=p(C)=1\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

In this case, the value $\bar{p}$ of $p$ can be directly inferred from (2) using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{p}=\frac{\bar{\gamma}^{2}}{C^{2}}=\frac{1}{C^{2}}\left[r^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\left(\lambda^{2 k} x^{2}+\mu^{2 k} y^{2}\right)\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is derived by expanding the left part of Eq. (2) in terms of the second eccentricities $\lambda$ and $\mu$, in view of (10) and (11). Since the second eccentricities $\lambda$ and $\mu$ coincide for any homothetic ellipsoidal layers, the semiaxes $\alpha$ and $\beta$ when passing from layer to layer are functions of $p$ alone. Consequently, the density of the inhomogeneous ellipsoidal body is a function of $p$ alone. The density $\rho$ can therefore be represented as a converging power series of $p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\rho(p)=\rho_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{n} \varepsilon^{n} p^{n}, \quad(0<\varepsilon<1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter characterizing the density distribution. In addition, the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho(0)=\rho_{0}>0, \quad \rho(1)=\rho_{\mathrm{f}}, \quad \rho_{n}<0  \tag{14}\\
(n=1,2, \ldots)
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $\rho_{0}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ are the densities at the center and on the surface of ellipsoidal body $T$, respectively.

In expression (5) for the potential $\rho V_{e}^{\prime}$ of a homogeneous ellipsoid, we introduce a new variable $\xi$ in place of the integration variable $s$, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\gamma^{2}+s}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\xi \leq 1$ for $s \geq 0$. The range of the variable $s$, the half-segment $[u, \infty]$, then changes to $[U, 0]$, where $U$ is given, according to (15), by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\gamma^{2}+u}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

After changing the variable, expression (5) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho V_{e}^{\prime}= & \frac{G}{2} \rho \int_{0}^{U}\left(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\xi^{2}}-\frac{x^{2}}{1+\lambda^{2} \xi^{2}}-\frac{y^{2}}{1+\mu^{2} \xi^{2}}-z^{2}\right)  \tag{17}\\
& \times \frac{\xi^{2} d \xi}{\sqrt{\left(1+\lambda^{2} \xi^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu^{2} \xi^{2}\right)}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
G=4 \pi f \sqrt{\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu^{2}\right)}
$$

We take into account inequality (10) and the condition $|\xi| \leq 1$. Expanding the coefficients of $x^{2}$ and $y^{2}$ in formula (17) in a binomial power series of $\lambda^{2} \xi^{2}$ and $\mu^{2} \xi^{2}$, we then obtain for the potential $\rho V_{e}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho V_{e}^{\prime}=\frac{G}{2} \rho \int_{0}^{U}\left[\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\xi^{2}}-x^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}(\lambda \xi)^{2 k}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-y^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}(\mu \xi)^{2 k}-z^{2}\right]  \tag{18}\\
& \times \sum_{i+j=1}^{\infty}\left(1+a_{i j} \lambda^{2 i} \mu^{2 j} \xi^{2(i+j)}\right) \xi^{2} d \xi
\end{align*}
$$

The potential $V_{i}$ at the internal point is easier to calculate: it will suffice to set $u=0$, i.e., $U=1$, in (5) or (18). In addition, it may be shown that Eq. (7) defines $u$ as an implicit holomorphic function of all its arguments. Indeed, in view of Eqs. (10) and (16), Eq. (7) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}}{1+\lambda^{2} U^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{1+\mu^{2} U^{2}}+z^{2}=\frac{\gamma^{2}}{U^{2}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the second eccentricities are small, we introduce an auxiliary small parameter $v$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}=\lambda_{0}^{2} v, \quad \mu^{2}=\mu_{0}^{2} v, \quad(0<v<1) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, assuming that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=U^{2}, \quad b=\frac{\gamma^{2}}{r^{2}}, \quad f(w)=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{r^{2}} w^{2}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{r^{2}} v w^{3} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\lambda_{0}^{2} x^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2} y^{2}, \quad \beta_{1}=\lambda_{0}^{4} x^{2}+\mu_{0}^{4} y^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we derive from Eq. (19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
w-b-v f(w)=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the function $f(w)$ given by (21) is a holomorphic (even integer) function of its arguments. Therefore, Eq. (23) has a unique root, which is a holomorphic (integer) function of $b$ provided that the inequality

$$
\frac{|v f(w)|}{|w-b|}<1
$$

is satisfied on the entire complex plane. Using relations (21), this root can then be represented as a series (Duboshin 1975):

$$
\begin{gather*}
w=b+v f(b)+v^{2} f(b) f^{\prime}(b)+\ldots \\
=\frac{\gamma^{2}}{r^{2}}\left[1+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{r^{4}} \alpha_{1} v+\frac{\gamma^{4}}{r^{8}}\left(2 \alpha_{1}^{2}-\beta_{1} r^{2}\right) v^{2}\right], \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the terms of the third or higher orders in $v$ were discarded. Here, $\alpha_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are given by (22). Since $U^{2}=w$, we easily find from (24)

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{\gamma}{r}\left[1+U_{1} v+U_{2} v^{2}+\ldots\right] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}=\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2 r^{4}} \alpha_{1}, \quad U_{2}=\frac{\gamma^{4}}{8 r^{8}}\left(7 \alpha_{1}^{2}-4 \beta_{1} r^{2}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion (24), in which the terms up to $v^{2}$ inclusive were retained, converges in view of Eqs. (16) and (20) for all $x$ and $y$ that satisfy the inequality $|u|<\gamma^{2}$.

Thus, to derive the final expression for the potential $V_{e}$ requires determining a positive root of the cubic equation (7) and then, in view of (16), finding the corresponding value of $U$. Integrating expression (18) and substituting the derived $U$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho V_{e}^{\prime}=\frac{G}{2} \rho\left(\gamma^{2} X_{0}-x^{2} X_{1}-y^{2} X_{2}-z^{2} X_{3}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $X_{k}(k=0,1,2,3)$ are polynomials for $U$ and the second eccentricities $\lambda^{2}$ and $\mu^{2}$ (or the small parameter $v$ ), which are presented in Appendix A. Therefore, the inequality (10) and the convergence condition for the series (25) ensure that the expansion (27) converges.

Similarly, we obtain for the attractive potential $\rho V_{i}^{\prime}$ produced by a homogeneous ellipsoid at the internal point $(U=1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho V_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{G}{2} \rho\left[\gamma^{2} Y_{0}-x^{2} Y_{1}-y^{2} Y_{2}-z^{2} Y_{3}\right], \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $Y_{k}(k=0,1,2,3)$ are polynomials for the second eccentricities $\lambda^{2}$ and $\mu^{2}$ (or the small parameter $v$ ), which are also presented in Appendix A.

The convergence of (28) is ensured by the same conditions as the convergence of the expansion (27).

Let us substitute the expansions (27) and (28) and expression (13) for $\rho(p)$ in formula (9), in which Eqs. (11) and (12) must be taken into account. Performing elementary operations and transformation of the integrand, we then obtain, after integration, an expression for the total potential $V$. Since we consider a twodimensional problem, this expression for $V$ at $z=0$ takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
V=V_{0}+R, \quad V_{0}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{01} x^{2}+V_{02} y^{2}\right)  \tag{29}\\
R=\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left(R_{1} x^{4}+R_{2} y^{4}+2 R_{3} x^{2} y^{2}\right) \\
+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{6}\left(R_{4} x^{6}+R_{5} y^{6}+3 R_{6} x^{4} y^{2}+3 R_{7} x^{2} y^{4}\right)+\ldots \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the coefficients $V_{01}, \ldots, R_{6}, R_{7}$ are polynomials for the second eccentricities $\lambda^{2}$ and $\mu^{2}$, which are provided in Appendix A.

## UNPERTURBED MOTION OF A MASS POINT INSIDE AN INHOMOGENEOUS ELLIPSOID

Consider the plane motion of a mass point $P$ inside an ellipsoidal body rotating at a constant and relatively low angular velocity $m$ about the $O Z$ axis. The coordinates $x, y, z$ of this point are referred to a rectangular coordinate system with origin $O$ at the center of this ellipsoidal body and with the $O X, O Y$, and $O Z$ axes along the corresponding principal axes. If point $P(x, y, z)$ moves in the equatorial plane of the ellipsoidal body, which is taken as the principal coordinate plane, then the problem reduces to solving the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} x}{d t^{2}}-2 m \frac{d y}{d t}-m^{2} x=\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}  \tag{31}\\
& \frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+2 m \frac{d x}{d t}-m^{2} y=\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}
\end{align*}
$$

We now turn to expression (29) for the total potential. Assume that the difference between inhomogeneous and homogeneous ellipsoids is sufficiently small. Discarding the terms of the order of and higher than $\varepsilon^{2}$, we obtain from (29) and (30)

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V_{0}+\bar{R}, \quad \bar{R}=\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left(R_{1} x^{4}+R_{2} y^{4}+2 R_{3} x^{2} y^{2}\right) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\bar{R}$ and $V_{0}$ are called the perturbing function and the attractive potential in unperturbed motion, respectively.

Let us now set up the equations of unperturbed motion. To this end, we set $\varepsilon=0$ in expression (32) for $V$. The system of equations (31) then takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} x}{d t^{2}}-2 m \frac{d y}{d t}+g_{1}^{2} x=0 \\
& \frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+2 m \frac{d x}{d t}+g_{2}^{2} y=0 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{2}=V_{01}-m^{2}, \quad g_{2}^{2}=V_{02}-m^{2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right parts are positive by virtue of Poincaré's inequality, which is a necessary condition for the equilibrium of rotating ellipsoidal figures. Indeed, in our case, Poincaré's inequality is (Poincaré 1911; Ogorodnikov 1958):

$$
\begin{gather*}
m^{2}<\frac{G \rho_{0}}{6}\left[1-\frac{3}{10}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right) v\right. \\
\left.+\frac{3}{56}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right]=g^{2} \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, in view of (10) and (35), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}<g^{2}<V_{01}<V_{02}, \quad g_{1}^{2}<g_{2}^{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, Eq. (34) hold, and the angular velocity $m$ of the coordinate system satisfies the inequalities (35) and (36).

We now multiply the first equation by $d x / d t$ and the second equation by $d y / d t$. Adding up these equations and performing integration yield an analog of the Jacobi integral for the system of equations (33) of unperturbed motion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d x}{d t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d y}{d t}\right)^{2}+g_{1}^{2} x^{2}+g_{2}^{2} y^{2}=2 c_{0} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}>0$ is the integration constant. It follows from the integral (37) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{2} x^{2}+g_{2}^{2} y^{2}<2 c_{0} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, in the two-dimensional problem, point $P$ can move inside the ellipsoid under consideration only inside the ellipse with the semiaxes

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\sqrt{2 c_{0}}}{g_{1}}, \quad b=\frac{\sqrt{2 c_{0}}}{g_{2}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now turn to solving the system of equations (33), whose characteristic equation can be rewritten as (Matveev 1967)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{4}+\left(4 m^{2}+g_{1}^{2}+g_{2}^{2}\right) \Lambda^{2}+g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the conditions (36), this equation has purely imaginary roots. The solution to the system (33) can then be represented as (Matveev 1967)

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=C_{1} \cos \beta_{1}+P_{2} C_{2} \sin \beta_{2}  \tag{41}\\
& y=P_{1} C_{1} \sin \beta_{1}+C_{2} \cos \beta_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n}=\theta_{n} t+\sigma_{n}, \quad(n=1,2), \quad P_{1}^{2}<P_{2}^{2}<1 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $C_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}$ are arbitrary constants; the roots $\Lambda_{n}^{2}=$ $-\theta_{n}^{2}$ of the biquadratic equation (40) and the quantities $P_{n}(n=1,2)$ are given in Appendix A.

The orbit (41) can be interpreted as the trajectory of the leading center with frequency $\theta_{1}$ along an ellipse with semiaxes $C_{1}$ and $P_{1} C_{1}$ and as the rotational motion about this center with frequency $\theta_{2}$ along an ellipse with semiaxes $P_{2} C_{2}$ and $C_{2}$. Consequently, the orbit (41) is an elliptical epicycloid.

Note that, apart from $c_{0}$ in Eq. (37), we have four more arbitrary constants $C_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}(n=1,2)$. However, in view of the solution (41), we obtain the relation between $c_{0}$ and the constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ from the analog of the Jacobi integral (37):

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 c_{0}=\left(P_{1}^{2} \theta_{1}^{2}+g_{1}^{2}\right) C_{1}^{2}+\left(P_{2}^{2} \theta_{2}^{2}+g_{2}^{2}\right) C_{2}^{2} \\
=\left(\theta_{1}^{2}+P_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}\right) C_{1}^{2}+\left(\theta_{2}^{2}+P_{2}^{2} g_{1}^{2}\right) C_{2}^{2} \tag{43}
\end{gather*}
$$

## EQUATIONS OF PERTURBED MOTION IN ORBITAL ELEMENTS

Consider the system of equations (31) where the perturbing function $\bar{R}$ given by (32) is nonzero. The equations of perturbed motion of point $P$ inside an ellipsoidal body $T$ then take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} x}{d t^{2}}-2 m \frac{d y}{d t}+g_{1}^{2} x=\frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial x} \\
& \frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+2 m \frac{d x}{d t}+g_{2}^{2} y=\frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial y} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, the necessary condition (35) for the equilibrium of rotating ellipsoidal figures is (Poincaré 1911; Ogorodnikov 1958)

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}<g^{2}-\varepsilon \bar{g}^{2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g^{2}$ is given by Eq. (35), and $\bar{g}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{g}^{2}=-\frac{G \rho_{1}}{20}\left[1-\frac{15}{14}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right) v\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{5}{24}\left(5 \lambda_{0}^{4}+6 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+5 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right] \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we took into account the conditions (14) and (36).
We solve the system (44) by the Lagrangian method (the method of variation of constants), which yields the following system of differential equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{P_{k} C_{k}}{\sigma} \frac{d C_{k}}{d t}=+\frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial \sigma_{k}} \\
\frac{P_{k} C_{k}}{\sigma} \frac{d \sigma_{k}}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial C_{k}}, \quad(k=1,2) \tag{47}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, the quantity $\sigma$ (see Appendix A) depends on $m$ and the roots $\theta_{k}$. This system is called the system of equations of perturbed motion. Multiplying the first equations by $d \sigma_{k} / d t$ and the second equations by $-d C_{k} / d t$, per-
forming summation over $k=1,2$, and integrating the derived equations, we obtain the first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}=c_{1}=\text { const. } \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now introduce new action-angle variables $L$, $Q$, and $l, q$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
L=\frac{1}{2 \sigma}\left(P_{1} C_{1}^{2}+P_{2} C_{2}^{2}\right), \quad Q=\frac{1}{2 \sigma} P_{2} C_{2}^{2},  \tag{49}\\
l=\beta_{1}, \quad q=\beta_{2}-\beta_{1} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The system of equations (47) then takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=\frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial l}, \quad \frac{d l}{d t}=\theta_{1}-\frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial L},  \tag{50}\\
\frac{d Q}{d t}=\frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial q}, \quad \frac{d q}{d t}=\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}-\frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial Q} .
\end{gather*}
$$

This is the system of equations of perturbed motion in the new variables.

Note that for $\varepsilon=0(L=$ const, $Q=$ const $)$, i.e., in unperturbed motion, the analog of the Jacobi integral (37) in the new variables is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=\theta_{1} L+\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right) Q \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using Eqs. (30) and (41), we express the perturbing function $\bar{R}$ in terms of the action-angle variables $L, Q$ and $l, q$ given by (49). Averaging $\bar{R}$ over the rapidly changing variable $l$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R} & =\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left(R_{1} x^{4}+R_{2} y^{4}+2 R_{3} x^{2} y^{2}\right)  \tag{52}\\
& =K_{0}+K_{1} \sin q+K_{2} \cos 2 q,
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{0}=\varepsilon\left(\xi_{1} Q^{2}+2 \xi_{2} L Q+\xi_{3} L^{2}\right), \\
K_{2}=\varepsilon \zeta_{1} Q(L-Q),  \tag{53}\\
K_{1}=\varepsilon \sqrt{Q(L-Q)}\left[\eta_{2} Q+\eta_{1}(L-Q)\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

The quantities $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{1}$ are provided in Appendix A. In this case, the first integral (48) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}+K_{1} \sin q+K_{2} \cos 2 q=c_{1}, \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{2} \cos 2 q=c_{1}-K_{0}-K_{1} \sin q,  \tag{55}\\
4 K_{2} \sin q=K_{1}+E(Q) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{gather*}
E(Q)=\sqrt{Q(L-Q)} Y(Q), \\
Y(Q)= \pm \sqrt{E_{2} Q^{2}+2 E_{1} Q+E_{0}} . \tag{56}
\end{gather*}
$$

The coefficients $E_{k}(k=0,1,2)$ are given in Appendix A. Next, substituting the expression for the perturbing
function $\bar{R}$ from (52) into the system of equations (50) and taking into account relations (55) in the derived equations yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=0, \quad \frac{d Q}{d t}=\varepsilon Y(Q) F(Q), \\
\frac{d l}{d t}=\theta_{1}+\varepsilon F_{1}(Q), \quad \frac{d q}{d t}=\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}+\varepsilon F_{2}(Q), \tag{57}
\end{gather*}
$$

where
$F(Q)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\frac{1}{4 \zeta_{1}} \sqrt{16 \zeta_{1}^{2} Q(L-Q)-\left[\eta_{2} Q+\eta_{1}(L-Q)+Y(Q)\right]^{2}}, \\
F_{1}(Q)=a_{0}+a_{1} Q+\frac{a_{2}}{L-Q}  \tag{58}\\
-\frac{1}{8 \zeta_{1}}\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{1}-\frac{\eta_{2} L}{L-Q}\right) Y(Q), \\
F_{2}(Q)=b_{0}+\frac{b_{1}}{Q}+\frac{b_{2}}{L-Q}-\frac{L}{8 \zeta_{1}}\left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{L-Q}-\frac{\eta_{1}}{Q}\right) Y(Q),
\end{gather*}
$$

and the coefficients $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}(k=0,1,2)$ are provided in Appendix A.

We obtain another first integral directly from the system (57):

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\text { const. } \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now change the variable $Q$ to the new variable $u$ by using the substitution

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y(Q)= \pm \sqrt{E_{2} Q^{2}+2 E_{1} Q+E_{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{E_{2}}}\left(Q E_{2}+E_{1}-u\right) \\
\left(E_{2}>0\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{u^{2}-2 E_{1} u+D}{2 E_{2} u}, \quad D=E_{1}^{2}-E_{0} E_{2}>0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, (57) transforms to the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d u}{\sqrt{\Phi(u)}}=\varepsilon A_{1} d t  \tag{62}\\
\Phi(u)=-\left(u^{4}+\alpha_{3} u^{3}+\alpha_{2} u^{2}+\alpha_{1} u+\alpha_{0}\right) \\
\frac{d l}{d t}=\theta_{1}+\varepsilon\left(W_{0}+W_{1} u+\frac{W_{2}}{u}+\frac{W_{3}}{v_{1}-u}+\frac{W_{4}}{u-v_{2}}\right), \\
\frac{d q}{d t}=\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}+\varepsilon\left(S_{0}+\frac{S_{1}}{v_{1}-u}+\frac{S_{2}}{u-v_{2}}\right.  \tag{63}\\
\left.+\frac{S_{3}}{u-v_{3}}+\frac{S_{4}}{u-v_{4}}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

The quantities $A_{1}, \alpha_{k}, W_{k}, S_{k}$, and $v_{k}$ are given in Appendix B. The polynomial $\Phi(u)$ from (62) can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=\left(u_{3}-u\right)\left(u-u_{4}\right)\left(u-u_{1}\right)\left(u-u_{2}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose roots $u_{k}(k=1,2,3,4)$ were determined by Ferrari's method and are given in Appendix B. These roots and the quantities $v_{k}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}>u_{3}>u>u_{4}>v_{2}>0>v_{3}>u_{1}>u_{2}>v_{4} . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, integrating Eq. (62) yields (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 1971)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon A_{1}\left(t-t_{0}\right)=\int_{u}^{u_{3}} \frac{d \xi}{\sqrt{\Phi(\xi)}}  \tag{66}\\
= & \frac{2}{\sqrt{\left(u_{3}-u_{1}\right)\left(u_{4}-u_{2}\right)}} F(\varphi, k)
\end{align*}
$$

where $F(\varphi, k)$ is an elliptic integral of the first kind. In addition, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi=\arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\left(u_{4}-u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3}-u\right)}{\left(u_{3}-u_{4}\right)\left(u-u_{2}\right)}}  \tag{67}\\
k=\sqrt{\frac{\left(u_{3}-u_{4}\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)}{\left(u_{3}-u_{1}\right)\left(u_{4}-u_{2}\right)}} \tag{73}
\end{gather*}
$$

If we use the Jacobi elliptic functions, then we have, in view of (67),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin \varphi=\operatorname{sn} w, \quad w=B_{1}\left(t-t_{0}\right) \\
& B_{1}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} A_{1} \sqrt{\left(u_{3}-u_{1}\right)\left(u_{4}-u_{2}\right)} \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{u_{3}\left(u_{4}-u_{2}\right)+u_{2}\left(u_{3}-u_{4}\right) \mathrm{sn}^{2} w}{u_{4}-u_{2}+\left(u_{3}-u_{4}\right) \mathrm{sn}^{2} w} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equality can be used to determine the variable $Q$ from (61). Next, using Eqs. (66) and (68), we can represent the solution of the system (63) as (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 1971; Sikorskiı̆ 1936; Zhuravskiĭ 1941)

$$
\begin{gather*}
l=l_{0}+\left(\theta_{1}+\varepsilon I_{0}\right) t+\varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^{4} I_{n} \Pi\left(\varphi, s_{n}, k\right)  \tag{70}\\
q=q_{0}+\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}+\varepsilon J_{0}\right) t+\varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^{4} J_{n} \Pi\left(\varphi, \gamma_{n}, k\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Pi\left(\varphi, w_{n}, k\right)=\int_{0}^{w} \frac{d w}{1+w_{n} \operatorname{sn}^{2} w}  \tag{71}\\
w_{n}=\left\{s_{n}, \gamma_{n}\right\} \quad(n=1,2,3,4)
\end{gather*}
$$

is an elliptic integral of the third kind, and the coefficients $I_{0}, J_{0}, I_{n}$, and $J_{n}$, as well as the parameters $s_{n}$ and $\gamma_{n}(n=1,2,3,4)$, are provided in Appendix B. Consequently, the solution to the systems of equations (62) and (63) for $Q, l$, and $q$ can be represented by Eqs. (59), (61), (69), and (70). Note that in practical problems, its expansion (Zhuravskiĭ 1941) can be used in place of the elliptic integral of the third kind:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Pi\left(\varphi, w_{n}, k\right)=D_{0}+\frac{1}{2} D_{1} k^{2}+\frac{3}{8} D_{2} k^{4}+\ldots \\
+\frac{1 \cdot 3 \ldots(2 s-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \ldots 2 s} D_{s} k^{2 s}+\ldots \tag{72}
\end{gather*}
$$

The quantities $D_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ are given in Appendix B.
Thus, the solution to the equations of perturbed motion (44), in which the perturbing function $R$ is given by Eq. (52), can be represented as

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=\frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{P_{1} P_{2}}}\left[\bar{A} \cos l+P_{2} \bar{B} \sin (l+q)\right], \\
\bar{A}=\sqrt{2 P_{2}(L-Q)} \\
y=\frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{P_{1} P_{2}}}\left[P_{1} A \sin l+\bar{B} \cos (l+q)\right], \\
\bar{B}=\sqrt{2 P_{1} Q}
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e., the solution (41) must be expressed in terms of the new variables $L, Q, l$, and $q$, which can determined from the systems of equations (62) and (63) by Eqs. (59), (61), (69), and (70), respectively.

## CONCLUSION

We have considered the plane motion of a mass point inside an inhomogeneous rotating ellipsoidal body with a homothetic density distribution. The quantity $\varepsilon$, which characterizes the difference between inhomogeneous and homogeneous ellipsoids, was taken as a small parameter. We expanded the force function of the problem in terms of $\varepsilon$ to within the second order inclusive, retaining the fourth-order terms with respect to the second eccentricities of the ellipsoid in question. The orbit of the unperturbed motion due to the attraction from a homogeneous ellipsoid was taken as an intermediate one. After averaging the perturbing function, in the expansion of which the terms with $\varepsilon^{2}$ and higher terms were discarded, we solved the differential equations in orbital elements. In the future, we plan to carry out qualitative studies.
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APPENDIX A

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{0} & =U\left[1+U^{2} E_{01} v+U^{4} E_{02} v^{2}\right] \\
X_{1} & =\frac{U^{3}}{3}\left[1+U^{2} E_{11} v+U^{4} E_{12} v^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{2}=\frac{U^{3}}{3}\left[1+U^{2} E_{21} v+U^{4} E_{22} v^{2}\right], \\
& X_{3}=\frac{U^{3}}{3}\left[1+U^{2} E_{31} v+U^{4} E_{32} v^{2}\right], \\
& E_{01}=-\frac{1}{6}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right), \quad E_{02}=\frac{1}{40}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{4}\right), \\
& E_{11}=-\frac{3}{10}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right), E_{12}=\frac{9}{56}\left(5 \lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{4}\right), \\
& E_{21}=-\frac{3}{10}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{2}\right), \quad E_{22}=\frac{9}{56}\left(\lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+5 \mu_{0}^{4}\right), \\
& E_{31}=-\frac{3}{10}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right), \quad E_{32}=\frac{3}{56}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{4}\right), \\
& Y_{0}=1-\frac{1}{6}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right) v+\frac{1}{40}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}, \\
& Y_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[1-\frac{3}{10}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right) v+\frac{9}{56}\left(5 \lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right], \\
& Y_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left[1-\frac{3}{10}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{2}\right) v+\frac{9}{56}\left(\lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+5 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right], \\
& Y_{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left[1-\frac{3}{10}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right) v+\frac{3}{56}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right], \\
& V_{01}=\frac{G \rho_{0}}{3}\left[1-\frac{3}{10}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right) v\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{9}{56}\left(5 \lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right], \\
& V_{02}=\frac{G \rho_{0}}{3}\left[1-\frac{3}{10}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{2}\right) \nu\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{9}{56}\left(\lambda_{0}^{4}+2 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+5 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right], \\
& R_{1}=-\frac{G \rho_{1}}{5 C^{2}}\left[1-\frac{5}{14}\left(5 \lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right) v\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{5}{72}\left(35 \lambda_{0}^{4}+10 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right], \\
& R_{2}=-\frac{G \rho_{1}}{5 C^{2}}\left[1-\frac{5}{14}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+5 \mu_{0}^{2}\right) v\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{5}{72}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{4}+10 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+35 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{3}=-\frac{G \rho_{1}}{5 C^{2}}\left[1-\frac{5}{14}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{2}\right) v\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{5}{24}\left(5 \lambda_{0}^{4}+6 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+5 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right], \\
& R_{4}=-\frac{G \rho_{2}}{7 C^{4}}\left[1-\frac{7}{18}\left(7 \lambda_{0}^{2}+\mu_{0}^{2}\right) v\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{7}{88}\left(63 \lambda_{0}^{4}+14 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right], \\
& R_{5}=-\frac{G \rho_{2}}{7 C^{4}}\left[1-\frac{7}{18}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}+7 \mu_{0}^{2}\right) v\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{7}{88}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{4}+14 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+63 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right], \\
& R_{6}=-\frac{G \rho_{2}}{7 C^{4}}\left[1-\frac{7}{18}\left(5 \lambda_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{2}\right) v\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{35}{88}\left(7 \lambda_{0}^{4}+6 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+3 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) v^{2}\right], \\
& R_{7}=-\frac{G \rho_{2}}{7 C^{4}}\left[1-\frac{7}{18}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{2}+5 \mu_{0}^{2}\right) v\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{35}{88}\left(3 \lambda_{0}^{4}+6 \lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}+7 \mu_{0}^{4}\right) \nu^{2}\right], \\
& \theta_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(w^{2}-\sqrt{w^{4}-4 g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}}\right), \\
& \theta_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(w^{2}+\sqrt{w^{4}-4 g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}}\right), w^{2}=4 m^{2}+g_{1}^{2}+g_{2}^{2}, \\
& P_{1}=\frac{g_{1}^{2}-\theta_{1}^{2}}{2 m \theta_{1}}=\frac{2 m \theta_{1}}{g_{2}^{2}-\theta_{1}^{2}}, \\
& P_{2}=\frac{\theta_{2}^{2}-g_{2}^{2}}{2 m \theta_{2}}=\frac{2 m \theta_{2}}{\theta_{2}^{2}-g_{1}^{2}}, \quad \sigma=\frac{2 m}{\theta_{2}^{2}-\theta_{1}^{2}}, \\
& \xi_{1}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{8 P_{1}^{2} P_{2}^{2}} \\
& \times\left[3 \chi P_{2}^{2} R_{1}+3 \chi P_{1}^{2} R_{2}-4 P_{1} P_{2}\left(\chi+3 P_{1} P_{2}\right) R_{4}\right], \\
& \xi_{2}=\frac{P_{2} \sigma^{2}}{8 P_{1}^{2} P_{2}^{2}}\left[3 P_{2}\left(2 P_{1} P_{2}-1\right)\right. \\
& \left.+3 P_{1}^{3}\left(2-P_{1} P_{2}\right) R_{2}+2 P_{1}\left(\chi+3 P_{1} P_{2}\right) R_{4}\right], \\
& \xi_{3}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{8 P_{1}^{2}}\left[3 R_{1}+3 P_{1}^{4} R_{2}+2 P_{1}^{2} R_{4}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi=1-4 P_{1} P_{2}+P_{1}^{2} P_{2}^{2} \\
\eta_{1}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 P_{1} \sqrt{P_{1} P_{2}}}\left[3 P_{2} R_{1}-3 P_{1}^{3} R_{2}-P_{1}\left(1-P_{1} P_{2}\right) R_{4}\right], \\
\eta_{2}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 P_{2} \sqrt{P_{1} P_{2}}}\left[3 P_{2}^{3} R_{1}-3 P_{1} R_{2}+P_{2}\left(1-P_{1} P_{2}\right) R_{4}\right] \\
\zeta_{1}=-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{4 P_{1} P_{2}}\left[3 P_{2}^{2} R_{1}+3 P_{1}^{2} R_{2}-\left(\chi+8 P_{1} P_{2}\right) R_{4}\right] \\
E_{2}=\left(\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}\right)^{2}-8 \zeta_{1}^{2}+8 \zeta_{1} \xi_{1} \\
E_{1}=L\left[\eta_{1}\left(\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}\right)+4 \zeta_{1}^{2}+8 \zeta_{1} \xi_{2}\right] \\
E_{0}=\eta_{1}^{2} L^{2}+8 \zeta_{1}\left(\xi_{3} L^{2}-c_{1}\right), \\
a_{0}=-\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}+2 \xi_{3}\right) L-\frac{L}{8 \zeta_{1}}\left(\eta_{1}^{2}+\eta_{2}^{2}\right), \\
a_{1}=-\xi_{1}-2 \xi_{2}-\frac{1}{8 \zeta_{1}}\left(\eta_{2}^{2}-\eta_{1}^{2}\right), \\
a_{2}=\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right) L^{2}-c_{1}+\frac{\eta_{2}^{2} L^{2}}{8 \zeta_{1}} \\
b_{0}=\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}\right) L+\frac{L}{8 \zeta_{1}}\left(\eta_{2}^{2}-\eta_{1}^{2}\right) \\
\xi_{3}^{2}-c_{1}+\frac{\eta_{2}^{2} L^{2}}{8 \zeta_{1}}, b_{2}=-a_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

APPENDIX B

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}=\mp \frac{N}{8 \zeta_{1} \sqrt{E_{2}}}, \quad \alpha_{k}=-\frac{N_{k}}{N^{2}}, \quad(k=0,1,2,3) \\
N^{2}=16 \zeta_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}, \quad N_{3}=32 \zeta_{1}^{2} d_{3}-4 d_{2} d_{1} \\
N_{2}=-32 \zeta_{1}^{2}\left(D+2 E_{2} E_{1} L+2 E_{1}^{2}\right)-4 d_{1}^{2}-2 D d_{0} d_{2} \\
N_{1}=4 D\left(8 \zeta_{1}^{2} d_{3}-d_{0} d_{1}\right), \quad N_{0}=-D^{2}\left(16 \zeta_{1}^{2}+d_{0}^{2}\right) \\
d_{0}=\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}- \pm \sqrt{E_{2}}, \quad d_{1}=E_{2} \eta_{1} L-E_{1}\left(\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}\right), \\
d_{2}=\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}+\mp \sqrt{E_{2}}, \quad d_{3}=E_{2} L+2 E_{2} \\
W_{0}=-\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}+2 \xi_{3}\right) L+\frac{E_{1}}{E_{2}}\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}\right) \\
-\frac{1}{8 E_{2} \zeta_{1}}\left[\left(\eta_{1}^{2}+\eta_{2}^{2}\right) L E_{2} \mp \eta_{2} L E_{2} \sqrt{E_{2}}+\left(\eta_{1}^{2}-\eta_{2}^{2}\right) E_{1}\right] \\
W_{1}=-\frac{1}{16 E_{2} \zeta_{1}}\left[\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{1}\right) d_{2}+8 \zeta_{1}\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{2}=-\frac{D}{16 E_{2} \zeta_{1}}\left[\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{1}\right) d_{0}+8 \zeta_{1}\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}\right)\right], \\
W_{k+2}=\frac{1}{8 \zeta_{1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)}\left(f_{2} v_{k}^{2}+f_{1} v_{k}-D f_{2}\right), \\
(k=1,2), \\
S_{0}=\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}\right) L+\frac{L\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{1}\right)}{8 \zeta_{1}} d_{2}, \quad S_{k}=-W_{k+2}, \\
(k=1,2), \\
S_{k+2}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{8 \zeta_{1}} \frac{1}{v_{4}-v_{3}}\left(h_{2} v_{k+2}^{2}+h_{1} v_{k+2}-D h_{2}\right), \\
(k=1,2) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The coefficients $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{1}, E_{0}, E_{1}$, and $E_{2}$ are given in Appendix A. In addition,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{2}=\eta_{2} L \mp \sqrt{E_{2}}, \\
f_{1}=16 E_{2} \zeta_{1}\left[\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right) L^{2}-c_{1}\right]+2 E_{2} \eta_{2}^{2} L^{2}, \\
h_{2}=\eta_{1} L \mp \sqrt{E_{2}}, h_{1}=16 E_{2} \zeta_{1}\left(\xi_{3} L^{2}-c_{1}\right)+2 E_{2} \eta_{1}^{2} L^{2}, \\
v_{1,2}=E_{1}+E_{2} L \pm \sqrt{E_{2}} \sqrt{E_{2} L^{2}+2 E_{1} L+E_{0}}, \\
v_{3,4}=E_{1} \pm \sqrt{E_{0} E_{2}}, \quad E_{0} E_{2}>0, \\
u_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-k_{1} \pm \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-4 k_{0}}\right), \\
u_{3,4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1} \pm \sqrt{e_{1}^{2}-4 e_{0}}\right), \\
e_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2}-\sqrt{p_{2}}, \quad e_{0}=\frac{y_{1}}{2}-\frac{p_{1}}{2 \sqrt{p_{2}}}, \\
k_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2}+\sqrt{p_{2}}, \quad k_{0}=\frac{y_{1}}{2}+\frac{p_{1}}{2 \sqrt{p_{2}}}, \\
p_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{3}^{2}}{4}-\alpha_{2}+y_{1}, \quad p_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2} y_{1}-\alpha_{1}, \quad p_{0}=\frac{1}{4} y_{1}^{2}-\alpha_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here, $y_{1}$ is one of the real roots of the cubic equation $y_{1}^{3}-\alpha_{2} y_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}-4 \alpha_{0}\right) y_{1}-\alpha_{3}^{2} \alpha_{0}+4 \alpha_{0} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}^{2}=0$ or $p_{1}^{2}-4 p_{0} p_{2}=0$.
In addition, the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{1}<0, \quad \alpha_{3}>0, \quad \alpha_{0}>0, \quad \alpha_{2}>0, \quad k_{1}>k_{0}>e_{0}>0, \\
e_{1}<0, \quad e_{1}^{2}-4 e_{0}>0, \quad k_{1}^{2}-4 k_{0}>0, \quad p_{2}>0, \\
s_{1}=\frac{u_{4}-u_{3}}{u_{4}-u_{2}}, \quad s_{2}=s_{1} \frac{u_{2}}{u_{3}}, \quad s_{3}=\gamma_{1}, \quad s_{4}=\gamma_{2}, \\
1+s_{n}>0, \quad \gamma_{n}=s_{1} \frac{v_{n}-u_{2}}{v_{n}-u_{3}}, \\
u_{2}<u_{1}<u_{4} \leq u<u_{3}, \quad 1+\gamma_{n}>0, \quad n=1,2,3,4, \\
I_{0}=W_{0}+W_{1} u_{2}+\frac{W_{2}}{u_{2}}+\frac{W_{3}}{v_{1}-u_{2}}+\frac{W_{4}}{u_{2}-v_{2}}, \\
J_{0}=S_{0}+\frac{S_{1}}{v_{1}-u_{2}}+\sum_{n=2}^{4} \frac{S_{n}}{u_{2}-v_{n}}, \\
M=\frac{ \pm \sqrt{E_{2}}\left(u_{3}-u_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(u_{3}-u_{1}\right)\left(u_{4}-u_{2}\right)} \frac{1}{E_{2} N},} \\
I_{1}=M\left[\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{1}\right) d_{2}+8 \zeta_{1}\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}\right)\right], \\
I_{2}=M \frac{D}{u_{2} u_{3}}\left[\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{1}\right) d_{0}+8 \zeta_{1}\left(\xi_{1}+2 \xi_{2}\right)\right], \\
I_{k+2}=\frac{2 E_{2} M}{v_{1}-v_{2}\left(v_{k}-u_{2}\right)\left(v_{k}-u_{3}\right)}\left(f_{2} v_{k}^{2}+f_{1} v_{k}-D f_{2}\right), \\
J_{k}=-I_{k+2}, \quad(k=1,2), \\
J_{n+2}=(-1)^{n} \frac{2 E_{2} M}{v_{4}-v_{3}\left(v_{n+2}-u_{2}\right)\left(v_{n+2}-u_{3}\right)} \\
\times\left(h_{2} v_{n+2}^{2}+h_{1} v_{n+2}-D h_{2}\right), \\
(n=1,2) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The quantities $W_{k}, S_{k}$ and $f_{k}, h_{k}(k=1,2)$ were defined above.

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+w_{n}}} \arctan \left(\sqrt{1+w_{n}} \tan \varphi\right), \quad T_{0}=\varphi \\
1+w_{n}>0, \quad w_{n}=\left\{s_{n}, \gamma_{n}\right\}, \quad D_{s+1}=\frac{1}{w_{n}}\left(T_{s}-D_{s}\right), \\
T_{s+1}=\frac{2 s+1}{2 s+2} T_{s}-\frac{1}{2 s+2} \cos \varphi \sin ^{2 s+1} \varphi
\end{gathered}
$$

Translated by A. Kozlenkov
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#### Abstract

We present our broadband UBVRI observations of the nucleus of the Seyfert galaxy NGC 4151 from 1989 until 2000 at three astronomical observatories: the Crimean Station of the Sternberg Astronomical Institute, the Special Astrophysical Observatory in the Caucasus, and the Maidanak Observatory of the Ulugbek Astronomical Institute in Uzbekistan. All data have been reduced to a single system and are given for an $A=27^{\prime \prime} .5$ aperture. Regular $R$ and $I$ observations show that the pattern of optical variability is the same in all bands; the relative variability amplitude decreases from $U$ to $V$, but it is even slightly larger in $R$ than in $V$. The variability amplitude of the component fluctuating on time scales of several months is proportional to the $U B V$ flux from the component varying on times scales of several years. The correlation between the slow and rapid components significantly weakens in the red, and no correlation has been found between the flux from the slow component and the amplitude of the rapid component in $R$. The color characteristics correspond to the recombination radiation from an optically thick plasma beyond the Balmer limit. © 2001 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica".
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## INTRODUCTION

The Seyfert galaxy NGC 4151 is one of the most interesting and best studied galaxies with active nuclei. Substantial nuclear variability of NGC 4151 has been found in the entire electromagnetic spectrum. Since much observational data are available on NGC 4151, this galaxy is used to test various theoretical models. It is therefore of great interest to systematically supplement the existing database with new observations.

Over the last 30 years, many observations of the NGC 4151 nucleus have been carried out with a $U B V$ photometer at the Crimean Station of the Sternberg Astronomical Institute (SAI). Here, we present our magnitude estimates for this galaxy not only in $U B V$, but also in the near infrared (Johnson's RI bands) made in 1989-1999 at three observatories: the Crimean Station of the SAI (below called Crimea for short), the Maidanak Observatory of the Ulugbek Astronomical Institute in Uzbekistan (Maidanak), and the Special Astrophysical Observatory in the Northern Caucasus (SAO). All data were reduced to a single system. We briefly describe the telescopes and photometers used during the observations, CCD image processing techniques, and our results. We also analyze these observations.

[^4]
## OBSERVATIONS

Most of the data in the wavelength range 3500 to 7000 A with broadband filters were obtained by using photometers with photomultipliers as the detectors. However, the wide use of CCD-based solid-state light detectors in astronomical observations has allowed the photometric variability of extragalactic objects to be investigated not only with relatively small telescopes ( $D=40-60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), but also over a broader spectral range up to $10000 \AA$. With the application of CCD detectors, it has become possible to obtain reliable results for objects fainter than $14-15^{m}$, which seemed problematic when using photoelectric methods of observations.

## Crimea

The Crimean observations before 1997 had been carried out with a photon-counting $U B V$ photometer attached to a $60-\mathrm{cm}$ Zeiss telescope in an instrumental system close to Johnson's standard photometric system [see Lyuty and Doroshenko (1999) for details].

A photometer with a thermoelectrically cooled ST-6 $375 \times 242$ CCD camera was put into operation in 1997. The final image scale on the detector with a reducing lens is 1 ". 8 per pixel. The instrumental field of view is $9.5 \times 7^{\prime} .2$. The photometer is equipped with broadband filters that reproduce a $U B V R I$ system close to Johnson's standard photometric system.

## Maidanak

The observations at the High-Altitude Maidanak Observatory in Uzbekistan were performed with two $60-\mathrm{cm}$ Zeiss reflectors and a $48-\mathrm{cm}$ reflector (AZT-14). All three telescopes were equipped with identical pulse-counting photometers. The detector was a photomultiplier (FEU-79). The measurements were made with standard $U B V R$ filters in Johnson's system. The working apertures were $24^{\prime \prime} .2,27.15$, and $26^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter for the two Zeiss-600 and AZT-14 telescopes, respectively. In order to determine the atmospheric extinction coefficients and the color coefficients of transformation to Johnson's standard photometric system, we observed about ten standard stars from the list of Landolt (1983) on each night. The photometric reduction was performed by using the procedures developed by Nikonov (1976). The observational errors were no larger than $0 .{ }^{m} .035$ in $U$ and no larger than $0 .{ }^{m} .015$ in $B, V$, and $R$.

## $S A O$

The need for photometric studies of active extragalactic objects at SAO at a heavy workload of the $1-\mathrm{m}$ telescope stimulated the creation of a photometric complex based on the Zeiss-600 telescope, which had been rarely used before 1996. The properties of this telescope in combination with stringent requirements for the guiding quality necessitated the creation of an automatic photometer with off-axis guiding and a telescope control system that would allow automatic telescope guiding during an exposure. Such a photometric complex had been constructed by mid-1997. Using a liquid-nitrogen-cooled low-noise $1040 \times 1160$ CCD detector in combination with the ability to take exposures up to 30-40 min without any deterioration of the image quality because of guiding allowed stable direct images with a magnitude limit up to $22^{m}$ to be obtained and $18-19^{m}$ objects to be photometered with a $0^{m} .05$ accuracy (Amirkhanyan et al. 1997).

The detector image scale is 0.145 per pixel, and the full instrumental field of view is $7^{\prime} .5 \times 8.5$. A smallsized CCD array is used as the guider detector; it is sensitive enough to perform reliable guiding with $10-11^{m}$ stars at a mean integration time of $2-3 \mathrm{~s}$. Guiding stars are chosen in a $\sim 1^{\circ}$ field by using a two-coordinate slide in polar coordinates.

In order to speed up the signal readout from the detector and to reconcile the pixel resolution with the seeing, we used a procedure for the signal addition on the detector by pairs in each coordinate during our observations. As a result, the data sampling was $0^{\prime \prime} .9$ per pixel, in good agreement with the mean seeing (1".52"5).

The photometer is equipped with a set of broadband $B V R I$ filters. The instrumental photometric system differs only slightly from Johnson-Cousins' international system used in CCD photometry. We derived equations
for transformation of the instrumental system to the international system by observing several star fields with measured UBVRI magnitudes for many stars.

Apart from the objects under study, we took exposures of the bright dawn and dusk sky and frames with only an electronic zero and dark current during our CCD observations.

## DATA REDUCTION TECHNIQUE

The procedure for reducing the photoelectric observations performed over almost half a century is standard and requires no special explanation.

The reduction technique for CCD observations differs markedly from the methods of reducing photoelectric observations. However, stable image processing methods have also been developed over the ten years of using CCD detectors in astronomy, which have some peculiarities at each observatory, though. We processed our CCD images by using the software developed at SAO. Its main features were described by Vlasyuk (1993).

## Primary Data Reduction

The primary image processing involves subtracting a dark frame from the original image averaged over several integrations and dividing the result by an image with a uniformly illuminated field. These procedures enable us to remove most of the so-called "hot spots," i.e., pixels with an enhanced thermal charge generation, and to allow for a nonuniform detector sensitivity from pixel to pixel. Uniformly illuminated fields in each of the bands can be produced both by integrating the signal from a sufficiently bright dusk or predawn sky and from a uniformly illuminated screen in the tower.

In general, the sky-background nonuniformity in the preprocessed images does not exceed a few percent and mainly results from stray light around bright stars and in the photometer itself. For the required accuracy of photometrically measuring the object under study and reference stars to be achieved, these deviations must be eliminated. To this end, we broke down the entire image into $5-7^{\prime \prime}$ fragments and estimated the local background in them. The possible additional contribution from field objects to a fragment is inferred from the statistical properties of its signal. If the inference is positive, the current fragment is excluded from the subsequent analysis. This procedure yields a compressed image with "clean" background values and with values marked as "polluted." Smoothing this image and filling the "polluted" values by fitting the adjacent "clean" values yield a fairly smooth image. Using the bilinear interpolation method, we reconstruct the array of the original size from this compressed image, which is assumed to be the true background image. Using adjustment parameters, such as, for example, the size of the original fragment and the deviation level in a statistical analysis, allows us to cope with residual back-
ground nonuniformities. When extended sources whose images occupy much of the frame (as, for example, NGC 4151) are measured, the part occupied by the galaxy image is separated from the frame and is considered "polluted" (in the above terminology), while the smoothed background is constructed from the remaining part of the image, as described above.

## CCD Photometry of Objects

The choice of method for photometric measurements of the objects under study was determined by two factors: the need to measure both extended and starlike objects and the need to allow for the possible distortions of the true values due to cosmic-ray particle hits and residual detector defects.

We used the method that proved to be good in photographic data reduction after slightly adjusting the basic parameters. It is based on signal integration in annuli of increasing size with a fixed center at the barycenter of the measured object. By analyzing the distribution of values in each annulus, we can identify and remove distorted values. The integration procedure in increasing annuli terminates when the total flux, which is compared with the dispersion of the background values near the measured object, does not increase in the aperture. Having analyzed the brightness distribution in the annuli, apart from the object's total flux and sizes, we determined its accurate coordinates, shape, and fluxes in the apertures chosen. The procedures described above are applied both to the sources under study and to the reference objects used for photometric calibration.

## Calibration of the Instrumental System

As was already mentioned above, in order to convert the instrumental ubvri system for CCD detectors to Johnson's $\left(U B V R_{J} I_{J}\right)$ or Cousins' $\left(R_{\mathrm{C}} I_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ standard international system, star fields with small angular sizes containing a sufficient number of stars with good photometric measurements should be observed.

In Crimea, we used the open cluster M 67 for this purpose, which was observed on five nights during 1998-1999. The seeing on different nights ranged from $1^{\prime \prime} 5$ to $3^{\prime \prime}$. In the observed $4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime} .5$ region, we measured $\sim 20$ stars of different spectral types and different brightness from $V=9^{m} .70$ to $V=14^{n} .34$. We estimated the standard UBVRI magnitudes of these stars by averaging data from several published sources (Johnson and Sandage 1955; Eggen and Sandage 1964; Schild 1983, 1985; Joner and Taylor 1990; Chevalier and Ilovaisky 1991; Gilliland et al. 1991; Montgomery et al. 1993; Anupama et al. 1994). Since the methods of differential photometry are mainly used to process CCD frames, we measured cluster stars relative to one
of the stars at the frame center when calibrating the system. We sought for a linear relation by least squares:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta V_{B V}=C_{1} \Delta v+C_{2} \Delta(b-v), \\
\Delta V_{V R}=C_{1} \Delta v+C_{2} \Delta(v-r), \\
\Delta(B-V)=C_{1} \Delta(b-v), \\
\Delta(U-B)=C_{1} \Delta(u-b), \\
\Delta(V-R)=C_{1} \Delta(v-r), \\
\Delta(V-I)=C_{1} \Delta(v-i) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The broadband $R$ and $I$ filters used in Crimea reproduce Johnson's system better. Since the $R$ and $I$ magnitudes of stars in many published sources are given in Cousins' system, they were first reduced to Johnson's system by using equations from Landolt (1983). As a result, we obtained the following equations for the transformation of instrumental magnitudes to Johnson's standard system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta V_{b v}= 0.987[0.005] \Delta v+0.083[0.019] \Delta(b-v), \\
& \Delta V_{v r}= 0.984[0.005] \Delta v+0.088[0.018] \Delta(v-r), \\
& \Delta(B-V)=0.777[0.012] \Delta(b-v), \\
& \Delta(U-B)=0.995[0.047] \Delta(u-b), \\
& \Delta\left(V-R_{J}\right)=0.809[0.016] \Delta(v-r), \\
& \Delta\left(V-I_{J}\right)=1.050[0.008] \Delta(v-i) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, ubvri and UBVRI refer to instrumental magnitudes and to the standard system, respectively. The errors in the coefficients are given in square brackets.

The BVRI calibration of the SAO CCD photometer differs only in details. For example, the star fields in the clusters NGC 2419, NGC 4147, NGC 7006, and M 92 were used for calibration. According to these observations, the instrumental photometric system differs from Johnson ( $B V$ )-Cousins' $(R I)$ international system by no more than 5-7\%.

## RESULTS

Thus, all observations at the three observatories (the Crimean Station of the SAI, SAO, and the Maidanak Observatory) and the subsequent data reduction yielded several series of internally homogeneous UBVRI magnitude estimates for the nuclear region of NGC 4151. Our next step was to obtain a single data series as homogeneous as possible. The point is that the apertures used during the Maidanak, SAO, and Crimean measurements were slightly different. Consequently, the actual contribution of the galaxy's stellar radiation to each of these series was different, which made the combined series in each band inhomogeneous. In addition, despite the reduction of all instrumental photometric systems to Johnson's standard system, some differences remained in practice. This could also result in inhomogeneity of the combined data series. So, we
reduced the problem of producing a homogeneous $U B V$ series to calculating the coefficients of linear regression between the Crimean series as the most complete and homogeneous one, on the one hand, and all the remaining series, on the other hand. The magnitudes were first transformed to fluxes. The most reliable data intercalibration is made if there are many common dates of observations between different observatories.

We encountered some difficulties when matching the $R$ fluxes, because there were large breaks in the Maidanak observations started in 1989 and because the Crimean and Caucasian $R$ observations began only in 1997 and 1998, respectively. On the other hand, the galaxy's mean brightness varied nonlinearly in 19891999, and, consequently, a linear interpolation could not be directly used to join these data. To combine them, we used the $R$ measurements during 1989-1996 published by Merkulova and Metik (1993, 1995, 1996) and unpublished data on the continuum flux near $H \alpha$ in 1991-1998, which were kindly made available to us by S.G. Sergeev. These two series helped us combine all $R$ observations into a single series. From tactical considerations (because systematical observations of this galaxy will also be subsequently carried out in Crimea), we took the observations at the Crimean Station of the SAI with the $A=27.15$ aperture as the basis.

In the $I$ band, we have only CCD observations at the Crimean Station of the SAI (December 1997-October 1999) and the published observations by Merkulova and Metik from February 1989 until June 1996. However, these two series cannot be unambiguously joined, because they do not coincide in time.

The nightly mean combined UBVRI magnitudes of NGC 4151 in the $A=27.5$ aperture are given in the table ${ }^{1}$. The observatories are abbreviated as follows: SAIp and SAIc for the Crimean photoelectric and CCD observations, respectively; and Mdn and SAO for the Maidanak photoelectric and SAO CCD observations, respectively.

## ANALYSIS

Figure 1 shows the UBVRI light curves of NGC 4151 as observed from 1989 until early 2000. We see that, in 1989-1996, the nuclear brightness rose against the background of significant variations whose amplitude reached $0^{m} .8$ in $U, 0.5$ in $B$, and $0^{m} .3$ in VRI during some events (on time scales of several months). After 1996, the brightness started to gradually decline together with shorter time variations of approximately the same amplitude as that during the brightening. The entire episode of brightness rise and decline in 19892000 [called cycle B by Lyuty and Doroshenko (1999)] appears as an extraordinary event against the background of previous (cycle A) photoelectric observa-

[^5]tions of the galactic nucleus, which are shown for $U$ in Fig. 2 for comparison. We see from Figs. 1 and 2 that the nuclear brightness in all bands is currently approaching its minimum value during the lowest activity in 1985-1989. The preceding activity cycle lasted much longer (>20 years), though its amplitude was much smaller than that of cycle B (1989-2000). Although the light curves in all bands are similar, note that the total amplitude of the slow component (in magnitudes) in $R$ is larger than that in $V$. Since the number of $I$ observations is insufficient, we cannot infer the total variability amplitude in this band.

For the brightness of the variable nuclear source itself to be analyzed, the contribution of the stellar radiation within the $A=27.5$ aperture must be eliminated. In $U B V$, we used the magnitude estimates for the stellar component from Lyuty and Doroshenko (1999) obtained from multiaperture photometry with $A=27$ ". 5 : $U_{\mathrm{g}}=122^{m} .99, B_{\mathrm{g}}=12^{m} .91$, and $V_{\mathrm{g}}=11^{m} .99$. To estimate the stellar contribution in $R$ and $I$, we used multiaperture data from McAlary et al. (1983) and determined the magnitudes of the galaxy's stars with $A=27^{\prime \prime} .5: R_{\mathrm{c}}=11^{m} .45 \pm 0^{m} .12$ and $I_{\mathrm{c}}=10^{m} .91 \pm 0^{m} .12$. A comparison of the $R$ surface brightness distribution deduced from multiaperture observations with the surface brightness distribution measured from CCD observations (De Robertis et al. 1998) revealed excellent agreement between these distributions. Having subtracted the corresponding stellar fluxes from the observed fluxes in $A=27.15$, we obtained the variability of the central nuclear source in pure form.

Figure 3 shows temporal variations in the UBVR fluxes from the central source. The minimum fluxes from the nucleus are $\sim 6-8 \mathrm{mJy}$ in all $U B V R$ bands. The maximum $U B V$ fluxes are about $95-80 \mathrm{mJy}$; the peak $R$ fluxes are considerably higher. The jaggedness of the light curve on its ascending and descending branches is virtually the same. In late 1999, the $U$ and $B$ fluxes almost reached their 1989 levels. However, the nucleus had not yet faded to its minimum level in $R$.

The assertion that the light curves for the nuclei of Seyfert galaxies exhibit at least two types of variability, a slow variability component on time scales of several years and a rapid component in the form of outbursts lasting for several months, has been universally accepted. Almost the entire history of research on the nuclear variability of Seyfert galaxies is associated with efforts to understand the relationship between these components. Do they differ in physical nature (in that case, there is no relationship between them) or are these variability components related (in that case, they may belong, for example, to the same source)?

Lyuty and Doroshenko (1999) assumed the light variations in the slow component (on time scales of several years) to be associated with the state of the accretion disk. If the more rapid light variations (on time scales of several months) are also associated with the processes in this disk, then the amplitude of the


Fig. 1. UBVRI light curves of NGC 4151 in 1989-2000 with an $A=27^{\prime \prime} .5$ aperture.


Fig. 2. Combined $U$ light curve of NGC 4151 in 1968-2000 with $\AA=27$. 5 .


Fig. 3. UBVR light curves of the variable source in 1989-2000. The flux in the corresponding band is along the vertical axis. The intervals for individual events analyzed in the text with event numbers are indicated by horizontal bars.


Fig. 4. Linear regression parameter $a$ for the fluxes in two adjacent bands for individual events: (a) for the regression equation $F_{U}=a F_{B}+b$, (b) for the regression $F_{B} / F_{V}$, and (c) for the regression $F_{V} / F_{R}$. The event number is along the horizontal axis. The corresponding parameters averaged over the entire data set are indicated by dashed lines.
more rapid variability can correlate with the brightness of the slow component. In order to test this assumption, we selected 16 small outbursts at different phases of the 11-year "superoutburst." The time intervals of these individual events are marked in the $U$ light curve of the nucleus in Fig. 3 (from $N=0$ to $N=15$ ). For these events, we estimated the mean fluxes and variability amplitudes and analyzed flux-flux diagrams in all bands.

Our analysis of the flux-flux diagrams for individual events in the variable source over the entire period since 1989 shows (Fig. 4) that, within the limits of the observational errors, the slopes of the regression lines $F_{U} / F_{B}, F_{B} / F_{V}$, and $F_{V} / F_{R}$ for all events are close to the mean value, with the possible exception of some events in $U$ on the ascending branch of the light curve. This implies that, when the brightness changes from one event to another, the spectral energy distribution of the central variable source is generally constant in the $U B V R$ range. Some difference between the free and zero terms in the regression equations is most likely attributable to small errors in the contribution of the underlying galaxy (from 2 to $19 \%$ for the bands from $U$ to $R$ ).

On the other hand, the amplitude of outbursts on time scales of several months (in mJy) in $U B V$ was found to correlate well with the mean flux during outburst: the brighter the nucleus, on the average, the more


Fig. 5. Correlation between the variability amplitude during each individual event and the mean flux for $\operatorname{UBVR}$ ( $r$ is the correlation coefficient with its error).
intense are its outbursts. This is not the case in $R$, as shown in Fig. 5. We cannot reach any definitive conclusions regarding the behavior in $I$, because the number of observations is insufficient. The coefficients of correlation between the amplitude and the mean flux during outbursts for $U B V R$ are $0.85 \pm 0.08,0.86 \pm 0.07$, $0.76 \pm 0.12$, and $0.33 \pm 0.26$, respectively. Such an analysis for cycle A in $U B V$ for 14 events on the same time scale revealed a correlation between the mean fluxes and amplitudes of outbursts in $U(r=0.76 \pm 0.12)$ and a less significant correlation in $B$ and $V(r=0.66 \pm 0.16$ and $r=0.68 \pm 0.16$, respectively). It should be noted that the total amplitude of the slow variations in cycle A is half that in cycle B . On the one hand, this may be the reason why this correlation is less pronounced in $B V$, but, on the other hand, there may also be other objective factors that cause the correlation between the outburst amplitude and the mean flux during outburst to


Fig. 6. $(U-B)-(B-V)$ and $(B-V)-(V-R)$ diagrams for the mean values during each event. The $(U-B)-(V-I)$ diagram was constructed for six different $I$ brightness states when the $I$ brightness changed from $I=11^{m} \cdot 20$ to $I=13^{m} .13$ during 1997-2000. The solid line refers to synchrotron radiation.
gradually weaken from $U$ to $V$. The flux fluctuations on time scales of several months appear to be actually related in some way to the slow (on time scales of several years) $U B V$ flux variations.

Note that Lyuty and Oknyanskij (1987) previously found a similar correlation in $U$ for cycle A while investigating outbursts with amplitudes $\Delta U>0^{m} .3$. They also concluded that, the higher the mean level of the slow component, the larger the outburst amplitude in $U$; i.e., the outburst amplitude is modulated by the phase of the slow variations. Our data independently confirm this correlation in $U$ for cycle A. For cycle B, it is seen in $U B$ and $V$. However, a gradual weakening of this correlation from $U$ to $V$ and the absence of any correlation in $R$ may imply that a different factor, which breaks the detected correlations for $U B V$, begins to dominate as one goes to the red spectral range. Such a factor can be the presence of another independent variable source, which shows up precisely in the near infrared and whose existence was hypothesized by Lyuty et al. (1998).

For the 14 events of cycle B under consideration, we constructed two-color $(U-B)-(B-V)$ and $(B-V)-(V-R)$
diagrams. The interstellar extinction toward and inside the galaxy was discussed by Lyuty and Doroshenko (1999), who assumed it to be $A_{v}=1^{m}$. We corrected the colors for this extinction when constructing the twocolor diagrams. Since our $I$ observations are few, we combined the I magnitudes into six groups in order of declining brightness and included the corresponding $U-B$ and $V-I$ colors in the $(U-B)-(V-I)$ diagram. The diagrams are shown in Fig. 6. It follows from an analysis of the diagrams that the points corresponding to the colors of the NGC 4151 nucleus lie above the line for synchrotron radiation and a blackbody. A comparison of these diagrams with the diagrams for different types of radiation for Chalenko (1999) leads us to conclude that the colors of the NGC 4151 nucleus most likely correspond to the radiation from an optically thick plasma beyond the Balmer limit.

## CONCLUSION

We have presented our observations of the nucleus of the Seyfert galaxy NGC 4151 from 1989 until 2000
at three astronomical observatories: the Crimean Station of the SAI, the Special Astrophysical Observatory in the Northern Caucasus, and the Maidanak Observatory of the Ulugbek Astronomical Institute in Uzbekistan. All data were reduced to a single system and are given for an $A=27$ ". 5 aperture. As a result, we were able to obtain a homogeneous 10 -year-long series of UBVRI magnitude estimates for the nucleus. Adding RI observations shows that the pattern of optical variability in all bands is the same; the relative variability amplitude decreases from $U$ to $V$, but it is larger in $R$ than in $V$. The variability amplitude of the component fluctuating on times scales of several months is proportional to the $U B V$ flux from the component varying on time scales of several years. The correlation between the slow and rapid components significantly weakens in $R$, in which no correlation was found between the flux from the slow component and the amplitude of the rapid component. The color properties of the radiation correspond to the recombination radiation from an optically thick plasma beyond the Balmer limit. This is consistent with the previous assumption (Lyuty and Doroshenko 1999) that the increase in optical luminosity of the nucleus from 1989 until 1996 resulted from an increase in the size of the accretion disk around a massive black hole at the center of NGC 4151. The fact that some peculiar features in the behavior of the variable source (for example, the absence of any correlation between the variability amplitude of the fluctuating component and the flux from the slow component) show up in $R$ may be attributable to the effect of an intermediate source, which manifests itself in the wavelength range $0.5-1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. This source was investigated by Lyuty et al. (1998).
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#### Abstract

We analyze our $B V R_{\mathrm{c}}$ photometry for UGC 5600, a candidate polar ring galaxy, obtained with the 6 - m telescope. We have confirmed the existence of an inner polar ring and show that the outer ring-shaped structure represents spiral arms; i.e., UGC 5600 belongs to the rare class of gas-rich spiral galaxies with inner polar rings. © 2001 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica"
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## INTRODUCTION

In the catalog of polar ring galaxies (Whitmore et al., 1990), the peculiar galaxy UGC 5600 was included in group B, composed of the most likely candidates for polar ring galaxies. Its main body is an amorphous $40^{\prime \prime} \times 30^{\prime \prime}$ disk surrounded by an outer clumpy ring seen almost face-on. It is noted in the catalog that there is a narrow inner polar ring in a direction perpendicular to the major axis. The distance to the galaxy is 37.6 Mpc (for $H_{0}=75 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ ); the scale corresponding to this distance is 0.18 kpc in $1^{\prime \prime}$.

UGC 5600 is a member of a double system (VV 330). Its companion, the galaxy UGC 5609, lies at a distance of $1.4(\sim 15 \mathrm{kpc}$ in projection onto the plane of the sky) to the southeast and has a radial velocity differing by $\sim 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991; RC3).

Spectroscopic observations (Reshetnikov and Combes 1994) show that the inner and outer rings are rich in gas. Along an axis close to the major axis of the inner ring, the emission lines extend to a distance of 6 kpc ; the changes in radial velocity can be explained by rotation of the gaseous component around the galaxy's major axis. The radial-velocity curve along the major axis is complex in structure. The emission spectrum of the nuclear region in UGC 5600 is characteristic of giant H II complexes.

Since this galaxy has not been photometrically studied in detail, we have included it in our program of observations of polar ring galaxies under way at the Astronomical Institute of St. Petersburg State University.

[^6]
## OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Our photometric observations of UGC 5600 were preformed with the $6-\mathrm{m}$ Special Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) telescope in Johnson's $B$ and $V$ and Cousins's $R_{\mathrm{c}}$ bands. A log of observations is given in Table 1. In all cases, the seeing was $\sim 2^{\prime \prime}$.

The detectors were two CCD arrays. The 1996 image was obtained using a K983 $530 \times 580$ CCD (pixel size $18 \times 24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a readout noise of $12-20 \mathrm{e}^{-}$. The pixel angular size was 0 ". $15 \times 0$ ". 20 , and the field of view was $1.3 \times 2.0$. The $1997-1998$ observations were carried out using an ISD017A $1050 \times 1170$ CCD array (pixel size $16 \times 16 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, or $0.14 \times 0$." 14 in angular units) with a readout noise of $7 \mathrm{e}^{-}$. During each night, we observed standard stars from the lists by Landolt (1983) and Smith et al. (1991) for calibration. Mean transparency coefficients for the SAO were used to correct magnitudes for the atmosphere.

The observations were reduced at the Astronomical Institute of St. Petersburg State University using the ESO-MIDAS software package.

We added up the images in each of the color bands and used only the three total images in the subsequent analysis.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## The General Photometric Structure

A reproduction of the $B$-band image for the galaxy is shown in Fig. 1. The galaxy's main body, $40^{\prime \prime} \times 30^{\prime \prime}$ in size, is surrounded by a broad outer ring. The inner boundary of the ring is blurred, whereas its outer boundary is sharp, as clearly seen in the photometric profiles along the major (Fig. 2) and minor (Fig. 3) axes

Table 1. Observational data

| Date | Band | Exposure, s | $z, \mathrm{deg}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr. 23, 1996 | $V$ | 600 | 46 |
| Feb. 4, 1997 | $B$ | 400 | 35 |
|  | $B$ | 400 | 35 |
| June 24, 1998 | $V$ | 600 | 35 |
|  | $B$ | 600 | 35 |
|  | $R_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 600 | 35 |
|  | $V_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 600 | 56 |
|  |  | 600 | 56 |
|  |  |  | 500 |

(in both cases, the profiles were constructed by averaging within $1^{\prime \prime}$ ). The outer size of the ring along its major axis (based on the $26^{m} / \square$ " isophote) is $80^{\prime \prime}$, and its width is $15^{\prime \prime}-18^{\prime \prime}$. The ring structure is clumpy, but the brightness differences are modest. The mean $B$ surface brightness of the ring is $24^{m} .5 / \square^{\prime \prime}$.

Structural features of the galaxy's main body are better seen on isophotes (Fig. 4). Since the structure of the galaxy is almost the same in all color bands, we consider only $B$-band isophotes.

The inner isophotes have a fairly regular elliptical shape with slight distortions on their southern side approximately to a surface brightness of $22^{m} \cdot 2 / \square^{\prime \prime}$. Between the $22^{m} \cdot 2 / \square^{\prime \prime}$ and $23^{\prime \prime} .1 / \square^{\prime \prime}$ isophotes, the brightenings attributable to the inner ring are observed in the east-west direction roughly parallel to the minor axis. (Note that a fairly red foreground star distorting the isophotes is projected onto the ring at a distance of $10^{\prime \prime}$ to the west of the nucleus.) A more detailed analysis of the galaxy's image with different contrast levels shows that the brightenings can be traced up to the outer ring; they are lost further out. However, a brightening, which may be an extension of the ring, is observed in the western part at a distance of $20^{\prime \prime}$.

For isophotes fainter than $23{ }^{m} .5 / \square^{\prime \prime}$, the axial ratio $b / a$ of the ellipses inscribed in the isophotes increases ( 0.75 instead of 0.65 ), and the position angle of the ellipse major axis decreases ( $-10^{\circ}$ instead of $0^{\circ}$ ). The changes clearly stem from the fact that these parameters characterize different structures (the main body and the outer ring) at different distances. Basic characteristics of UGC 5600 are listed in Table 2.

## Integrated Characteristics

The galaxy's total asymptotic apparent magnitudes were determined by multiaperture photometry. We plotted the curves of brightness rise, which agree with the standard aperture relations for Scd galaxies from RC3. The following integrated characteristics

Table 2. Basic parameters of UGC 5600

| Distance | $37.6 \mathrm{Mpc}(0.18 \mathrm{kpc}$ in 1") |
| :--- | :---: |
| $E_{B-V}(\mathrm{RC} 3)$ | 0.00 |
| $B_{t}$ | 14.45 |
| $V_{t}$ | 13.87 |
| $\left(R_{\mathrm{c}}\right)_{t}$ | 13.35 |
| $(B-V)_{t}$ | 0.58 |
| $\left(V-R_{\mathrm{c}}\right)_{t}$ | 0.52 |
| $M_{0, B}$ | -18.8 |
| Main body: disk | $19.7 \mathrm{~m}(\operatorname{arcsec})^{-2}$ |
| $\mu_{0}$ | 1 kpc |
| $h$ |  |
| Outer ring: spiral arms | $24.5 \mathrm{~m}(\operatorname{arcsec})^{-2}$ |
| $\overline{\mu_{B}}$ | $0.3-0.4$ |
| $B-V$ |  |

were obtained for UGC 5600: $B_{t}=14.45 \pm 0.1,(B-V)_{t}=$ $0.58 \pm 0.1,\left(V-R_{\mathrm{c}}\right)_{t}=0.52 \pm 0.1$. Our $B_{t}$ is larger than that in RC3 ( $B_{t}=14.19$ ), but agrees well with that in UGC ( $B_{t}=14.4$ ). According to RC3, there is no Galactic interstellar extinction. The derived total colors of UGC 5600 are slightly redder than the mean colors for Scd galaxies, although this difference, given the error in the total color, is unlikely to be statistically significant. If, nevertheless, the reddening is assumed to be due to internal extinction in the galaxy, then the extinction in the $B$ band is $\sim 0.4$, and we obtain $M_{0, B}=-18.8$ for the galaxy's absolute magnitude, typical of late-type spirals.


Fig. 1. A reproduction of the $B$-band image for UGC 5600.


Fig. 2. The brightness (a) and color (b) distributions along the major axis.

## The Brightness and Color Distributions

The photometric profiles of the galaxy's main body provide evidence for the absence of a bulge. In Fig. 5, the profile along the major axis is represented by a disk with the parameters $\mu_{0}=19.7 \mathrm{~m}(\operatorname{arcsec})^{-2}$ and $h=5^{\prime \prime}(\sim 1 \mathrm{kpc})$. The central brightness exceeds the mean for normal
galaxies, $\mu_{0}=21.7 m$ (arcsec $^{-2}$ (Simien and de Vaucouleurs 1986), but is not unusual for interacting galaxies.

The blue regions at a distance of $5^{\prime \prime}$ on both sides of the nucleus, to the south and to the north, are the most remarkable feature of the color distribution in UGC 5600 (see Fig. 6, which shows the $B-V$ distribution). They are also clearly seen in Fig. 2 (especially in $B-V$ ). This


Fig. 3. The brightness (a) and color (b) distributions along the minor axis.
is evidently a manifestation of the blue inner ring, which is observed not exactly edge-on. The band is narrower and has sharp edges to the south of the nucleus and broader and more blurred to the north. We can assume that the blue ring is projected onto the red body of the galaxy to the north of the nucleus and is seen
through the galaxy to the south, so that some features are blurred. That the internal extinction in UGC 5600 is modest follows from the two-color diagrams in Fig. 7: they do not show any noticeable elongation along the reddening line (several points in the lower right corner of Fig. 7a represent the nucleus itself, where redder


Fig. 4. The galaxy's isophotes in three bands at $0^{m} \cdot 3 / \square^{\prime \prime}$ intervals. The ranges in the bands are from $20^{m} \cdot 1 / \square^{\prime \prime}$ to $25^{m} \cdot 5 / \square^{\prime \prime}$ in $B$; from $19^{m} \cdot 6 / \square^{\prime \prime}$ to $25^{m} \cdot 6 / \square^{\prime \prime}$ in $V$; and from $18^{m} \cdot 6 / \square^{\prime \prime}$ to $25^{m} \cdot 2 / \square^{\prime \prime}$ in $R$.


Fig. 5. Representation of the galaxy's profile along the major axis (dots) by a disk (solid line).


Fig. 6. The $B-V$ distribution over the galaxy (the larger the color index, the darker the shading).
stars may concentrate). The blue color of the inner ring is also confirmed by the fact that the observed color in the regions corresponding to the isophote swellings due to the inner ring is bluer than that in the adjacent regions to the south and to the north.

In Fig. 7, the data for the galaxy's central part (Fig. 7a) and for the outer ring (Fig. 7b) are plotted separately to emphasize the differences between the diagrams. Since the brightness of the outer ring is low, the errors in the colors are large, causing a considerable scatter of points in Fig. 7b. Nevertheless, we can assert that the outer ring is the galaxy's bluest region. The mean color in this ring matches the colors of the spiral arms $(B-V=0.3-0.4)$. A comparison of Figs. 1 and 6 shows that the color variations have nothing to do with the clumpy structures in


Fig. 7. Two-color diagrams (a) for the galaxy's central region and (b) for the outer ring (the direction of the reddening line is indicated by the segment).
the ring. The latter can be explained by the fact that the brightness rise in some regions of the outer ring is most likely due to fluctuations in stellar density.

A more detailed study of the outer structure indicates that this is probably not a ring, but spiral arms. To


Fig. 8. The $B$-band brightness distribution with the contribution from the galaxy's disk subtracted.
increase the contrast of these features, we subtracted the galaxy's disk contribution from the original image in the region of surface brightness higher than $\mu 22^{m} .2 / \square^{\prime \prime}$ by using our values for the disk parameters and data on the galaxy's flattening. Figure 8 shows the brightness distribution in the residual image. In this figure, the structures resembling two arms wound counterclockwise around the galaxy's main body show up more clearly. One of them begins from its southwestern part, and the other begins from the northeastern part.

## CONCLUSION

Our photometric study has shown that UGC 5600 is most likely a dust-poor late-type spiral. The inner ring is apparently a polar ring seen at an angle to the plane of the sky; it is projected onto the main body on the northern side and is seen through it on the southern side. A final confirmation requires data on the rotation of the stellar component in the galaxy's main body. The presence of a polar ring in the late-type spiral, which, according to spectroscopic observations, is rich in gas, comes as a surprise. Classical polar-ring galaxies usually belong to the S0 type.
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#### Abstract

We analytically generalize the well-known solution of steady supersonic spherically symmetric gas accretion onto a star (Bondi 1952) for an iron atmosphere with completely degenerate electrons with an arbitrary degree of relativity. This solution is used for typical physical conditions in the vicinity of protoneutron stars produced by gravitational collapse with masses $M_{0}=(1.4-1.8) M_{\odot}$ and over a wide range of nonzero "iron gas" densities at infinity, $\rho_{\infty}=\left(10^{4}-5 \times 10^{6}\right) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. Under these conditions, we determine all accretion parameters, including the accretion rate, whose value is $\sim(10-50) M_{\odot} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ at $M_{0}=1.8 M_{\odot}$ (it is a factor of 1.7 lower for $M_{0}=1.4 M_{\odot}$, because the accretion rate is exactly $\propto M_{0}^{2}$ ). We take into account the effect of accreting-gas rotation in a quasi-one-dimensional approximation, which has generally proved to be marginal with respect to the accretion rate. © 2001 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica".
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## INTRODUCTION

The problem of "iron gas" accretion onto the socalled protoneutron star produced by the gravitational collapse of a stellar iron core arose when one-dimensional hydrodynamic calculations of this process were performed. It has been found that collapse develops homologically only within the interior part of a stellar iron core with mass $M_{\mathrm{iFe}}=(0.6-0.8) M_{\odot}$ (see, e.g., Nadyozhin 1998), while its remaining exterior part [with $M_{\mathrm{eFe}}=M_{\mathrm{Fe}}-M_{\mathrm{iFe}} \cong(0.4-1.4) M_{\odot}$, because the stellar mass is taken in a wide range, $M_{\mathrm{Fe}}=(1.2-2.0) M_{\odot}$ ] lags behind in this process and undergoes comparatively slow gas-dynamical accretion onto the proto-neutron-star embryo. Accretion for the largest mass $M_{\mathrm{Fe}}=2 M_{\odot}$ with neutrino energy losses was computed by Nadyozhin (1977) for neutrino-transparency conditions. He obtained a characteristic time $t_{\mathrm{acc}} \cong 10 \mathrm{~s}$, i.e., $M \cong 0.1 M_{\odot} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The hydrodynamic computation itself lasted up to $\sim 3 \mathrm{~s}$. The importance of postshock accretion (a rebound shock from collapse is generated at the instant a homogeneous protoneutron-star embryo forms and generally turns into an accretion shock) was pointed out in connection with the probable formation of a black hole in the remnant of SN 1987A (Brown et al. 1992). Clearly, accretion can facilitate the formation of a black hole, at least by increasing the mass of the central embryo. Estimates of the various authors

[^7]cited in the above paper are based on the well-known steady-state solution by Bondi (1952), because direct hydrodynamic computations of collapse extend over no more than 10 s , while the characteristic times in these estimates are considerably longer, $\sim 10^{3} \mathrm{~s}$.

A similar problem of accretion of the "iron gas" left from the exterior part of a collapsing iron core also arose when rotation effects were considered. Based on a quasi-one-dimensional model, Imshennik and Nadyozhin (1992) showed that, for sufficiently rapid initial rotation of an iron core with $M_{\mathrm{Fe}}=2 M_{\odot}$ and with a proper allowance for neutrino processes, an iron atmosphere with a mass of $(0.1-0.2) M_{\odot}$ distributed fairly uniformly over the entire cavity of the initial iron core with an outer radius $R_{\mathrm{Fe}}=4.38 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~cm}$, i.e., with a mean density $\rho_{\text {efe }} \cong\left(5.66 \times 10^{5}-1.13 \times 10^{6}\right) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, was formed. The presumed long lifetime of a binary of neutron stars, which can be produced by the fragmentation of a rapidly rotating protoneutron star with a total mass of (1.8-1.9) $M_{\odot}$, implies that the steady-state onedimensional problem of "iron gas" accretion onto the more massive neutron star, whose mass is estimated to be (1.4-1.8) $M_{\odot}$ (Imshennik and Manukovskiĭ 2000), is of considerable interest. In this one-dimensional problem, it also makes sense to take into account rotation by using the previously developed quasi-one-dimensional model. It should be immediately noted that the analysis may be restricted to a cold (at zero temperature) "iron gas", but with an arbitrary degree of relativity of its degenerate electrons. Clearly, this problem cannot be reduced to a simple polytropic approximation with a constant adiabatic index, in which the well-known
solution was obtained (Bondi 1952). Here, we nevertheless analytically generalize the latter solution, because the specific enthalpy of a completely ionized degenerate electron gas in an iron atmosphere with an arbitrary degree of relativity of its electrons is very simple in form. The ion component of the "iron gas" contributes nothing to the equation of state. Note that the type of ions or even any their mixture instead of the iron ions with $A=56$ and $Z=26$ considered below is of no importance for our solution.

## STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

## The System of Hydrodynamic Equations

The system of hydrodynamic equations that describes the accretion of degenerate matter onto a star with mass $M_{0}$ in the absence of radiation and without allowance for the intrinsic magnetic field and the cir-cumstellar-matter mass compared to $M_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t}+(\mathbf{V} \nabla) \mathbf{V}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P-\left(\frac{G M_{0}}{r^{2}}-\left\langle E_{r}\right\rangle\right) \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r},  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\operatorname{div} \rho \mathbf{V}=0,  \tag{2}\\
P=P(\rho) . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $\left\langle F_{r}\right\rangle$ is the radial component of the centrifugal force averaged over the solid angle. It is given by (Imshennik and Nadyozhin 1992)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle F_{r}\right\rangle=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\omega_{0}^{2} r_{0}^{4}}{r^{3}}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the initial angular velocity $\omega_{0}$ with which all the matter far from the star rotates is constant and does not depend on angle $\theta$, and $j_{0}=\omega_{0} r_{0}^{2}$ is the specific angular momentum of the matter rotating with angular velocity $\omega_{0}$ on the equator.

Equations (1) and (2) can be significantly simplified, because the problem is steady state and spherically symmetric. In this case, Eqs. (1) and (2) take the form with $V_{r}=V$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \frac{d V}{d r}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d P}{d r}-\frac{G M_{0}}{r^{2}}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{j_{0}^{2}}{r^{3}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{2} \rho V\right)=0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may write the continuity equation (6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{M}=4 \pi r^{2} \rho V=\text { const } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce a constant $A \equiv \frac{\dot{M}}{4 \pi}$, which determines the rate of gas accretion. The quantity $A$ is the most impor-
tant parameter of the problem and must be determined when solving the system of equations (5) and (7).

## The Equation of State

Below, we use the equation of state for a completely degenerate (ideal) electron gas with an arbitrary degree of relativity; i.e., we imply a zero entropy of the matter composed of ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$. The matter is considered to be completely degenerate if the following degeneracy condition (Landau and Lifshitz 1951, pp. 185-192) is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \ll \frac{(3 \pi)^{2 / 3}}{2} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{k_{B} m_{e}} n_{e}^{2 / 3} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our case, the condition (8) is always satisfied for the following reasons. The gas temperature is moderately high far from the neutron star, $\leq 10^{9} \mathrm{~K}$ (Imshennik and Manukovskiĭ 2000), and the condition (8) is valid, for example, at a typical value of $\rho=10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, so $n_{e}=$ $2.80 \times 10^{29} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ and, hence, $T_{\text {deg }}=1.80 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~K}$. As the star is approached, the density of the matter rises, increasing its degeneracy temperature. Therefore, approximately the same rise in gas temperature for isoentropic compression does not affect the validity of (8) and has no significant effect on the course of accretion. Thus, the electron density in such a completely ionized iron plasma ("iron gas") is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{e}=\frac{\rho}{m_{u}} \frac{26}{56}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{u}$ is the atomic mass unit. In this case, the pressure $P$ and specific internal energy $\varepsilon$ are given by (Landau and Lifshitz 1951)

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=C\left[\xi_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\frac{2}{3} \xi_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-1\right) \sqrt{1+\xi_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}}+\operatorname{arcsinh} \xi_{\mathrm{F}}\right],  \tag{10}\\
& \varepsilon=\frac{C}{\rho}\left[\xi_{\mathrm{F}}\left(2 \xi_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}+1\right) \sqrt{1+\xi_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}}-\operatorname{arcsinh} \xi_{\mathrm{F}}\right], \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the dimensionless Fermi momentum (in units of $m_{e} c$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{\mathrm{F}}=B \rho^{1 / 3}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constants $B$ and $C$ take on the well-known values

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\frac{2 \pi \hbar}{m_{e} c}\left(\frac{39}{224 \pi m_{u}}\right)^{1 / 3}=7.792 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~g}^{-1 / 3}, \\
& C=\pi m_{e} c^{2}\left(\frac{m_{e} c}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{3}=1.801 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to verify that the functions (10) and (11), as should be the case, satisfy the thermodynamic relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\rho^{2} \frac{d \varepsilon}{d \rho} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the specific entropy, according to Eqs. (10) and (11), is constant and zero.

Subsequent calculations can be substantially simplified by using a simple representation of the derivatives of pressure (Imshennik and Manukovskiĭ 2000):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial \eta}=M \rho \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \eta}, \quad \Phi=\sqrt{1+B^{2} \rho^{2 / 3}}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is an arbitrary parameter, and $M$ can be expressed in terms of the constants $B$ and $C$ introduced above:

$$
M=\frac{8}{3} C B^{3}=2.272 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}
$$

We emphasize that the function $\Phi$ has the meaning of dimensionless specific enthalpy of a completely degenerate electron gas, as can be easily verified using Eqs. (10) and (11) by adding up $\varepsilon$ and $P / \rho$, i.e., $\varepsilon+$ $P / \rho=M \Phi$.

In the limiting cases, the pressure (10) for the degree of relativity $\xi_{\mathrm{F}} \geqslant 1$ and $\xi_{\mathrm{F}} \ll 1$ can be represented in a form convenient for the subsequent calculations as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{\mathrm{F}} \ll 1: P=\frac{8 C}{3}\left(\frac{B^{5} \rho^{5 / 3}}{5}-\frac{B^{7} \rho^{7 / 3}}{14}\right),  \tag{15}\\
& \xi_{\mathrm{F}} \gg 1: P=\frac{2 C}{3}\left(B^{4} \rho^{4 / 3}-B^{2} \rho^{2 / 3}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

## The Equation of "Iron Gas" Accretion

Let us rewrite the Euler equation (5) by using relation (14) at $\eta=r$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \frac{d V}{d r}=-M \frac{d \Phi}{d r}-\frac{G M_{0}}{r^{2}}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{j_{0}^{2}}{r}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence the Bernoulli integral can be easily obtained. This integral, together with the continuity equation (7) and the definition of constant $A$, gives the system of equations that completely determines spherically symmetric steady "iron gas" accretion:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{V^{2}}{2}+M \Phi & =\beta+\frac{G M_{0}}{r}-\frac{j_{0}^{2}}{3 r^{2}}  \tag{18}\\
V & =\frac{A}{\rho r^{2}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the integration constant, and the specific enthalpy $M \Phi$ naturally enters into the Bernoulli integral (Landau and Lifshitz 1987). The constant $\beta$ is determined by the gas density for $r \longrightarrow \infty$, where $V \longrightarrow 0$. Indeed, taking $r \longrightarrow \infty$ in Eqs. (18) and (19) yields at $\rho_{\infty} \neq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=M \Phi_{\infty}=m \sqrt{1+B^{2} \rho_{\infty}^{2 / 3}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be immediately noted that the inequality $\beta>M$ follows from (20). The constant $\beta$ can be determined by specifying the density $\rho_{0}$ at some finite point $r_{0}$. However, we will not consider this method of determining $\beta$, because the solution becomes considerably more complicated and because the results differ only slightly from the results of the method outlined above.

Finally, we derive a general expression for the speed of sound, which is required for the subsequent analysis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho}\right)_{S}=\frac{d P}{d \rho} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an "iron gas," it can be uniquely represented, according to (14) at $\eta=\rho$, as a function of dimensionless specific enthalpy $\Phi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=M \rho \frac{d \Phi}{d \rho}=\frac{M}{3} \frac{\Phi^{2}-1}{\Phi} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## THE METHOD OF SOLUTION AND RESULTS

## Passage through the Speed of Sound

Following Bondi (1952), we seek the point of passage through the speed of sound (sonic point) by assuming that this passage occurs under the additional condition for the first derivatives of all quantities being equal. This condition was justified by Zel'dovich and Novikov (1971) in their qualitative analysis of the system of equations (18) and (19). Setting $V=a$ in (18) and (19) and using (22), we find that the following relations hold at the sonic point:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{M 7 \Phi^{2}-1}{\Phi}=\beta+\frac{G M_{0}}{r}-\frac{j_{0}^{2}}{3 r^{2}}  \tag{23}\\
\frac{M}{3} \frac{\left(\Phi^{2}-1\right)^{4}}{\Phi}=\frac{A^{2} B^{6}}{r^{4}} . \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, the density $\rho$ is expressed in terms of $\Phi$ as $\rho^{2}=$ ( $\left.\Phi^{2}-1\right)^{3} B^{-6}$, according to (14). Differentiating (23) and (24) with respect to $r$ and eliminating the derivative $\frac{d \Phi}{d r}$ from the derived expressions and using (24) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=\frac{G M_{0}}{2 r}-\frac{j_{0}^{2}}{3 r^{2}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that precisely the same expression for the speed of sound at the sonic point would also be obtained for the polytropic equation of state $P=$ $P_{0}\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}}\right)^{\gamma}$ for a gas (Lipunov 1987), suggesting that this solution is similar to the solution of Bondi (1952).

From (23) and (25) and using (22) for $r=r_{s}$, we derive the system of equations for the sonic point $r=r_{\mathrm{s}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& M \Phi=\beta+\frac{3 G M_{0}}{4 r_{\mathrm{s}}}-\frac{j_{0}^{2}}{6 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}},  \tag{26}\\
& \frac{M}{3} \frac{\Phi^{2}-1}{\Phi}=\frac{G M_{0}}{2 r_{\mathrm{s}}}-\frac{j_{0}^{2}}{3 r_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}, \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

whence, after eliminating the sought-for quantity $\Phi$, we obtain an algebraic equation for $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
144\left(\beta^{2}-M^{2}\right) r_{\mathrm{s}}^{4}+\left[96 \beta j_{0}^{2}-81\left(G M_{0}\right)^{2}\right] r_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}  \tag{28}\\
\quad+108 j_{0}^{2} G M_{0} r_{\mathrm{s}}-20 j_{0}^{4}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution of this equation for $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ with each pair of $\beta$ and $j_{0}$ values in this problem is unique. It defines the constant $A$ for the accretion rate via $r_{\mathrm{s}}$, according to (24):

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\frac{r_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}{B^{3} M^{3}} \\
\times \sqrt{\left(\frac{G M_{0}}{2 r_{\mathrm{s}}}-\frac{j_{0}^{2}}{3 r_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}\right)\left[\left(\beta+\frac{3}{4} \frac{G M_{0}}{r_{\mathrm{s}}}-\frac{j_{0}^{2}}{6 r_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}\right)^{2}-M^{2}\right]^{3}} \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

The criterion for selecting the only root for $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ from (28) is discussed below.

## Constraining the Angular Momentum

Note that a constraint on $j_{0}$ follows from the Bernoulli integral (18). Indeed, the left part of this equation is positive; therefore, its right part must also be greater than zero for all $r$, including the smallest distance $r=R$, where $R$ is the neutron-star radius. Thus, the following inequality must hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta+\frac{G M_{0}}{R}-\frac{j_{0}^{2}}{R^{2}}>0 . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, according to (20), $\beta>M$, the maximum possible specific angular momentum of the gas at infinity $j_{0}^{\text {max }}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{0}^{\max }=3 M R^{2}+3 R G M_{0} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the inequality (30) to hold, it will clearly suffice that the specified $j_{0}$ be smaller than $j_{0}^{\text {max }}$ (31).

$$
\text { The } j_{0}=0 \text { Case }
$$

If there is no rotation, Eq. (28) takes a simple form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
144\left(\beta^{2}-M^{2}\right) r_{\mathrm{s}}^{4}-81\left(G M_{0}\right)^{2} r_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be easily solved, and the only physically reasonable root is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{3 G M_{0}}{4 \sqrt{\beta^{2}-M^{2}}} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The accretion rate (29) then explicitly depends on $\beta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{\left(G M_{0}\right)^{2}}{4 B^{3} M^{3}}\left(3 \sqrt{\beta^{2}-M^{2}}+3 \beta\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from a substitution of $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ (33) in (29) for $j_{0}=0$.

## Limiting Cases of the Equation of State

Assuming, as before, that $j_{0}=0$, we derive expressions for $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $A$ in the two limiting cases of the general equation of state (10), $\xi_{\mathrm{F}} \gg 1$ and $\xi_{\mathrm{F}} \ll 1$, with only the first expansion terms from (15) and (16) being retained in (26) and (27). According to (15), $P=$ $\frac{8 C B^{5} \rho^{5 / 3}}{3}$ for $\xi_{\mathrm{F}} \ll 1$; attempting to determine, as previously, the radius $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ of the sonic point and using the fact that $\Phi=1+\frac{B^{2} \rho^{2 / 3}}{2}$ in this case, we obtain the following. The radius $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ of the point of passage through the speed of sound completely drops out of the system of equations (26) and (27), which yields $\beta=M$ alone. Formula (33) is therefore inapplicable in this case, and $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ can be determined only from Eq. (28) by setting $\beta=M$ and $j_{0}=0$. Thus, we find that $r_{\mathrm{s}}=0$, and the flow is subsonic everywhere, because it is subsonic by the meaning of the boundary condition (20) when $r \longrightarrow \infty$. Note that this result is consistent with Eq. (32), which, apart from the root given by (33), has the second root $r_{\mathrm{s}}=0$. The accretion rate in this limiting case does not depend on $\beta$ at all and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5 / 3}=\frac{\left(G M_{0}\right)^{2}}{4 B^{3}}\left(\frac{3}{M}\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may be shown that in this special case, the accretion rate follows not only from the general formula (29) (at $j_{0}=0$ ) with a substitution of the root found $r \longrightarrow$ $r_{\mathrm{s}}=0$, but also from formula (34), in which the other root $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ from (33) has already been substituted. This is one of the properties of the special case under consideration. According to (16), $P=\frac{2 C}{3} B^{4} \rho^{4 / 3}$ in the other limiting case $\xi_{\mathrm{F}} \geqslant 1$, and no such complications arise. As with the general equation of state and $j_{0} \neq 0$, we easily find that, for $\Phi=B \rho^{1 / 3}$ and $\beta \gg M$ and according to (33),

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{3 G M_{0}}{4 \beta} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then derive from (34)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{4 / 3}=\frac{\left(G M_{0}\right)^{2}}{4 B^{3}}\left(\frac{6 \beta}{M^{2}}\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the accretion rate exceeds $A_{5 / 3}$ by a factor of $\left(\frac{2 \beta}{M}\right)^{3 / 2} \gg 1$ in this limiting case. Note that (37) closely matches Bondi's solution for $\gamma=4 / 3$ (Spitzer 1981, pp. 309-311). We see from (34), (35), and (37) that the accretion rate increases with $\beta$. The value of $A=A_{5 / 3}$ reaches a minimum at $\beta=M$ and then increases initially according to (34) and, at large $\beta$, according to (37). This tendency is also preserved for a nonzero angular momentum $j_{0}$; only the rotation slightly slows down its growth. If one expansion term in (15) is retained, then Eq. (28) does not have any real solutions at all for $j_{0} \neq 0$. This implies that, if the equation of state is $P \propto \rho^{5 / 3}$, then the rotation cannot be specified in the above way. This difficulty can be circumvented by retaining the second term in the expansion (15), as is done below.

## Critical Radius $r_{c}$

The radius up to which the gas density is essentially constant and equal to $\rho_{\infty}$ can be determined by using Eqs. (18) and (19) (Zel'dovich and Novikov 1971). Substituting (19) in (18) and assuming that $\rho=\rho_{\infty}$ yield the equation for $r_{c}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{c}}^{3}-\frac{j_{0}^{2}}{3 G M_{0}} r_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}-\frac{A^{2}}{2 \rho_{\infty}^{2} G M_{0}}=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $j_{0}=0$, then an exact expression for $r_{c}$ can be derived from (38) by taking into account relation (34) for $A$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{3 G M_{0}}{2 \sqrt[3]{4} B^{3} M^{2} \rho_{\infty}^{2 / 3}}\left(\beta+\sqrt{\beta^{2}-M^{2}}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since introducing the critical radius $r_{c}$ allows the notion of infinity to be excluded, in a sense, this problem may be considered in a bounded region of space without taking care of other effects in this space. An analysis actually shows that the derivative $d \rho / d r$ does not become zero at $r>r_{\mathrm{c}}$.

## Dimensionless Equations

Before turning to numerical estimates, we transform the basic equations to dimensionless ones. Let us first consider Eq. (28), which is to be solved numerically. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{s}}=f\left(\beta, G M_{0}, j_{0}, M\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ can be a function of all four dimensional parameters in the derived equation. Their dimensions, including $r_{\mathrm{s}}$, are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[r_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=L, \quad\left[G M_{0}\right]=\frac{L^{3}}{T^{2}}, \quad[M]=[\beta]=\frac{L^{2}}{T^{2}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see from the dimensional formulas (41) that only two of the four parameters have independent dimensions. Indeed, taking $G M_{0}$ and $M$ as these two parameters, we then easily find that $[\beta]=[M]$ and $\left[j_{0}\right]=$ $\frac{\left[G M_{0}\right]}{[M]^{1 / 2}}$, while $\left[r_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=\frac{\left[G M_{0}\right]}{[M]}$. According to the elementary dimensional theory (Sedov 1957), Eq. (32) can thus be represented in dimensionless form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=f\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P=f, P_{1}, P_{2}$ are dimensionless quantities, which are defined according to the above dimensions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{r_{\mathrm{s}} M}{G M_{0}}, \quad P_{1}=\frac{\beta}{M}, \quad P_{2}=\frac{j_{0}^{2} M}{\left(G M_{0}\right)^{2}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the definitions (43), we can write Eq. (28) in dimensionless form as

$$
\begin{gather*}
144\left(P_{1}^{2}-1\right) f^{4}+\left(96 P_{1} P_{2}-81\right) f^{2} \\
\quad+108 P_{2} f-20 P_{2}^{2}=0 \tag{44}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us now make the first numerical estimates. We consider a hot neutron star (protoneutron star) with radius $R=60 \mathrm{~km}$ and mass $M_{0}=1.8 M_{\odot}\left(\right.$ or $\left.M_{0}=1.4 M_{\odot}\right)$ as the accreting star. The range of $P_{1}$ is chosen from the following considerations. The parameter $P_{1}$ is always greater than unity because of the constraint $\beta>M$, but differs only slightly from unity at $\rho_{\infty} \leq 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. We may assume that $1<P_{1} \leq 1.268$. The parameter $P_{2}$ changes from zero at $j_{0}=0$ to $P_{2}^{\max }$ at $j_{0}=j_{0}^{\max }$. According to (31), $j_{0}^{\max }=6.576 \times 10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(\right.$ at $\left.M_{0}=1.8 M_{\odot}\right)$ or $j_{0}^{\text {max }}=5.805 \times 10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(\right.$ at $\left.M_{0}=1.4 M_{\odot}\right)$ for the selected neutron-star radius. Note that these maximum values correspond to our estimates of the total angular momentum $J_{0}=(1.2-2.6) \times 10^{49} \mathrm{erg}$ s for the above ironatmosphere mass in the range $(0.1-0.2) M_{\odot}$. Thus, the range of $P_{2}$ is also narrow: $0 \leq P_{2} \leq 0.017\left(M_{0}=1.8 M_{\odot}\right)$ and $0 \leq P_{2} \leq 0.022\left(M_{0}=1.4 M_{\odot}\right)$. We may therefore assume that $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $r_{\mathrm{c}}$ cannot differ greatly from their values at $j_{0}=0$. This can serve as a criterion when choosing the roots of Eqs. (28) and (38) for a nonzero angular momentum of the gas at infinity. The smallness of $P_{2}$ implies that the rotation effect is a small correction in the problem under consideration. According
to (29), the dimensionless function $f$ determines the accretion rate. Introducing a dimensionless parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{3}=\frac{A^{2} B^{6} M^{3}}{\left(G M_{0}\right)^{4}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

we derive from (29):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{3}=f^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{P_{2}}{3 f}\right)\left[\left(P_{1}+\frac{3}{4 f}-\frac{P_{2}}{6 f^{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]^{3} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same procedure to make Eq. (38) dimensionless yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{3}-\frac{P_{2}}{3} g^{2}-\frac{P_{3}}{2\left(P_{1}^{2}-1\right)^{3}}=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=\frac{r_{\mathrm{c}} M}{G M_{0}}$, and we took into account the obvious relation $\rho_{\infty}^{2}=\left(P_{1}^{2}-1\right)^{3} B^{-6}$.

In order to obtain the profiles of fall velocity $V(r)$ and speed of sound $a(r)$ and the dependence $\rho(r)$, the system of equations (18) and (19) should be reduced to a single dimensionless equation. To this end, we substitute (19) in (18), use the fact that by definition $\rho^{2}=\left(\Phi^{2}-\right.$ $1)^{3} B^{-6}$, and introduce a dimensionless independent variable $h=\frac{r M}{G M_{0}}$. We then find that the sought-for function $\Phi$ satisfies the following equation with the independent variable $h$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\Phi^{2}-1\right)^{3}+\frac{P_{3}}{2 h^{4}}-\left(P_{1}+\frac{1}{h}-\frac{P_{2}}{3 h^{2}}\right)\left(\Phi^{2}-1\right)^{3}=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an algebraic equation of the seventh degree whose even powers all have coefficients dependent on $h$, $P_{1}$, and $P_{2}$ (a dependence on $P_{3}$ also appears for a zero power of $\Phi$ ), while the odd powers of $\Phi$ are constant.

The function $\Phi=\Phi(h)$ of interest can be numerically found from Eq. (48). After changing to a dimensional independent variable $r=\frac{G M_{0}}{M} h$, this function allows us to determine the dependence $\rho(r)=\left(\Phi^{2}-\right.$ $1)^{3 / 2} B^{-3}$ and then $V(r)$ from (19) and $a(r)$ from (22). We emphasize that these calculations are in no way related to the previous solution for the parameters of the sonic point, but the dimensionless parameter $P_{3}$ was taken precisely from the latter as the accretion rate.

## Accretion Rate

According to Eq. (46), $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ determine the dimensionless radius of the sonic point $f$ and, consequently, according to (46), the parameter $P_{3}$, i.e., the accretion rate. It is of interest to compare the accretion rates $A$ for different general equations of state and different rotation effects. As has already been mentioned
above, the polytropic case with $P \propto \rho^{5 / 3}$ and $j_{0} \neq 0$ cannot be realized for the method of allowance for rotation under consideration. This difficulty can be overcome by assuming that the equation of state is given by the full two-term expression (15). Similar to the above procedure, we then find for the general equation of state and its limiting cases that the dimensionless radius of the sonic point $f$ can be determined from the equation of the fourth degree

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 P_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{4}+36 P_{2}\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{3}+\left(96 P_{1} P_{2}-192 P_{2}+81\right)\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{2} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

$+432\left(P_{1}-1\right) \frac{1}{f}+576\left(P_{1}-1\right)^{2}-288\left(P_{1}-1\right)=0$
The accretion rate, as before, is given by (46). If, however, we set $P_{2}=0$ in (49), then it is easy to find that the solution of the quadratic equation without rotation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{P_{1}-1}\left(\sqrt{2}-2 \sqrt{P_{1}-1}\right)} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see from (50) that the approximate equation of state (15) is applicable for $1<P_{1}<1.5$. This result near the lower limit of $P_{1}$ matches the root (33) for the general equation of state. If, alternatively, we assume that $\xi_{\mathrm{F}} \gg 1$, then it will suffice to take only the first expansion term in (16) in order to obtain $A$ for all possible values of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. In this case, the equation for the radius of the sonic point is

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 P_{1} f^{2}-9 f+10 P_{2}=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that (36) follows from (51) at $P_{2}=0$. The accretion rate, as before, is given by (46).

Thus, expression (46) is valid for any of the equations of state under consideration, but the values of $f$ in (46) for each of them can be determined from the corresponding Eqs. (44), (49), and (51).

## Numerical Results

We numerically solved Eqs. (44), (47), and (48), which yielded the full pattern of spherically symmetric supersonic steady "iron gas" accretion. Our main results are given in Tables 1 and 2. Note that the last two rows in both tables refer to $M_{0}=1.4 M_{\odot}$, because the range of $P_{2}$ is extended for such a neutron-star mass. The remaining dimensionless parameters in Tables 1 and 2 are the same for $M_{0}=1.8 M_{\odot}$ and $M_{0}=1.4 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$.

Below, we provide formulas for transforming the dimensionless parameters in the tables to dimensional quantities. For $M_{0}=1.8 M_{\odot}$, they appear as

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{\mathrm{s}}=1.052 \times 10^{9} f \mathrm{~cm}, \quad r_{\mathrm{c}}=1.052 \times 10^{9} g \mathrm{~cm} \\
r=1.052 \times 10^{9} h \mathrm{~cm}, \quad A=1.116 \times 10^{33} P_{4}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
j_{0}=5.014 \times 10^{17} P_{2}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \tag{52}
\end{gather*}
$$

and slightly differently for $M_{0}=1.4 M_{\odot}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{\mathrm{s}}=8.185 \times 10^{8} f \mathrm{~cm}, \quad r_{\mathrm{c}}=8.185 \times 10^{8} g \mathrm{~cm} \\
r=8.185 \times 10^{8} h \mathrm{~cm}, \quad A=6.753 \times 10^{32} P_{4}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
j_{0}=3.900 \times 10^{17} P_{2}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \tag{53}
\end{gather*}
$$

We clearly see from Tables 1 and 2 that the accretion rate dramatically increases with $\rho_{\infty}$, but the sonic point approaches the star, and the accretion region becomes more compact. At the same time, introducing an average centrifugal force reduces the accretion rate at each given density $\rho_{\infty}$. However, because of the significant constraint (35), the effect of given rotation is marginal and cannot offset the rise in accretion rate due to an increase in $\rho_{\infty}$; rotation has an appreciable effect only when $j_{0}$ is close to $j_{0}^{\max }$.

The profiles of fall velocity $V(r)$, speed of sound $a(r)$, and density $\rho(r)$ were obtained by solving Eq. (48) with specified $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ for all $h$ with $R<r<r_{\mathrm{c}}$. The results are presented in Figs. 1-4. Figure 1 shows the density profiles and $\Phi(r)$ for various cases of "iron gas" accretion at $P_{1}=1.19$. We see that, if the gas has an angular momentum at infinity, the density near the star is appreciably higher and exceeds the density of the gas with a zero angular momentum up to the sonic point; this difference is barely noticeable after this point. The Bernoulli integral (19) in dimensionless form can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\Phi+\frac{1}{2} \frac{P_{3}}{\left(\Phi^{2}-1\right)^{3} h^{4}}-\frac{1}{h}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{P_{2}}{h^{2}}=P_{1} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the terms in the left part have the meaning of dimensionless enthalpy, kinetic energy, gravitational energy, and rotational energy, respectively. For the energy characterization of the contribution of rotation to the accretion solution, specific numerical values of these terms in the case $P_{1}=1.19$ under consideration (Table 2 and Figs. 1, 2) can be compared for two values of $P_{2}: P_{2}=0$ and $\tilde{P}_{2}=0.015$. It is natural to make this comparison at the sonic points with dimensionless coordinates $h=f$, where $f=1.164, \tilde{f}=1.141$ and $P_{3}=10.41, \tilde{P}_{3}=10.23$. The four corresponding terms from (54) are given in Table 3. Note that the sum of all four terms is $P_{1}-1=0.19$, the enthalpy at infinity. In particular, the enthalpy at the sonic point for the above two values of $P_{2}$ increased in a ratio $\Phi /\left(P_{1}-1\right)$ of 4.39 and 4.45 , respectively. At the same time, the kinetic, gravitational (in magnitude), and rotational energies account for 0.258 and $0.257,1.030$ and 1.037, and a mere 0.005 of this enthalpy, respectively. The energy effect of rotation at the sonic point is less than $1 \%$. We may also compare the rotation velocity $V_{\varphi}=j_{0} / r$ with the fall velocity $V_{f}=M^{1 / 2} P_{3}^{1 / 2}\left(\Phi^{2}-1\right)^{-3 / 2} f^{-2}$, so that $V_{\varphi} / V_{f} \cong 0.163$.

Table 1. Basic dimensionless parameters $f, P_{3}$, and $g$ for our numerical solutions at $R=60 \mathrm{~km}$ and $\rho_{\infty}=10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\left(P_{1}=1.014\right)$ versus $P_{2}$

| $P_{2}$ | $f$ | $P_{4}$ | $g$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4.469 | 2.785 | 39.647 |
| 0.0001 | 4.468 | 2.785 | 39.647 |
| 0.001 | 4.465 | 2.785 | 39.643 |
| 0.005 | 4.452 | 2.781 | 39.628 |
| 0.01 | 4.435 | 2.777 | 39.609 |
| 0.012 | 4.428 | 2.775 | 39.601 |
| 0.015 | 4.418 | 2.773 | 39.589 |
| 0.017 | 4.411 | 2.771 | 39.581 |
| 0.02 | 4.401 | 2.769 | 39.570 |
| 0.022 | 4.394 | 2.767 | 39.562 |

Table 2. Same as Table 1 for $\rho_{\infty}=5.66 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\left(P_{1}=1.190\right)$

| $P_{2}$ | $f$ | $P_{4}$ | $g$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.164 | 10.410 | 4.174 |
| 0.0001 | 1.164 | 10.409 | 4.174 |
| 0.001 | 1.162 | 10.398 | 4.172 |
| 0.005 | 1.156 | 10.350 | 4.166 |
| 0.01 | 1.149 | 10.290 | 4.159 |
| 0.012 | 1.146 | 10.266 | 4.156 |
| 0.015 | 1.141 | 10.230 | 4.151 |
| 0.017 | 1.138 | 10.204 | 4.148 |
| 0.02 | 1.133 | 10.170 | 4.144 |
| 0.022 | 1.130 | 10.144 | 4.140 |

Figure 1 also shows the density profiles for the free fall of a gas both with and without an angular momentum. These solutions can be easily obtained from the Bernoulli integral for a zero enthalpy of the matter and zero fall velocity at infinity in Eqs. (18) and (19):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sqrt{\frac{2 G M_{0}}{r}-\frac{2}{3} \frac{j_{0}^{2}}{r^{2}}}, \quad \rho=\frac{A}{V r^{2}} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a comparison with the accretion solutions under consideration, it would be natural to use the same accretion rates $A$ in (55).

Figure 2 shows the $V(r)$ and $a(r)$ profiles for a gas with a zero angular momentum. We clearly see the sonic point after which the accretion becomes supersonic. The fall velocity near the star continues to increase. Also shown in the figure is the profile of the gas fall velocity, which is higher than the accretion velocity everywhere and exceeds it by a factor of a mere 1.051 at $r=R=60 \mathrm{~km}$. Figure 3 presents the $V(r)$ and $a(r)$ profiles for a gas with an angular momentum that is sufficiently close the maximum possible value. The principal difference between Figs. 3 and 2 is


Fig. 1. The profiles of dimensionless density $\rho B^{3}$ and enthalpy $\Phi$ for an "iron gas" in the general case of accretion described by (18) and (19) and for a free fall with various rotation effects at $P_{1}=1.190$ (cf. Table 2). The thin solid line and squares represent the $\Phi$ profile for the general case of accretion at $P_{2}=0$ and 0.015 , respectively; the dotted line and circles represent the $\Phi$ profile for a free fall at $P_{2}=0$ and 0.015 ; the heavy solid line and crosses represent the $\rho B^{3}$ profile for the general case of accretion at $P_{2}=0$ and 0.015 ; and the dashed line and triangles represent the $\rho B^{3}$ profile for a free fall at $P_{2}=0$ and 0.015 .


Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 2 for $P_{2}=0.015$ and $P_{3}=10.23$.
observed near the star. The gas fall velocity decreases sharply in this region, with the speed of sound increasing slightly more rapidly than that in Fig. 2 because of the rise in density. The sharp and nonmonotonic decrease in fall velocity near the star can be explained by the strong effect of the average centrifugal force at small $r$, which decelerates the falling gas while increasing the density of the gas itself (see Fig. 1).

In Fig. 4, accretion rate (or, more precisely, $P_{3}$ ) is plotted against $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ (the two main parameters of the problem), which determine the entire gas flow, for


Fig. 2. The profiles of fall velocity $V$ (heavy solid line) and speed of sound $a$ (thin solid line) for an "iron gas" in the general case of accretion and the profile of fall velocity $V$ for an "iron gas" in the case of a free fall (dashed line) when the gas has a zero angular momentum at infinity $P_{2}=0$ for $P_{1}=1.190$ and $P_{3}=10.41$ (cf. Table 2). The units of measurement for the velocities are $[V]=[a]=[V]=M^{1 / 2}$.


Fig. 4. Parameter $P_{3}$, which determines the accretion rate, versus $P_{1}$ for the rotation parameter $P_{2}=0$ (lines) and 0.015 (symbols) for various equations of state. $A$ is the accretion rate for the general equation of state (10) (heavy solid line and squares,,$A_{5 / 3}$ is the accretion rate for the equation of state $P \propto \rho^{5 / 3}$ (thin solid line), $A_{4 / 3}$ is the accretion rate for the equation of state $P \propto \rho^{4 / 3}$ (dotted line and circles), and $A_{5 / 3+7 / 3}$ is the accretion rate for the equation of state (15) (dashed line and crosses).
various equations of state. We see that the accretion rate considerably increases with $P_{1}$ for all equations of state, except, of course, the special case of $P \propto \rho^{5 / 3}$. Figure 4 also shows that a nonzero angular momentum of the gas reduces, though only slightly, the accretion rate for all equations of state, except the same special case of $P \propto \rho^{5 / 3}$. As would be expected, the equations of state (15) and (16) approximate well the general equation of state at low (small $P_{1}$ ) and high (large $P_{1}$ ) densities $\rho_{\infty}$, respectively. It should be emphasized that only the general equation of state (10) can describe the entire accretion

Table 3. Dimensionless terms of the Bernoulli integral at the sonic point with and without rotation (example)

| $P_{2}$ | $\Phi$ | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{P_{3}}{f^{4}\left(\Phi^{2}-1\right)^{3}}$ | $-\frac{1}{f}$ | $\frac{1}{3} \frac{P_{2}}{f^{2}}$ | $f$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.834 | 0.215 | -0.859 | 0 | 1.164 |
| 0.015 | 0.845 | 0.217 | -0.876 | 0.004 | 1.141 |

Table 4. Accretion rate versus initial densities $\rho_{\infty}$ without rotation

| $P_{1}$ | $\rho_{\infty}, \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | $P_{3}$ | $A / A_{4 / 3}$ | $\dot{M}=4 \pi A, M_{\odot} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ <br> $\left(M_{0}=1.8 M_{\odot}\right)$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.014 | $10^{4}$ | 2.785 | 0.445 | 11.724 |
| 1.040 | $5 \times 10^{4}$ | 3.946 | 0.510 | 13.955 |
| 1.063 | $10^{5}$ | 4.882 | 0.548 | 15.522 |
| 1.190 | $5.66 \times 10^{5}$ | 10.410 | 0.677 | 22.665 |
| 1.268 | $10^{6}$ | 14.466 | 0.725 | 26.718 |
| 1.666 | $5 \times 10^{6}$ | 45.459 | 0.854 | 47.362 |

process, for the gas fall is accompanied by a rise in gas density. All in all, Fig. 4 may be considered as a dependence of the maximum accretion rate on the gas density at infinity $\rho_{\infty}$ in the limiting case of a zero entropy. Clearly, the disregarded nonzero-temperature effects reduce the accretion rate. In addition, Fig. 4 shows what equation of state can describe an "iron gas" as a function of $\rho_{\infty}$, which mainly determines $\rho$.

## CONCLUSION

Table 4, which gives accretion rates over a wide range of possible initial densities $\rho_{\infty}$ from $10^{4}$ to $5 \times$ $10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ in the iron atmosphere of a protoneutron star without rotation $\left(j_{0}=0\right)$, shows that steady accretion proceeds at a high rate, at least about $1 M_{\odot}$ in 0.1 s . The rotation that we took into account above could be considered as an obstacle to accretion, but, as we see from Tables 1 and 2 [for the characteristic values of $P_{1}$ corresponding to $\rho_{\infty}=10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (Table 1) and $\rho_{\infty}=5.66 \times$ $10^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (Table 2)], it is marginal: the accretion rate decreases as $P_{3}^{1 / 2}$ from $0.3 \%$ (Table 1) to $1.3 \%$ (Table 2) at an almost maximum possible value of $P_{2}=0.022$. We emphasize that a reduction in accretion rate due to nonrelativistic effects in "iron gas" electrons compared to the well-known estimate based on Bondi's formula, to which the above asymptotic of $A_{4 / 3}$ corresponds, plays no significant role either. However, because of this reduction, the accretion velocity at the sonic point is almost half the free-fall velocity (see Figs. 2, 3).

Thus, according to our solutions, the lifetime of a protoneutron-star iron atmosphere is short for spherically symmetric steady accretion, despite the centrifugal force impeding the accretion. Nevertheless, the important question as to the time scales on which our steady-state solution is established should be analyzed. The calculated parameters $f$ and $g$, even for $\rho_{\infty}=5.66 \times$ $10^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, primarily suggest that the region of the steady-state solution far exceeds in characteristic size the cavity of the initial stellar iron core, according to relations (52). We obtain $r_{\mathrm{s}}=1.18 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~cm}$ and $r_{\mathrm{c}}=$ $4.39 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~cm}$ for the above density, while the cavity radius is $R_{\mathrm{Fe}}=4.38 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~cm}$. In other words, the steady-state solution does not fit into the core cavity, and, therefore, cannot be established even on time scales $t_{\mathrm{s}} \cong \frac{r_{\mathrm{s}}}{V_{\mathrm{s}}} \cong \frac{1.2 \times 10^{9}}{4.8 \times 10^{8}} \sim 3 \mathrm{~s}$ and $t_{\mathrm{c}} \cong \frac{r_{\mathrm{c}}}{V_{\mathrm{c}}} \sim 12 \mathrm{~s}$. However, a toroidal equilibrium iron atmosphere can be formed (given the rotation effects) on these time scales, which was considered in detail by Imshennik and Manukovskiĭ (2000). It lies within the iron-core cavity, and the rotational effects in it at a given total angular momentum $J_{0} \cong(1-5) \times 10^{49}$ erg s completely balance the iron atmosphere with the general equation of state of a completely ionized and degenerate electron gas.

The additional condition for the derivatives of the fall velocity and of the speed of sound being equal at the sonic velocity used here, which is equivalent to the condition for the derivative of the Mach number being zero, should also be further analyzed. As our calculations of the flow itself show, this condition is consistent with our computational data (see Figs. 2, 3) not only in parameters of the sonic point, but also in the fact that the $V(r)$ and $a(r)$ curves are in contact at the sonic point (of course, in a qualitative sense). Our technique allows the complex procedure of seeking for the unique solution (Spitzer 1981) to be avoided for the complex equation of state that we used.
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#### Abstract

Based on numerical simulations, we show that the oscillatory propagation of a plane-parallel ion-ization-shock front is possible for a typical dependence of the interstellar-medium cooling function on density and temperature. In this case, the oscillation amplitude of the shock position in the presence of an ionization front can be several times larger than its value for a single shock wave. The variations in neutral and ionized gas velocities attributable to oscillations are comparable in order of magnitude and agree with the random velocities observed in H II regions. © 2001 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica".
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## INTRODUCTION

In the literature, much attention is currently given to shock stability under conditions of strong radiative cooling of the postshock gas. The interest in this problem stems from the numerous astrophysical phenomena characterized by the propagation and interaction of radiative shock waves (supernova remnant expansion, interactions of stellar winds with circumstellar gas, and accretion flows).

A linear analysis and direct numerical calculations by Langer et al. (1981), Chevalier and Imamura (1982), Imamura et al. (1984), and Strickland and Blondin (1995) indicate that plane one-dimensional shocks can be unstable in a gas with radiative cooling if the increase in cooling rate with temperature behind the shock front is not too rapid. In this case, finite-amplitude oscillations in the shock position arise at the nonlinear stage of instability development. Such an effect also takes place in the presence of a magnetic field (Kimoto and Chernoff 1997) and when the postshock flow is spherically symmetric (Walder and Follini 1996).

However, the above results refer to the stability of single shock waves, whereas actual flows often include a set of discontinuities (shock wave, tangential discontinuity, ionization and photodissociation fronts, etc.). We therefore consider below the possibility of oscillatory propagation of a shock wave-ionization front system (ionization-shock front), which is typical of expanding H II regions. Our goal is to determine the effect of an ionization front (I-front) and the form of the cooling function on the perturbation amplitude and spectrum, as well as to estimate the possible contribu-

[^8]tion of oscillations to the observed gas velocity variations in H II regions.

## STRUCTURE OF THE POSTSHOCK FLOW IN A GAS WITH RADIATIVE COOLING AND THE INSTABILITY DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM

The general pattern of variations in postshock gas parameters with allowance for radiative cooling is well known (see, e.g., the monograph by Baranov and Krasnobaev 1977): a zone of dramatic increase in density $\rho$ and decrease in temperature $T$ and particle velocity $u$ at a relatively modest increase in pressure $p$ (relaxation zone) immediately follows an adiabatic discontinuity.

Nevertheless, to determine the relaxation-zone size $L_{c}$ and the distribution of gas-dynamical quantities inside this zone requires analyzing the flow properties in more detail.

Let us consider a plane one-dimensional unsteady gas motion with volume heat losses through radiative cooling. The system of equations for this motion is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial x}=0 \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \frac{p}{\rho}\right)+u \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \frac{p}{\rho}\right)+\frac{p}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=-\frac{\Lambda}{\rho},  \tag{1}\\
p=\frac{\rho k T}{\mu m_{\mathrm{H}}},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $t$ and $x$ are the time and spatial coordinate, respectively; $\gamma=5 / 3$ is the adiabatic index; $\Lambda$ is the amount of energy lost by a unit gas volume per unit time through radiative processes; $k$ is the Boltzmann
constant; $\mu$ is the mean molecular weight of gas particles, which below is taken to be unity unless specified otherwise; and $m_{\mathrm{H}}$ is the hydrogen atomic mass. Next, we assume that $\Lambda=\Lambda(\rho, T)$; for typical interstellar conditions, we can also assume that $\Lambda=\rho^{2} \varphi(T)$ (Kaplan and Pikel'ner 1979) and that $\varphi(T)$ is proportional to the cooling efficiency (Bochkarev 1992).

Let the shock coordinate in a steady state, when $\partial / \partial t=0$, be $x_{s}=0$ and the parameters of the incoming homogeneous flow be $\rho_{0}, u_{0}, T_{0}, M_{0}^{2}=\rho_{0} u_{0}^{2} / \gamma p_{0}$ at $x<0$. We denote the corresponding quantities immediately behind the adiabatic discontinuity by $\rho_{s}, u_{s}, T_{s}$, and $M_{s}$. We can then easily estimate $L_{c}$ from the energy equation (Strickland and Blondin 1995)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{c}=\frac{k T_{s}}{m_{\mathrm{H}}} \frac{\rho_{s} u_{s}}{\Lambda\left(\rho_{s}, T_{s}\right)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see from (2) that, if the characteristic cooling time $L_{c} / u_{s}$ increases with shock velocity (i.e., with $T_{s}$ ), an excess (relative to the steady state corresponding to the changed discontinuity velocity) pressure arises behind the discontinuity. Having reflected from the boundary of the region occupied by a dense cold gas, this pressure perturbation is capable of accelerating the shock front further (Strickland and Blondin 1995). Of course, this instability mechanism is efficient only in the presence of a sufficiently sharp transition zone between the heated and cold gas. The variations of $\rho, u$, and $T$ in this zone can be easily determined by numerically integrating the system of equations (1), which reduces to a system of ordinary differential equations for steady motion. However, let us derive an approximate analytic dependence of $\rho, u$, and $T$ on $x$, which is especially useful in selecting parameters of numerical simulations for the oscillatory propagation of a shock wave or a set of discontinuities with the relaxation zone. For this purpose, we take into account the fact that, as was mentioned above, the pressure change in the relaxation zone is not too large, and, to a first approximation, we can assume that $p=p_{s}=$ const at all $x>0$. We then derive the following equation for $T(x)$ from (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma L_{c}}{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{T}{T_{s}}\right)^{2} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{T}{T_{s}}\right)=-\frac{\varphi(T)}{\varphi\left(T_{s}\right)} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{L_{c}}=-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int_{1}^{T / T_{s}} \frac{\varphi\left(T_{s}\right)}{\varphi(T)}\left(\frac{T}{T_{s}}\right)^{2} d\left(\frac{T}{T_{s}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (4) allows us to determine the value of $x=x_{c}$ at which the postshock gas temperature is equal to $T_{0}$, and further cooling is insignificant ( $x_{c}$ is often taken as the exact coordinate of the relaxation-zone boundary). Specifically, for a power law $\varphi(T) \propto T^{\alpha}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x_{c}}{L_{c}}=\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \frac{1}{3-\alpha}\left[1-\left(\frac{T_{0}}{T_{s}}\right)^{3-\alpha}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (5) clearly gives a slightly overestimated value of $x_{c}$. However, it differs from the results of numerical calculations only slightly in the $\alpha$ range $-1 / 2$ to $1 / 2$ of interest. The existence (at $x \approx x_{c}$ ) of a narrow zone with steep $T, \rho$, and $u$ gradients when $M_{0} \gg 1$ predicted by relation (4) is also corroborated by calculations.

## EFFECTS OF THE COOLING EFFICIENCY ON SINGLE-SHOCK OSCILLATIONS

The nonlinear stage of perturbation development was extensively studied by Strickland and Blondin (1995) for $\varphi \propto T^{\alpha}$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Instability manifested itself at sufficiently large $M_{0}$ for $\alpha \leq 0.75$. Kimoto and Chernoff (1997) used a more complex form of the cooling function, including negative $\alpha$, when taking into account a magnetic field. However, their calculations were mainly applied to the dynamics of supernova remnants, so the shock velocity was $150-240 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and the cooling was disregarded if $T \leq 2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$. However, the shock velocity is $\sim 10-20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $T_{0} \sim 10^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ when H II regions expand. Naturally, the temperature dependence of the cooling efficiency is also different. Therefore, before considering the motion of an ioniza-tion-shock front, we turn to our calculations of the propagation of a single shock in the circumstellar gas.

The calculations were performed by using a monotonic difference scheme of the second approximation order. An adaptive movable grid was used to increase the calculation accuracy in the vicinity of $x \approx x_{c}$. The cooling was assumed to be insignificant if $T<T_{0}$. However, for convenience of calculations, we assumed that the ambient temperature could not fall below $T_{0}$.

We performed a test calculation with parameters close to those used by Strickland and Blondin (1995): $\alpha=0.0$ and $M_{0}=40$. At the initial instant of time, an impermeable wall was placed in the flow behind the shock at a distance $x=0.08 L_{c}$. Figure 1 plots $x_{s}(t)$ (below, $x_{s}$ and $t$ are given in units of $L_{c}$ and $L_{c} / u_{0}$, respectively). We can see that, despite some differences in the statement of the boundary conditions, the oscillation amplitude and period satisfactorily agree with those obtained previously by different methods (Imamura et al. 1984; Wolf et al. 1989; Strickland and Blondin 1995).

As applied to the expansion of H II regions, let us consider the temperature dependence of the cooling function, which corresponds to the well-known (below denoted by $\varphi_{\text {ism }}$ ) cooling efficiency of the interstellar medium [see, e.g., the monographs by Kaplan and Pikel'ner (1979), Spitzer (1981), and Bochkarev (1992)].

The function $\varphi_{\text {ism }}$ is characterized by the presence of a plateau at temperatures slightly lower than $10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$ and by a sharp decrease with decreasing temperature at $T \leq$
$10^{3} \mathrm{~K}$. Consequently, one might expect the oscillations in shock front position to have a fairly large amplitude only if the shock velocity (and, hence, $T_{s}$ ) is high enough. In this case, $T_{s}$, of course, cannot exceed the values corresponding to the sharp rise in $\varphi_{\text {ism }}$ at $T \geq 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$ due to the excitation of the hydrogen second level.

This assumption is corroborated by direct calculations. The function $\varphi_{\text {ism }}$ was chosen according to data from the monograph of Spitzer (1981) and was interpolated by using a Lagrange polynomial. The degree of ionization of the gas incident on the shock front was taken to be $10^{-2}$. The calculated $x_{s}(t)$ is shown in Fig. 2 for $u_{0}=10$ and $17 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ( $T_{s}$ are $2.33 \times 10^{3}$ and $6.74 \times$ $10^{3} \mathrm{~K}$, respectively; $M_{0}=10$ ). We can see that the oscillation amplitude considerably increases when $T_{s}$ lies in the $\varphi_{\text {ism }}$ plateau region.

It should be noted that the curves in Fig. 2 were constructed by using "soft" boundary conditions at $x \approx 10 L_{c}$. The initial conditions were the same as those in Strickland and Blondin (1995), but the "compression" coefficient along the $x$ coordinate was taken to be 0.95 .

## IONIZATION-SHOCK FRONT OSCILLATIONS

Comparing the perturbation amplitudes and spectra of $x_{s}(t)$ in Figs. 1 and 2, we can easily see how significant the conditions of pressure-wave reflection from the boundaries of the region occupied by a dense cold gas are. Thus, the ionization front located at some distance from the shock can appreciably affect the oscillation characteristics. A linear analysis of the interaction between a shock wave-ionization front system and an inhomogeneous interstellar medium (Krasnobaev et al. 1994) also points to a similar possibility.

Let, as above, $x_{s}(0)=0$ in an unperturbed state and the I-front coordinate be $x_{\mathrm{I}}(0)=L$. We assume that, at the initial instant of time, the front velocities are the same, the plasma behind the I-front is completely ionized (i.e., $\mu=1 / 2$ ) and transparent to the ionizing radiation, and its motion is isothermal.

Since the ionization front is considered as a discontinuity, it is convenient to change to new variables $\xi$, $\tau$ in (1): $t=\tau$ and $x=\xi+x_{\mathrm{I}}(t)-x_{\mathrm{I}}(0)$. The ionization front coordinate in $\xi$, $\tau$ variables is fixed. This allows us to use the above method of calculation (only the ordinary conditions of passage through the I-front, which is assumed to be a D-type front, are added).

As our calculations indicate, the effect of the I-front on the behavior of $x_{s}(t)$ and $x_{\mathrm{I}}(t)$ is largely determined by the separation $L$ between the fronts at the initial instant of time. This effect is illustrated by Fig. 3, which shows the positions of the shock and ionization fronts for $L$ equal to 1.05 and 1.15. In this case, we used the same initial and boundary conditions as those in Fig. 2: $u_{0}=17 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $M_{0}=10$. The ratio of the neutral and ionized gas densities $\lambda$ at $t=0$ is taken to be 80 .

A comparison of the curves in Figs. 2 and 3 indicates that the presence of an I-front can increase the


Fig. 1. The $x_{s}(t)$ curve in the case of a supersonic onflow on the wall.


Fig. 2. Oscillations in the position of a single shock.
oscillation amplitude severalfold. Waves with periods close to the main period (according to the classification of the linear theory, the main period is approximately equal to $21 L_{c} / u_{0}$ ) are generated when the shock velocity is such that $T_{s}$ lies in the $\varphi_{\text {ism }}$ plateau region. As follows from the curves in Fig. 2, the higher frequency components dominate in the front oscillation spectrum at lower $T_{s}$. Another important feature of the motion is that the variations in neutral $\left(u_{n}\right)$ and ionized $\left(u_{i}\right)$ gas velocities are of approximately the same order of magnitude, with the variations for $u_{n}$ being even slightly larger than those for $u_{i}$ (Fig. 4 shows $u_{n}$ and $u_{i}$ in the immediate vicinity of the ionization front at $L=1.15$; the remaining parameters are the same as those in Fig. 3). We emphasize that $u_{i} / u_{n}=\lambda \gg 1$ in an unperturbed flow.

Thus, despite the fairly complex form of the cooling function, the oscillatory propagation of an ionizationshock front can take place for typical conditions in the interstellar medium.

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

It is well known that to maintain the observed random motions in H II regions requires an energy input from external sources. These can be produced, in particular, by gas outflow from neutral condensations, stellar wind outflow, and the development of some instability. Our results are therefore of considerable interest,


Fig. 3. Shock $x_{s}(t)$ and ionization $x_{\mathrm{I}}(t)$ front coordinates versus time.
because acoustic (or weak shock) waves can be generated in H II regions.

Let us estimate the characteristic scales of the perturbations produced by ionization-shock front oscillations and the amplitude of velocity variations by using the results of our calculations. For $\varphi=\varphi_{\text {ism }}, u_{0}=17 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $M_{0}=10$, we then obtain $L_{c} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{18} m_{\mathrm{H}} / \rho_{0}$. The corresponding lengths of the waves penetrating into H II regions are about $10 L_{c}$ or smaller, and the velocity deviations from the mean are $1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, in agreement with velocity-dispersion observations in H II regions (although higher random velocities are also observed). Thus, the H II region boundary can serve as the source of turbulent motions both in the ionized gas and in the neutral gas compressed by a shock wave.

In conclusion, we note that it makes sense to further generalize the flow model used above. Thus, the fact should be taken into account that the ionization- and shock-front velocities slightly differ for expanding H II regions, whereas they were assumed to be equal in our calculations. A change in plasma ionization composition under the effect of energetic photons leaving the H II region, whose mean free path in a neutral gas exceeds the ionization-front thickness, can also affect the oscillation characteristics. The establishment of thermal and ionization balance near the I-front can also have a significant effect (Krasnobaev and Tarev 1997).

In general, our calculations lead us to the following conclusions.
(1) The oscillatory propagation of an ionizationshock front is possible for a typical dependence of the cooling function for the interstellar medium on density and temperature.
(2) At the saturation stage, the characteristic perturbation scale and amplitude are determined by wave interference in the layer between the fronts. In this case, the oscillation amplitude of the shock position in the


Fig. 4. Temporal variations in neutral and ionized gas velocities (in units of $u_{0}$ ) on both sides of the ionization front.
presence of an I-front can increase severalfold and be comparable to the cooling zone size.
(3) The variations in neutral and ionized gas velocities attributable to oscillations are of the order of the observed random velocities. Thus, the ionizationshock front instability can be considered as one of the turbulization mechanisms for H II regions.
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#### Abstract

Infrared speckle-masking observations of eleven binary systems with the $6-\mathrm{m}$ Special Astrophysical Observatory telescope are presented. A resolution of 43 mas in $J(1.25 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and 76 mas in $K(2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ has been achieved in reconstructed images. Accurate magnitude differences, separations, and position angles have been determined for all the resolved binaries. The pair HR 1071 with an abnormally low lithium abundance is considered in more detail. © 2001 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica".
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## INTRODUCTION

Speckle interferometry allows one to significantly increase the angular resolution and accuracy of binaries' measurements and to fill the gap between spectroscopic and visual pairs. The most interesting binaries with rapid orbital motion are regularly observed interferometrically with the world's largest telescopes. However, most of the results are currently published with a $\pm 180^{\circ}$ uncertainty in the position angle and are not accompanied by relative photometry of the components. At the same time, speckle masking (Weigelt 1977; Lohmann et al. 1983; Hofmann and Weigelt 1986) provides a means for obtaining the real images of multiple stars and, hence, eliminates the position-angle uncertainty and makes it possible to estimate the magnitude difference.

Below, we present the first results of our image reconstruction by speckle masking for eleven binary systems observed with the $6-\mathrm{m}$ telescope in the infrared. The diffraction-limited resolution for a $6-\mathrm{m}$ aperture was achieved for all the binaries studied.

## OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We obtained speckle data with the $6-\mathrm{m}$ telescope in April and October 1996 and in October 1997. Speckle interferograms were taken through infrared $J, H$, and $K$ filters with the following central wavelengths and halfwidths: $1238 / 276 \mathrm{~nm}(J), 1613 / 304 \mathrm{~nm}(H), 2165 / 328 \mathrm{~nm}$ $\left(K^{\prime}\right)$, and $2191 / 411 \mathrm{~nm}(K)$. The detector was a NICMOS-3 camera (a $256 \times 256 \mathrm{HgCdTe}$ array sensitive in the range 1 to $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ with a frame rate of $2 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ).

[^9]The detector pixel size corresponds to 15 mas in $J$, 20 mas in $H$ and $K^{\prime}$, and 31 mas in $K$. The field of view is $3 . \prime 8,5$ ". $1,5^{\prime \prime} 1$, and 7.9 in $J, H, K^{\prime}$, and $K$, respectively. During our observations, we estimated the seeing corrected for low-frequency fluctuations to be in the range 0 ". 9 to 1 ". 9 (FWHM). From 150 to 780 speckle interferograms were taken for each object with exposures from 50 to 300 ms .

The images were reconstructed with a diffractionlimited resolution by speckle masking. The sequence of image-reconstruction steps was described by Hofmann et al. (1995). The bispectrum of each frame consisted of $30-100$ million pixels. No image postprocessing was performed; we achieved a diffraction-limited resolution of 43 mas in $J, 57$ mas in $H$, and 76 mas in $K$.

The geometric calibration was based on observations of binaries with accurately determined relative positions: Kui 8 and 15 , as well as ADS 3734, 11558 , 12239, 14 575, 7704, 8708, 10 184, and 10850 . Data on these pairs were taken from the Washington Visual Double Star Catalog (Worley and Douglas 1997). The scale calibration error is $\pm 0.2 \%$. Intensity ratios, separations, and position angles for the components were estimated from measurements of the objects' visibility function by least squares. The visibility function of an object is the average power spectrum of speckle interferometry (Labeyrie 1970) divided by the atmospheric transfer function, which can be determined from observations of a star unresolvable by the telescope.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our measurements of the relative positions and magnitude differences for eleven binaries are presented in the table. The first three columns contain, respectively, the Washington Catalog numbers (Worley and

Astrometry and photometry for the observed binaries

| Coordinates <br> $(2000)$ | Name/catalog | Discoverer | Epoch 1990+ | $\theta$, deg | $\sigma_{\theta^{\circ}}$ | $\rho$, mas | $\sigma_{\rho}$, mas | $\Delta m$ | $\sigma_{\Delta m}$ | Band |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $03337+5752$ | HR 1071 | CHR 117 | 6.750 | 274.1 | 1.0 | 136.5 | 2.0 | 0.45 | 0.18 | $J$ |
|  |  |  | 7.808 | 287.0 | 1.5 | 126.1 | 2.0 | 0.10 | 0.20 | $K^{\prime}$ |
| $03448+4602$ | GL 150.2 | Bag 8 | 6.750 | 92.2 | 1.0 | 243.7 | 1.5 | 1.67 | 0.12 | $J$ |
| $04044+2406$ | 36 Tau A | McA 13 | 7.808 |  |  | UR |  |  |  | $K^{\prime}$ |
| $04063+1952$ | BD+19662 | Bag 4 | 7.808 | $* 276.9$ | 2.0 | 58.2 | 4.0 | 0.57 | 0.30 | $K^{\prime}$ |
| $04356+1010$ | 88 Tau A | CHR 18 | 7.791 | 134.0 | 1.0 | 211.4 | 2.5 | 1.29 | 0.12 | $K^{\prime}$ |
|  |  |  | 7.791 | 134.3 | 1.0 | 209.9 | 2.5 | 1.37 | 0.15 | $H$ |
|  | 88 Tau B |  | 7.791 |  |  | UR |  |  |  | $K^{\prime}$ |
| $15521+1043$ | GL 600 | Bag 7 | 6.260 | 68.4 | 1.0 | 115.3 | 2.0 | 0.20 | 0.14 | $K$ |
| $16584+3943$ | BD+393062 | Cou 1289 | 6.260 | 246.7 | 1.5 | 117.9 | 3.0 | 0.66 | 0.20 | $K$ |
| $18001+8000$ | 41 Dra | Bag 6 | 6.260 | 321.1 | 1.0 | 111.3 | 1.5 | 0.47 | 0.12 | $K$ |
|  |  |  | 6.747 | 325.3 | 1.0 | 108.6 | 1.5 | 0.51 | 0.12 | $K$ |
|  |  |  | 6.747 | 325.0 | 1.0 | 109.1 | 1.5 | 0.46 | 0.12 | $K^{\prime}$ |
| $19391+7625$ | GL 765.2 | Mlr 224 | 7.747 | 325.2 | 1.0 | 108.3 | 1.5 | 0.63 | 0.14 | $J$ |
|  |  | 7.789 | 303.3 | 1.0 | 165.7 | 1.5 | 0.42 | 0.20 | $K^{\prime}$ |  |
| $21118+5959$ | ADS 14 749 | St 2780 | 7.789 | 303.0 | 1.0 | 165.9 | 1.5 | 0.47 | 0.20 | $J$ |
|  |  | 7.789 | 214.5 | 1.0 | 1023.9 | 3.0 | 1.32 | 0.12 | $H$ |  |
|  |  |  | 7.789 | 214.6 | 1.0 | 1026.0 | 3.0 | 1.06 | 0.12 | $K^{\prime}$ |
| $22388+4419$ | ADS 14749A | McA 67 | 7.789 | $* 158.1$ | 2.0 | 37.8 | 3.0 | 0.51 | 0.30 | $H$ |

Douglas 1997) or coordinates for the epoch 2000, the star names or cataloged numbers, and the discoverers. The fourth column gives the epochs of observations, in fractions of a Bessel year. The next four columns list the measured position angles $\theta$, angular separations $\rho$, and the corresponding errors. The magnitude differences and errors are given in the next two columns. When determining the total error, we took into account the reconstruction and calibration errors. The retained $\pm 180^{\circ}$ position-angle uncertainty for very close pairs is marked by an asterisk. Finally, the last column lists the filters used. The note "UR" is used for unresolved stars. Comments to some measurements are given below. The images reconstructed with a diffraction-limited resolution by speckle interferometry and bispectral estimation are shown in the figure.

CHR 117. This lithium-poor star belonging to the old disk population was studied in detail by McAlister et al. (1992). Based on eight speckle observations of the pair, they determined a preliminary orbit with a period of 13.8 years. Recently, Soederhjelm (1999) has proposed a new orbit solution based on the same ground-based speckle data and Hipparcos astrometric measurements. Since the solution was obtained for a fixed mass ratio, the total error of the orbital elements is too large for a detailed astrophysical analysis of the binary. Our $J$ and $K^{\prime}$ measurements of the pair, which almost complete a full orbital ellipse, confirm a small magnitude difference between the components (mean $\Delta m=0.30$ ), as suggested by McAlister et al. (1992) using $V$ speckle measurements. Given these new data, the adjusted orbital elements for CHR 117 are very close to the solution of Soederhjelm (1999); only the
period can be determined more accurately: $P=14.46 \pm$ 0.07 years. Therefore, Soederhjelm's parallax and sum of masses for this binary may be considered to be realistic enough.

McAlister et al. (1992) discussed an interesting question of the lithium deficiency in the spectrum of CHR 117. The constant magnitude difference over a wide spectral range leads us to conclude that the pair's components are of approximately the same spectral type. It is therefore unlikely that the rise in continuum due to the presence of a companion with the resulting decrease in line equivalent width could be responsible for the low Li abundance. Because of the slow rotation of both components ( $5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), the meridional circulation responsible for the mixing of stellar matter in the emitting envelope cannot be considered as a cause of the anomalous Li abundance on the stellar surface either. A plausible explanation could be a tidal interaction between the components, which enhances turbulent gas mixing accompanied by lithium destruction. Indeed, a comparison of the $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{C}$, and N abundances in single and binary main-sequence stars reveals a correlation between chemical anomalies and the presence of a companion (Leushin 1989). The strength of anomalies depends on tidal deceleration, which is related to the separation between the components and to their evolutionary status. Whether tidal deceleration is the main mechanism of gas mixing in binary systems with separations of 4 or 5 AU , as in the case of CHR 117, can be directly determined by using spectroscopic observations.

Bag 8. The close separation between the components at a magnitude difference of $2^{m}$ could be the rea-


The images of binaries reconstructed with a diffraction-limited resolution by bispectral speckle interferometry. Spectral bands and epochs of observations are given in parentheses.
son why Hipparcos did not resolve this binary. Relative $J$ photometry supports the assumption that the companion is a late K or early M dwarf. Since the first resolution in 1993.8, the relative motion has been too modest to determine a preliminary orbit. Conflicting data are published on the parallax of Bag 8. Balega et al. (1997) estimated the photometric parallax from the absolute magnitude and the components' magnitude difference to be 52 mas. The recently published Yale Catalog of Parallaxes (van Altena et al. 1995) gives a trigonometric parallax of $49 \pm 15$ mas for this star, whereas Eggen (1998) included the star in his stellar kinematic and metallicity survey in the solar neighborhood with a photometric parallax of 43 mas. However, the Hipparcos parallax is considerably smaller: $37.6 \pm 1.1$ mas. This discrepancy is difficult to explain even if the orbital motion in the binary system is assumed to have
affected the Hipparcos determination of the star image photocenter. Over the period of the Hipparcos mission, the companion's relative motion could lead to a displacement of the image centroid by approximately 5 mas.

McA 13. During our observations, the components were too close to be resolvable with the 6-m telescope in $K^{\prime}$.

Bag 4. Lunar-occultation observations yielded a magnitude difference of 0.18-0.47 in the wavelength range 500-610 nm (Schmidtke and Afrikano 1984; Evans et al. 1985), while our estimate in $K^{\prime}$ is $\Delta m=$ $0.57 \pm 0.30$. Assuming a total spectral type of F0 V, a visual magnitude of $8{ }^{m} 66$, and $\Delta m=0.4$, we roughly estimated the binary's parallax to be $\pi=5$ mas. Based on twelve speckle measurements of the pair, we computed a preliminary circular orbit: $P=30.68, T=$
1990.96, $e=0.045, a=79 \mathrm{mas}, i=128^{\circ}, \Omega=50^{\circ}$, and $\omega=32^{\circ}$. However, we will have to wait for another $\sim 10$ years to obtain a reliable solution. It is difficult to explain why Bag 4 was not resolved with the 4-m Kitt Peak National Observatory telescope in 1991.8 and 1993.2, when the separation in the pair exceeded 60 mas (Mason 1996). This epoch of observations can be useful for improving the orbit quality.

Bag 7. The small $K$ magnitude difference confirms the identification of this star with the double-lined spectroscopic pair first discovered by Tokovinin (Balega et al. 1991). At present, a combined speckle spectroscopic orbit with a period of 1000 days can be determined for the binary.

Cou 1289. The expected orbital period for this G0 binary lies in the range 15-40 years. The scatter of rel-ative-position measurements is so far too large to obtain an acceptable orbit solution.

Bag 6. There is a slight discrepancy between the Hipparcos parallax of 18.8 mas and the dynamical parallax of 22.9 mas following from the orbit of Balega et al. (1997).

MIr 224. A refined orbit solution for this pair with allowance for radial-velocity measurements is being prepared.

McA 67. This pair of massive B0 II stars is a member of the multiple system ADS 14749 lying $1^{\circ}$ from the center of the Cepheus OB2 association, which was formed during the fragmentation of a giant expanding gaseous envelope. The star is partially resolved only in $H$. From a position-angle change of $\sim 3^{\circ}$ in a year, one might expect the orbital period to be about 120 years. The visual companion of ADS 14749B is unresolvable with the $6-\mathrm{m}$ telescope in the infrared.

## CONCLUSION

The limiting angular resolution of the $6-\mathrm{m}$ telescope in the infrared $K$ band ( 2165 nm ) corresponds to the resolution of a $1.5-\mathrm{m}$ telescope in the green visible band. The accuracy of determining the relative positions of components is also lower. At the same time, infrared observations of binaries can also be performed when visible interferometry becomes virtually inapplicable because of atmospheric conditions. The procedure for subtracting shifts in the image power spectrum caused by photon and detector noise simplifies significantly in the infrared. As a result, the accuracy of estimating the magnitude difference between components increases.

Currently, the interest in infrared observations of close binaries has increased due to the refinement of physical characteristics for lower-main-sequence lowmass stars and the search for brown dwarfs, which can be members of known multiple systems.

We have shown the ability of the $6-\mathrm{m}$ telescope to measure the relative positions of multiple stars and the magnitude difference in the wavelength range
$1.2-2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The error of position measurements is 0.002 , and the mean photometric error is 0.15 mag .

Our data confirm the orbital parameters for the binary CHR 117 with a low lithium abundance in its spectrum (McAlister et al. 1992) and suggest that its anomalous chemical composition may be associated with its binary nature.

We have established a significant discrepancy between the parallax of the pair Bag 8 (GL 150.2) and the Hipparcos data.

We have estimated the orbital period $T$ for the binary Bag 4 of spectral type F 0 V to be $\approx 30$ years.
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#### Abstract

Photoelectric UBV observations of V886 Her in 1998-1999 have shown that the pattern of its variability is retained: irregular rapid light variations with an amplitude up to 0.3 in $V$ and the absence of any correlation between color and magnitude. As previously, the amplitude was at a maximum in $U$, which is most likely attributable to the variable Balmer continuum emitted by stellar wind. A high-resolution spectrum of the star is identified. The overwhelming majority of absorption lines, apart from H I and He I, belong to O II, N II, C II, and Si III. The profiles of hydrogen and helium lines are a superposition of a stellar absorption line with comparatively broad wings and a narrow strong emission feature of the envelope. The He I 5016, 5876, and 7065 A lines have P Cyg profiles, whereas the remaining helium lines are seen in absorption. The emission spectrum of the envelope is represented by numerous permitted and forbidden lines of ionized metals and by [ NII , [S II], and [O I] lines typical of planetary-nebula spectra, as well as by strong O I, N I, and Si II lines. There are no [O III] lines. The radial velocity of the system measured from photospheric absorption features is $\left\langle V_{r}\right.$ (NII, OII, CII, SiIII) $\rangle=+55.72 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while the mean radial velocity as measured from emission features of the envelope is $+50.95 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The object is most likely an extremely young planetary nebula. © 2001 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica".
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## INTRODUCTION

The infrared source IRAS $18062+2410$ was classified as a candidate for protoplanetary objects, future planetary nebulae, in accordance with the criteria for selecting such objects by infrared color indices in the range $10-100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Volk and Kwok 1989). It was optically identified with the fairly bright star SAO $85766=$ HDE 341617.

Optical photometric observations in the mid-1990s (Arkhipova et al. 1996, 1998) show that HDE 341617 is presently a hot star with supergiant characteristics, exhibits light variations within a few tenths of a magnitude, and, most importantly, is evolving "before our very eyes". Available observational data indicate that over a period of 150 years (since the epoch of BD), its temperature has gradually increased, while its mean brightness has varied in accordance with the variation of bolometric correction if the star is assumed to evolve at a constant bolometric luminosity. In the Catalog of Variable Stars, IRAS 18062+2410 was designated V886 Her.

The mass of the star was estimated by comparing observations with the theory of post-AGB stellar evolu-

[^10]tion (Blöcker 1995) to be $\sim 0.7 M_{\odot}$. Calculations of the future evolution of V886 Her predict that it will become the nucleus of a young planetary nebula with a temperature of $\sim 50000 \mathrm{~K}$ in 100 years (Arkhipova et al. 1998).

Here, we identify lines in the spectrum of V886 Her, measure its radial velocities, and present new photoelectric $U B V$ observations of the star in 1998-1999.

## UBV OBSERVATIONS IN 1998-1999

As previously, we carried out our photoelectric $U B V$ observations of V886 Her in 1998-1999 at the Crimean Station of the Sternberg Astronomical Institute (SAI) using a $60-\mathrm{cm}$ reflector with a standard $U B V$ photometer. The results are given in Table 1. Previous photometric data were published by Arkhipova et al. (1996, 1998). Figure 1 shows the star's light and color curves for the entire period of our observations.

The pattern of photometric variability in 1998-1999 remained as before: irregular rapid light variations with an amplitude up to $0^{m} .3$ in $V$, which are probably attributable to a variable mass-loss rate. There is no correlation between color and magnitude. The amplitude was at a maximum in $U$, which is most likely due to the variable Balmer continuum emitted by the wind.


Fig. 1. $V$ light and color variations in IRAS $18062+2410=$ V886 Her during 1995-1999.

## LINE IDENTIFICATION

On February 26, 1997, a CCD spectrum of V886 Her was obtained at the prime focus of the $6-\mathrm{m}$ Special Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) telescope with the PFES echelle spectrograph (Panchuk et al. 1998). The $4340-7600 \AA$ spectrum consists of 23 echelle orders, $\sim 200 \AA$ long each with an overlapping of $\sim 25 \AA$. The three-pixel resolution (projected entrance slit width) is $\sim R=15000$.

The line spectrum of V886 Her is represented by two components: the absorption spectrum of a B1-1.5 supergiant (Arkhipova et al. 1998) and the rich emission spectrum of a gaseous envelope superimposed on it.

Using the tables by Moore (1945) and the Catalog of Emission Lines by Meinel et al. (1969), we identified lines in the spectrum of V886 Her. Lines in the wavelength range 4340-6500 $\AA$ are listed in Table $2^{1}$. The first column gives the instrumental wavelengths of spectral lines. They were not corrected for the shifts associated with instrumental corrections and the Earth's motion. The subscript "a" or "e" near a wavelength denotes the type of feature: absorption or emission, respectively. The second column contains identification results: the laboratory wavelengths and multip-

[^11]Table 1. UBV observations of V886 Her in 1998-1999

| JD 2400000 + | $U$ | $B$ | V | $U-B$ | $B-V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50949.439 | 10.850 | 11.585 | 11.515 | -0.735 | 0.070 |
| 50956.330 | 10.764 | 11.561 | 11.483 | -0.797 | 0.078 |
| 50958.325 | 11.103 | 11.773 | 11.726 | -0.670 | 0.047 |
| 50987.389 | 10.746 | 11.503 | 11.438 | -0.757 | 0.065 |
| 50988.308 | 10.676 | 11.441 | 11.373 | -0.765 | 0.068 |
| 50989.313 | 10.788 | 11.538 | 11.480 | -0.750 | 0.058 |
| 50992.387 | 10.747 | 11.498 | 11.427 | $-0.751$ | 0.071 |
| 50993.299 | 10.720 | 11.602 | 11.547 | -0.882 | 0.055 |
| 50993.313 | 10.790 | 11.556 | 11.486 | -0.766 | 0.070 |
| 50994.304 | 10.897 | 11.627 | 11.550 | -0.730 | 0.077 |
| 50995.322 | 10.903 | 11.613 | 11.550 | -0.710 | 0.063 |
| 50996.326 | 10.860 | 11.595 | 11.533 | -0.735 | 0.062 |
| 50997.305 | 10.856 | 11.575 | 11.518 | -0.719 | 0.057 |
| 50998.383 | 10.861 | 11.607 | 11.549 | -0.746 | 0.058 |
| 51000.366 | 10.814 | 11.575 | 11.526 | -0.761 | 0.049 |
| 51010.427 | 10.871 | 11.620 | 11.555 | -0.749 | 0.065 |
| 51010.433 | 10.911 | 11.640 | 11.539 | -0.729 | 0.101 |
| 51013.450 | 10.812 | 11.555 | 11.460 | -0.743 | 0.095 |
| 51013.456 | 10.811 | 11.546 | 11.468 | -0.735 | 0.078 |
| 51014.310 | 10.913 | 11.696 | 11.599 | -0.783 | 0.097 |
| 51014.315 | 10.869 | 11.624 | 11.596 | -0.755 | 0.100 |
| 51015.312 | 10.939 | 11.660 | 11.607 | -0.721 | 0.053 |
| 51015.318 | 10.960 | 11.667 | 11.619 | -0.707 | 0.048 |
| 51016.421 | 10.899 | 11.622 | 11.572 | -0.723 | 0.050 |
| 51017.353 | 10.963 | 11.705 | 11.639 | -0.742 | 0.066 |
| 51018.467 | 11.022 | 11.694 | 11.608 | -0.672 | 0.086 |
| 51020.330 | 11.120 | 11.804 | 11.736 | -0.684 | 0.068 |
| 51021.328 | 10.833 | 11.578 | 11.509 | -0.745 | 0.069 |
| 51021.334 | 10.817 | 11.591 | 11.520 | -0.774 | 0.071 |
| 51022.294 | 10.780 | 11.546 | 11.461 | -0.766 | 0.085 |
| 51022.299 | 10.775 | 11.542 | 11.468 | -0.767 | 0.074 |
| 51022.307 | 10.742 | 11.523 | 11.464 | -0.781 | 0.059 |
| 51023.294 | 10.884 | 11.643 | 11.591 | -0.759 | 0.052 |
| 51023.299 | 10.902 | 11.657 | 11.601 | -0.755 | 0.056 |
| 51024.287 | 10.762 | 11.514 | 11.475 | -0.752 | 0.039 |
| 51024.292 | 10.769 | 11.529 | 11.482 | $-0.760$ | 0.047 |
| 51024.298 | 10.745 | 11.532 | 11.472 | -0.787 | 0.060 |
| 51026.278 | 10.805 | 11.545 | 11.469 | $-0.740$ | 0.076 |
| 51026.283 | 10.807 | 11.533 | 11.490 | -0.726 | 0.043 |
| 51078.253 | 10.848 | 11.591 | 11.526 | -0.743 | 0.065 |
| 51081.273 | 10.835 | 11.586 | 11.536 | -0.751 | 0.050 |
| 51101.194 | 10.788 | 11.534 | 11.475 | -0.746 | 0.059 |
| 51109.194 | 10.793 | 11.531 | 11.462 | -0.738 | 0.069 |
| 51112.193 | 10.877 | 11.620 | 11.553 | -0.743 | 0.067 |
| 51115.193 | 10.914 | 11.649 | 11.611 | -0.735 | 0.038 |
| 51336.449 | 10.891 | 11.625 | 11.575 | -0.734 | 0.050 |
| 51345.430 | 10.903 | 11.630 | 11.578 | -0.727 | 0.052 |
| 51347.423 | 10.911 | 11.651 | 11.619 | -0.740 | 0.032 |

Table 1. (Contd.)

| JD $2400000+$ | $U$ | $B$ | $V$ | $U-B$ | $B-V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51351.430 | 10.980 | 11.698 | 11.636 | -0.718 | 0.062 |
| 51364.354 | 10.850 | 11.602 | 11.589 | 11.537 | -0.752 |
| 51370.428 | 10.834 | 11.647 | 11.602 | -0.754 | 0.065 |
| 51400.381 | 10.882 | 10.984 | 11.750 | 11.659 | -0.765 |
| 51402.403 | 11.000 | 11.701 | 11.687 | -0.736 | 0.072 |
| 51403.278 | 10.957 | 11.691 | 11.650 | -0.750 | 0.061 |
| 51407.313 | 10.981 | 11.699 | 11.633 | -0.744 | 0.063 |
| 51409.302 | 10.925 | 11.785 | 11.617 | -0.710 | 0.051 |
| 51411.292 | 10.960 | 10.784 | 11.460 | -0.742 | 0.058 |
| 51412.292 | 11.730 | -0.749 | 0.050 |  |  |
| 51452.252 |  | -0.703 | 0.055 |  |  |
| 51459.214 |  |  | 0.073 |  |  |

let numbers of the main line, as well as additional contributors, if any. A blend is denoted by "bl." The instrumental wavelengths at the edges of an echelle frame are considerably less accurate than they are in the central part because of the distortions introduced by the dispersion curve. In several cases, the accuracy reached even $0.5 \AA$. Lines in unfavorable portions of the frame are marked with stars ( $\star$ ). Some emission lines, for example, [Fe II] 4413.8, 4416.3, and $4639.7 \AA$, are
severely distorted by the stellar O II 4414.9, 4417.0, and $4641.8 \AA$ absorption features in their wings, which introduces an error in the wavelength of both the emission peak and the absorption-line position (Fig. 2).

## SPECTRUM OF THE CENTRAL STAR

The stellar component of the V886 Her spectrum represents the spectrum of a hot B1 II supergiant with a


Fig. 2. The 4625-4675 $\AA$ spectrum of V886 Her.


Fig. 3. The $\mathrm{H} \beta$ profile in the V886 Her spectrum.
temperature of $\sim 25000 \mathrm{~K}$ (Arkhipova et al. 1998), the future nucleus of a planetary nebula. The overwhelming majority of absorption lines in the stellar spectrum, apart from H I and He I lines, belong to O II ( 52 lines), N II (60 lines), C II (~30 lines), and Si III (5 lines); we also identified Mg II, Ca II, and Al III lines. There are weak Si IV, S II, C I, N I, and O I absorption features.

The hydrogen- and helium-line profiles are complex. They are a superposition of a stellar absorption line with comparatively broad wings and a narrow strong emission feature of the envelope. Figure 3 shows the $\mathrm{H} \beta$ profile. The width of the $\mathrm{H} \beta$ absorption wings points to a not-too-low value of $\log g$. The $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line is entirely filled with emission (Fig. 4), and no absorption component is seen. An emission component is also present in H $\gamma$. The He I 5016, 5876 (Fig. 5), and $7065 \AA$ lines exhibit P Cyg profiles with intense emission components. The remaining helium lines at 4388, 4437, $4471,4713,4922,5048$, and $6678 \AA$ are seen in absorption.

## SPECTRUM OF THE ENVELOPE

Apart from hydrogen and helium lines, the emission spectrum of the envelope shows numerous metal lines. The hydrogen lines apparently have P Cyg profiles, although the emission feature dominates. For this reason, the total $\mathrm{H} \beta$ profile is slightly asymmetric about the central emission peak (Fig. 3). The emission spec-
trum of the envelope is not yet typical of even the lowest excitation planetary nebula. This is mainly because the nebular [O III] 4959, 5007 (see Fig. 6), and $4363 \AA$ lines are absent (see Fig. 6) and because strong emission features of singly ionized metals are present.

Table 2. Mean radial velocities of V886 Her as measured from lines of various ions

| Species | Emission/absorption | Number <br> of lines | $\left\langle V_{r}\right\rangle, \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| H I | Emission H $\alpha, \mathrm{H} \beta, \mathrm{H} \gamma$ | 3 | $51.72 \pm 1.20$ |
| He I | Absorption | 4 | $45.12 \pm 1.30$ |
| He I | Emission | 2 | $60.30 \pm 1.60$ |
| N II | Absorption | 8 | $57.18 \pm 1.50$ |
| O II | Absorption | 8 | $57.60 \pm 1.50$ |
| C II | Absorption | 2 | $52.85 \pm 1.20$ |
| Si III | Absorption | 4 | $55.60 \pm 1.00$ |
| O I | Emission | 2 | $49.19 \pm 2.00$ |
| [O I] | Emission | 1 | 52.65 |
| N I | Emission | 3 | $43.40 \pm 5.00$ |
| Si II | Emission | 4 | $53.00 \pm 1.00$ |
| [Fe II] | Emission | 28 | $51.81 \pm 0.80$ |
| [Fe III] | Emission | 2 | $54.22 \pm 5.00$ |
| Fe II | Emission | 2 | $51.58 \pm 1.20$ |
| IS | Absorption | 5 | $-12.55 \pm 0.70$ |



Fig. 4. The H $\alpha$ profile in the V886 Her spectrum.


Fig. 5. The $\lambda \lambda 5870-5910 \AA$ spectrum of V886 Her. The Na I $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and He I $\lambda 5876 \AA$ lines are marked.

The emission spectrum of the envelope is formed by numerous permitted and forbidden lines of ionized metals [Fe II], Fe II, [Fe III] (and, possibly, Fe III), [Cr II], [Ti II], Ti II, [V II], V II, [Zr II], [Ni II], [Cu II],
and [Mn II], as well as of neutral metals [ Ni I], [Cr I], and [Ti I]. There are [ NII ], [S II], and [O I] emission features characteristic of the spectra of low-excitation planetary nebulae and strong O I, N I, and Si II emission lines.


Fig. 6. The 4880-5020 A spectra for V886 Her taken on (a) February 26, 1997, and (b) July 8, 1998, and (c) for HD 51585.

The Na I D $D_{1} 5890$ Å line has a P Cyg profile with a weak emission component and a noticeably blueshifted strong absorption component (Fig. 5).

Previously (Arkhipova et al. 1998), we measured the fluxes from the envelope in some emission lines in order to determine its parameters. In particular, we estimated the gas electron density and electron temperature from the measured intensity ratio of the [S II] doublet lines in the red spectral range and from the measured intensity ratio of the auroral and nebular [N II] lines, respectively. We also measured the red fluxes in the for-
bidden [O II] 7320-31 $\AA$ lines and the permitted O I 7002, $7254 \AA$ and N I 7423,7442 , and $7468 \AA$ A lines.

## HELIOCENTRIC RADIAL VELOCITIES OF V886 HER

Having identified the spectrum of V886 Her, we measured its radial velocities from the positions of about 100 most reliable emission and absorption lines. However, the wavelengths of some forbidden lines are not accurate enough even at present. The systematic corrections (Delta $V_{r}-X_{-}$coord) along echelle orders


Fig. 7. The 6500-6690 A spectra for V886 Her taken on (a) February 26, 1997, and (b) July 8, 1998, and (c) for HD 51585 . Note that a narrow absorption feature of the P Cyg profile appeared in $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ of the V886 Her envelope in 1998.
were determined from the positions of telluric lines in the spectrum and then applied.

We estimated the mean radial velocity from lines of each element at various ionization stages. The results are given in Table 2.

The mean velocity as measured from the main absorption lines is $\left\langle V_{r}(\mathrm{~N}\right.$ II, O II, C II, Si III $\left.)\right\rangle=+55.72 \pm$ $1.60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and corresponds to the radial velocity of the star itself. The velocities measured from He I absorption lines deviate significantly (by more than $3 \sigma$ ) from the above value. This may be because the envelope's
emission line having a P Cyg profile with its absorption component on the short-wavelength side is superimposed on the stellar absorption feature. There is excellent agreement between the mean velocities measured from H I, [Fe II], and Fe II emission lines, suggesting that they originate in the same ionization zone. The mean velocity measured from all emission lines is $\left\langle V_{r}(\mathrm{H} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{O}\right.$ I, [O I], Si II, Fe II, [Fe II], [Fe III]) $\rangle=+50.95 \pm$ $1.54 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

The difference between the radial velocity measured from emission features of the envelope and the star's
velocity may result from the shielding of the envelope's back side by the star. This effect shows up only for an envelope radius comparable to the stellar radius.

Diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs) and intense Na I $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ absorption lines are clearly seen in the spectrum of V886 Her. The mean velocity of clouds on the line of sight was estimated from the 5780.41, 5797.03, 6195.95, 6203.19 , and $6613.63 \AA$ DIBs to be $-12.55 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The velocity measured from the Na I absorption components was found to be larger: $-8.6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $-6.9 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from $\mathrm{D}_{2}$. The Na I lines in the spectrum are more intense than might be expected for the estimated interstellar extinction toward V886 Her. The measured equivalent width of the $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ line is $W_{\lambda}=0.36 \AA$. The color excess for IRAS $18062+2410$ is $E(B-V)=0.3$ (Arkhipova et al. 1998); the interstellar medium and the circumstellar dust envelope apparently account for 0.1 and 0.2 of this excess, respectively. It should then be recognized that there is also a circumstellar absorption component in the Na I line profile, which affects the radial-velocity determination.

## COMPARISON OF V886 Her WITH HD 51585

V886 Her is similar in pattern of photometric variability and spectrum to HD $51585=$ OY Gem, another candidate for protoplanetary objects (Arkhipova 1989; Arkhipova and Ikonnikova 1992). HD 51585 also exhibits irregular light variations caused by a variable stellar wind, which is also confirmed by variations in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission profile. There are also cold and hot dust envelopes. The spectrum of HD 51585 contains numerous emission lines.

The spectra of V886 Her and HD 51585 in some representative wavelength ranges are compared in Figs. 6 and 7; for V886 Her, we also show the July 8, 1998, spectrum taken with the 6-m telescope (Fig. 7b). All spectra were obtained with the same observational configuration.

A detailed comparison of the spectra reveals several significant differences. First, the spectrum of the HD 51585 envelope exhibits the [O III] 4959, 5007, and $4363 \AA$ lines (Fig. 6) and, possibly, He II lines (Jaschek et al. 1996). This points to a higher degree of ionization in the HD 51585 envelope than that for V886 Her and a higher temperature of the ionizing star. Observations of HD 51585 revealed a systematic flux rise in hydrogen and helium lines, which strongly suggests the ongoing envelope ionization.

Second, no absorption lines were observed in the HD 51585 spectrum, except the absorption components of the P Cyg profiles for several emission features (Jaschek et al. 1996). Third, the hydrogen and helium emission lines in HD 51585 have broad wings, up to $3000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, at zero $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity (Swings and Andrillat 1981) (Fig. 7), suggesting a significant role of the stellar wind in forming the stellar spectrum. It may be assumed that the central star of the future planetary
nebula in HD 51585 will have or already has WR characteristics. By contrast, V886 Her still has a central star with absorption lines. However, our 1998 observations of V886 Her reveal an enhancement of the emission spectrum (Figs. 6, 7). V886 Her may evolve toward the state of HD 51585.

The He I lines in the spectra of both stars have P Cyg profiles. In the later spectrum of V886 Her, this feature is considerably more pronounced than it is at an earlier time of observations. In 1998, we also see a narrow absorption component of the P Cyg profile in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission line (Fig. 7) belonging to the envelope. The intensity of emission lines in the 1998 spectrum generally increased.

Since the two stars are undoubtedly of great interest as likely representatives of the initial planetary-nebula stage, their further photometric and spectroscopic monitoring is highly desirable.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

V.G. Klochkova's spectroscopic study of protoplanetary nebulae with the $6-\mathrm{m} \mathrm{SAO}$ telescope was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 99-02-18339).

## REFERENCES

1. V. P. Arkhipova, Pis'ma Astron. Zh. 15, 925 (1989) [Sov. Astron. Lett. 15, 400 (1989)].
2. V. P. Arkhipova and N. P. Ikonnikova, Pis'ma Astron. Zh. 18, 1017 (1992) [Sov. Astron. Lett. 18, 418 (1992)].
3. V. P. Arkhipova, N. P. Ikonnikova, V. F. Esipov, and R. I. Noskova, Pis'ma Astron. Zh. 22, 526 (1996) [Astron. Lett. 22, 470 (1996)].
4. V. P. Arkhipova, N. P. Ikonnikova, R. I. Noskova, et al., Pis'ma Astron. Zh. 25, 30 (1999).
5. T. Blöcker, Astron. Astrophys. 299, 755 (1995).
6. C. Jaschek, Y. Andrillat, and M. Jaschek, Astron. Astrophys., Suppl. Ser. 117, 281 (1996).
7. A. B. Meinel, A. F. Aveni, and M. W. Stockton, Catalog of Emission Lines in Astronomical Objects (Optical Scientific Center and Steward Observatory, Tucson, 1969).
8. Ch. E. Moore, A Multiplet Table of Astrophysical Interest (Princeton Univ. Observatory, 1945), No. 20.
9. V. E. Panchuk, I. D. Naĭdenov, V. G. Klochkova, et al., Byull. Spets. Astrofiz. Obs. 44, 127 (1998).
10. J. P. Swings and Y. Andrillat, Astron. Astrophys. 103, L3 (1981).
11. K. M. Volk and S. Kwok, Astrophys. J. 342, 345 (1989).

Translated by V. Astakhov


[^0]:    * E-mail address for contacts: mirage @ sai.msu.ru

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Table 3 is published in electronic form only and is available from the Strasbourg Data Center at ftp: cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J (130.79.128.5) or http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J.

[^2]:    * E-mail address for contacts: nag @ gao.spb.ru

[^3]:    * E-mail address for contact: gasnizh@sai.msu.ru

[^4]:    * E-mail address for contacts: doroshen@sai.crimea.ua

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The table is published in electronic form only and is accessible via ftp cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J (130.79.128.5) or http:// cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J.

[^6]:    * E-mail address for contacts: narka@gong.astro.spbu.ru

[^7]:    * E-mail address for contacts: imshennik@ vxitep.itep.ru

[^8]:    * E-mail address for contacts: vkkaor22@mtu-net.ru

[^9]:    * E-mail address for contacts: balega@ sao.ru

[^10]:    * E-mail address for contacts: vera@sai.msu.ru

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Table 2 is published in electronic form only and is accessible via ftp at cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J (130.79.128.5) or http:// cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J.

